

A LECTURE
ON THE
SA'NKHYA PHILOSOPHY,
EMBRACING THE TEXT
OF THE
TATTWA SAMĀSA.

PRINTED FOR THE USE OF THE BENARES COLLEGE
BY ORDER OF GOVERNMENT N. W. P.

Mirzapore.

ORPHAN SCHOOL PRESS:—SUPT. B. C. MATHER.

1850.

PREFACE.

THIS Lecture, delivered in the session of 1849, is a sequel to those on the *Nyáya* Philosophy delivered to the senior class of pupils in the English Department of the Benares College, in 1848, "with the view of introducing them to the philosophical terminology current among their learned fellow-countrymen the pandits."

For selecting the *Tattwa-samása* as the text-book, there were two motives—the simplicity of its arrangement, and the extreme rarity of the work. Mr. Colebrooke (at p. 233. vol. 1st of his *Essays*) speaks of it as being uncertain whether the work were still extant; and few of the pandits appear to know it except by name.

J. R. B.

Benares College, }
31st July, 1850. }

SYNOPSIS OF THE CONTENTS.

The twenty-five Principles—consisting of—

No. 5.—THE EIGHT PRODUCERS :—

Viz. No. 6.—The Undiscrete—

„ No. 8.—Intellect—

„ No. 17.—Self-consciousness—

„ No. 19.—The five Subtile Elements—

No. 26.—THE SIXTEEN PRODUCTIONS :—

Viz. No. 27.—The eleven Organs—

„ No. 31.—The five Gross Elements—

No. 34.—SOUL.

The operation of the Principles—consisting in

No. 54.—Developement—

No. 55.—Reabsorption—

No. 73.—Bondage—

No. 74.—Liberation.

The Sāṅkhya theory of Evidence—involving

No. 76.—Perception—

No. 77.—Inference—

No. 78.—Testimony.

A LECTURE

ON THE

SANKHYA PHILOSOPHY.

No. 1.—[THE founder of the *Sāṅkhya* school of philosophy was *Kapila*. Two treatises are attributed to him—the *Sāṅkhya-pravachana* and the *Tatwa-samāsa*. The latter will form the text of the following observations. The commentary commences thus :—]

श्री गणेशाय नमः । श्री कपिलमुनये नमः । पञ्चविंशति त-
त्त्वेषु जन्मना ज्ञानमाप्तवान् । आदिसृष्टौ नमस्तस्मै कपिलाय
महर्षये ॥

अथातस्तत्त्वसमासाख्यसांख्यमूत्राणि व्याख्यास्यामः ॥

No. 2.—Salutation to *Gaṇeś'a* ! Salutation to the great sage *Kapila* ! Salutation to that great sage *Kapila* who, at the first creation, obtained, merely by birth, a knowledge of the twenty-five principles (*tatwa*).

Now we shall explain the aphorisms of the *Sāṅkhya*, which constitute what is called the Compendium of Principles.

[In saying that *Kapila* obtained his knowledge "merely by birth," the author means that *Kapila* differed from those other tea-

chers who, after being born, received instruction before they were qualified to teach. *Kapila* is regarded as an incarnation of the deity.]

इह कश्चिद्वाङ्मणस्त्रिविधेन दुःखेनाभिभूतः सांख्याचार्यः कपिलमहर्षिं शरणमुपागतः । स्वकुलनामगोचं साध्यायार्थं निवेद्याह । भगवन् किमिह परं । किं याथातथ्यं । किं कृत्वा कृतकृत्यः स्यामिति । कपिल उवाच । कथयिष्यामि ।

No. 3.—A certain bráhmaṇ, aggrieved by the three kinds of pain, had recourse to the great sage *Kapila*, the teacher of the *Sāṅkhya*. Having declared his family, his name and race, and his desire of instruction, he said—"Holy Sir! What is of all things the most important? What is actual truth? And what must I do in order that I may have done what is fitting to be done?" *Kapila* replied—"I shall tell you."

[The Aphorisms of *Kapila* here follow.]

अष्टौ प्रकृतयः । १॥ षोडश विकाराः । २॥ पुरुषः । ३॥ चैगुण्यं । ४॥ संचरः । ५॥ प्रतिसंचरः । ६॥ अध्यात्मः । ७॥ अधिभूतं । ८॥ अधिदैवतं । ९॥ पञ्चाभिबुद्धयः । १०॥ पञ्च कर्मयोनयः । ११॥ पञ्च वायवः । १२॥ पञ्च कर्मात्मानः । १३॥ पञ्चपर्वविद्या । १४॥ अष्टविंशतिधाशक्तिः । १५॥ नवधा तुष्टिः । १६॥ अष्टधा सिद्धिः । १७॥ दशधा मूलिकार्थाः । १८॥ अनुग्रहसर्गः । १९॥ चतुदशविधो भूतसर्गः । २०॥ त्रिविधो घातुसर्गः । २१॥ त्रिविधो बन्धः । २२॥ त्रिविधो मोक्षः । २३॥ त्रिविधं प्रमाणं । २४॥

त्रिविधं दुःखं । २५॥ एतद्याथातथ्यं । एतत्सम्यक् ज्ञात्वा कृतकृत्यः स्यात् न पुनस्त्रिविधेन दुःखेनाभिभूत इति तत्त्वसमासाख्य-सांख्यसूत्राणि ॥

No. 4.—“(1) The eight ‘producers’ (*prakṛiti*); (2) the sixteen ‘productions’ (*vikāra*); (3) ‘Soul’ (*puruṣa*); (4) the “‘triad of qualities’ (*traiḡuṇya*); (5) ‘emanation’ or ‘development’ (*sanchara*); (6) ‘re-absorption’ or ‘dissolution’ (*pratisanchara*); (7) the ‘ministers of Soul’ (*adhyātma*); (8) the ‘province of an organ’ (*adhibhūta*); (9) the respective ‘presiding deity’ (*adhidaivata*); (10) the five ‘perversities of understanding’ (*abhibuddhi*); (11) the five ‘sources of action’ (*karmmayoni*); (12) the five ‘airs’ (*vāyu*); (13) the five ‘which consist of action’ (*karmmatma*); (14) ‘ignorance’ (*avidyā*) under five divisions; (15) ‘disability’ (*aśakti*) of twenty-eight kinds; (16) “‘acquiescence’ or ‘indifference’ (*tushṭi*) of nine kinds; (17) “‘perfectness’ (*siddhi*) of eight kinds; (18) the ‘radical facts’ (*mulikārtha*) of ten kinds; (19) ‘benevolent nature,’ (*anugraha-sarga*); (20) ‘created existences’ (*bhūta-sarga*) of ten descriptions; (21) ‘parental creation’ (*dhātu-sansarga*) of three descriptions; (22) three-fold ‘bondage’ (*bandha*); (23) three-fold “‘liberation’ (*moksha*); (24) three-fold ‘proof’ (*pramāṇa*); (25) “three-fold ‘pain’ (*duḥkha*):—in this consists all actual truth. “He who shall have thoroughly understood this, will have done “all that is to be done. He will not again be obnoxious to the “three sorts of pain.”

Such are the Aphorisms of the *Sāṅkhya*, entitled the ‘Compendium of Principles.’

[The commentator then proceeds to dilate on each of the foregoing topics.]

अथ का अष्टौ प्रकृतयः । इत्युच्यते । अव्यक्त बुद्धिरहंकारः पञ्च
तन्मात्राणीत्येता अष्टौ प्रकृतयः ।

No. 5.—Now which are those eight 'producers'? To this it is replied—(1) the 'undiscrete' (*avyakta*); (2) 'intellect' (*buddhi*); (3) 'self-consciousness' (*ahankāra*); and (4—8) the five 'subtile rudiments' (*tan-mātra*);—these are the eight 'producers.'

[Each of these eight 'producers' is now taken up in its order.]

तत्राव्यक्तं तावदुच्यते । यथा लोके व्यज्यन्ते घटपटकुटशयनका-
द्या न तथा व्यज्यन्ते इत्यव्यक्तं । ओचादिभिरिन्द्रियैर्न गृह्यन्ते
इत्यर्थः । कस्मात् । अनादिमध्यान्तत्वात्निरवयवत्वाच्च । अश-
ब्दमस्पर्शमरूपमव्ययन्तथाच नित्यं रसगन्धवर्जितं । अनादिमध्य-
महतः परं ध्रुवं प्रधानमेतत्प्रवदन्ति स्वरयः । सूक्ष्ममलिङ्गमनादि-
निधनं तथाप्रसवधर्मं निरवयवमेकमेव हि साधारणमेतदव्यक्तं ।

No. 6.—Now the 'undiscrete' is described. As, in this world, jars, webs, vases, and couches are made manifest, not so is the 'undiscrete':—that is to say—it is not apprehended by the hearing or by any other sense. Why? Because it has neither beginning, middle, nor end; nor is it made up of parts. The inaudible, intangible, invisible, indestructible, and likewise eternal, devoid of savour and odour,—without beginning or middle, anterior in order to mind, firm and chief—thus do the learned designate it. Subtile, devoid of characteristic attributes, unconscious, without beginning or end,—so too whose nature it is to produce, without parts, one only, the common [source of all]—such is the 'undiscrete.'

अव्यक्तस्यामी पर्यायशब्दा भवन्ति । अव्यक्तं । प्रधानं । ब्रह्म ।
पुरं । ध्रुवं । प्रधानकं । अक्षरं । क्षेत्रं । तमः । प्रसूतमिति ।

No. 7.—Synonymes of the 'undiscrete' are the following—viz: the 'undistinguished,' 'unseparated,' or 'imperceptible' (*avyakta*); the 'chief' (*pradhāna*); the 'source of emanation' (*brahma*); the 'receptacle' or 'abode,' (*pura*); the 'permanent' (*dhruva*); the 'chief,' or 'that in which all generated effect is comprehended' (*pradhānaka*); the 'indestructible' (*akshara*); the 'site' or 'field' (*kshetra*); 'darkness' (*tamas*); the 'productive source' (*prasaūta*).

[Several of these terms are not, strictly speaking, synonymes, further than as they are all applicable to the 'undiscrete'. They are epithets employed for reasons which may appear in the sequel. A similar remark applies to the various lists of synonymes which will be met with further on.]

We now come to the second of the eight 'producers' (No. 5)—viz 'intellect'.]

का बुद्धिरचोच्यते । अध्यवसायी बुद्धिः । सो ऽयमध्यवसा-
यो गवादिषु द्रव्येषु यस्मात्प्रतिपत्तिः । एवमेतन्नान्यथा । गौरे-
वायं नाश्वः । स्थाणुरेवायं न पुरुषः । इत्येषा बुद्धिः ।

No. 8.—What is 'intellect'? To this it is replied—'intellect' is 'ascertainment' (*adhyavasāya*). This it is from which, in regard to a cow or any other thing, there is the determination 'This is so and so, and not otherwise'—'This is a cow, not a horse'—'This is a post, not a man.' Such is 'intellect' or 'understanding.'

[The various aspects under which this faculty is regarded as presenting itself, are next specified.]

अस्यास्तु बुद्धेरष्टौ रूपाणि भवन्ति धर्मो ज्ञानं वैराग्यमैश्वर्यमिति ।

No. 9.—Of this 'intellect' there are eight forms—(1) 'virtue' (*dharma*); (2) 'knowledge' (*jnyána*); (3) 'dispassion' (*vairágya*); (4) superhuman 'power' (*aiswarya*)—[the remaining four being the opposites or negatives of these. See No. 14.]

तच्च धर्मो नामाधर्मविपर्ययः श्रुतिसृतिविहितः शिष्टाचारा-
विरुद्धः शुभलक्षणः ।

No. 10.—'Virtue' (*dharma*) is the opposite of 'vice' (*adharma*); it is what is enjoined in the 'scripture' (*śruti*) and in the 'law' (*smṛiti*); not opposed to the practice of the pious—of which the symptom is felicity [—prosperity being regarded as the fruit of former virtue, and adversity as that of former vice.]

ज्ञानं नामाज्ञानविपर्ययः । तत्त्वभावभूतानां सम्बोधः ।

No. 11.—'Knowledge' (*jnyána*) is the opposite of 'ignorance' (*ajnyána*)—an acquaintance with the 'principles' (*tatva*), the 'forms' of intellect (*bháva*-No. 9.), and the 'elements' (*bhúta*-No. 31.)

वैराग्यं नामावैराग्यविपर्ययः । शब्दादिविषयेष्वप्रसक्तिः ।

No. 12.—'Dispassion' (*vairágya*) is the opposite of 'passion' (*avairágya*):—it consists in not concerning one's self about sounds or any other 'objects of sense' (*vishaya*).

ऐश्वर्यं नामानैश्वर्यविपर्ययः । अणिमादयो ऽष्टगुणाः । ए-
तानि सात्विकानि चत्वारि ।

No. 13.—Superhuman 'power' (*aiswarya*) is the opposite of 'powerlessness' (*anaishwarya*); it consists of the eight qualities,

[the capacity of assuming a form of the utmost] 'minuteness' (*animá*) &c.

These four [kinds of 'intellect'—Nos. 10.—13.] are [regarded as] 'partaking of the quality of goodness' (*sátwika*). [See No. 50].

अधर्मो ऽज्ञानमवैराग्यमनैश्वर्यमिति । अधर्मो नाम धर्मविपर्य-
यः । श्रुतिसृतिविरुद्धः । शिष्टाचारविरुद्धः । अशुभलक्षणः । अ-
ज्ञानं नाम ज्ञानविपर्ययः । तत्त्वभावभूतानामनवबोधः । अवैरा-
ग्यं नाम वैराग्यविपर्ययः । शब्दादिविषयेष्वभिनिवेशः । अनैश्व-
र्यं नाम ऐश्वर्यविपर्ययः । अणिमादिरहितत्वं । एतानि तामसा-
नि चत्वारि ।

No. 14.—'Vice' (*adharma*), 'ignorance' (*ajnyána*), 'subjec-
tion to passion' (*avairágya*), and 'powerlessness' (*anaishwarya*),
[are next described].

'Vice' is the opposite of 'virtue'—opposed to Scripture and Law—opposed to the practice of the pious—and of which the symptom is adversity. [See No. 10].

'Ignorance' is the opposite of 'knowledge'—the reverse of an acquaintance with the 'principles', the 'forms' of intellect, and the 'elements'. [See No. 11.]

'Subjection to passion' is the opposite of 'dispassion':—it consists in perseveringly concerning one's self about sounds and other objects of sense.

'Powerlessness' is the opposite of superhuman 'power':—it is the being destitute of [the capacity of] 'minuteness' &c.

These four [kinds of 'intellect'] are [regarded as] 'partaking of the quality of Darkness' (*támasa*). [See No. 52].

[What consequences severally appertain to the above-described modifications of 'intellect,' is next stated.]

तत्र धर्मेण निमित्तेनोर्ध्वगमनं । ज्ञानेन निमित्तेन मोक्षः ।
वैराग्येण निमित्तेन प्रकृतिलयः । ऐश्वर्यनिमित्तेनाप्रतिहतगति-
र्भवति । एवमेषा त्वष्टरूपा बुद्धिः परिख्याता ।

No. 15.—Through 'virtue,' as a cause, there is 'elevation in the scale of being' (*úrdhwa-gamana*); through 'knowledge,' as a cause, there is 'liberation' from existence (*moksha*); through 'dispassion,' as a cause, there is 'absorption into nature,' (*prakriti-laya*); through superhuman 'power,' as a cause, there is 'unimpeded movement' [even through solid rocks and the like], (*iapratihata-gati*).

Thus has 'intellect,' in its eight forms [No. 9] been described.

बुद्धेरमी पर्यायशब्दा भवन्ति ।

मनो मतिर्महान् ब्रह्मा ख्यातिः प्रज्ञा श्रुतिर्धृतिः । प्रज्ञानस-
न्ततिः स्मृतिर्धीर्बुद्धिः परिकथ्यते ।

No. 16.—The following words are synonyms—[See No. 7]—of 'intellect'—viz: 'mind' (*manas*); 'understanding' (*mati*); the 'great' principle (*mahat*); the 'Creator' (*brahmā*); 'familiar knowledge' (*khyāti*); 'wisdom' (*prajnyā*); 'intelligence through report' (*śruti*); 'resolution' (*dhriti*); a 'train of thought' (*prajnyāna-santati*); 'memory' (*smriti*); and 'meditation' (*dhi*); in such terms is 'intellect' spoken of.

[We now come to the third of the eight 'producers' (No. 5)—viz 'self-consciousness'—that which is implied in a man's employing the pronoun 'I'—a pronoun the employment of which declares the speaker's belief that he possesses an individuality of his own.]

अचाह को ऽहंकार इत्युच्यते । अभिमानो ऽहंकारः ।
यो ऽयमभिमानः । अहं शब्दे अहं स्वर्णे अहं रूपे अहं रसे ।
अहं गन्धे अहं स्वामी धनवानहमीश्वरः । अहं भोगी अहं धर्मे ऽभि-
पत्तो ऽसौ मया हतः । अहं हनिष्ये बलिभिः परैरित्येवमादिकः
प्रत्ययो यः सो ऽहंकारः ।

No. 17.—To the question—what is 'self-consciousness'?—it is replied that 'self-consciousness' is a 'conceit' (*abhimāna*)—the conceit or fancy that 'I am the embracer in the case of sound' [i. e., 'I hear'], 'I feel,' 'I see,' 'I taste,' 'I am lord,' 'I am rich,' 'I am deity,' 'I enjoy,' 'I am connected with virtue,' 'by me was he slain,' 'I shall be slain by powerful enemies :—such and the like belief is 'self-consciousness.'

[The term *ahankāra* (the 'making of an *Ego* or an *I*), the technical import of which, as remarked by Professor Wilson, is 'the pride or conceit of individuality,'* is rendered, in Mr. Colebrooke's version of the *Sāṅkhya Kārikā*, by the term 'Egotism.' It must be carefully borne in mind that the word 'egotism,' thus employed, is not to carry with it the familiar import of bustling vanity—the feeling which prompts a vain man to be constantly talking about himself:—for, a man who is no 'egotist,' in that familiar sense of the term, is not the less chargeable with *ahan-kāra*, if he fancies that his employing the pronoun 'I' at all is not an absurdity. The word, as described by *Vāchaspati*, in his commentary on the 24th of the *Kārikās* of *Īś'wara Kṛishṇa*, might signify 'egotism' in the ordinary sense—verging even on 'ego-ism,'—i. e., the belief that 'besides me there is nought else :—but the significance of *Kapila's* system will perhaps be more clearly dis-

* *Sāṅkhya Kārikā*—p. 91.

cerned, if the technical acceptance of the term, as given by Professor Wilson, be strictly adhered to.]

अहंकारस्यामी पर्यायशब्दाः । अहंकारो वैकारिकस्तेजसो भूता-
दिः सानुमानो निरनुमानश्चेति ।

No. 18.—The various epithets given to 'self-consciousness' are 'the conceit of individuality' (*ahankāra*); the 'modifying' (*vai-kārika*); the 'ardent' (*taijasa*); the 'origin of the elements' (*bhūtādi*); 'dependent on inference' (*sānumāna*); and 'independent of inference' (*niranumāna*).

[Of the eight 'producers' (No. 5) we have now to consider the last five—viz., the five 'subtile elements'—the rudiments of what are familiarly called the 'elements.']

अत्राह कानि पञ्च तन्मात्राणीत्युच्यते । अहंकारान्वितानि प-
ञ्च तन्मात्राणि । शब्दतन्मात्रं स्पर्शतन्मात्रं रूपतन्मात्रं रसत-
न्मात्रं गन्धतन्मात्रञ्चेति पञ्च तन्मात्राणि ।

No. 19.—To the question—what are the five 'subtile elements'?—it is replied:—the five 'subtile elements' are consequents of 'self-consciousness.' The 'subtile element of sound' (*śabda-tanmātra*); the 'subtile element of tangibility' (*sparsa-tanmātra*); the 'subtile element of colour' (*rūpa-tanmātra*); the 'subtile element of savour' (*rasa-tanmātra*); and the 'subtile element of odour' (*gandha-tanmātra*);—these are the five 'subtile elements.'

["*Tan-mātra* is a compound of *tad*, 'that,' and *mātra*, 'alone;' implying, that in which its own peculiar property resides, without any change or variety." In this respect the 'subtile elements' are held to differ from the gross or derivative elements, the pro-

perties of which are various at different times and in respect to different mortals.]

तत्र तावच्छब्दतन्मात्राणि शब्देष्वेवोपलभ्यन्ते । उदात्तानुदा-
त्तस्वरितकम्पितषड्गर्भगान्धारमध्यमपञ्चमधैवतनिषादादयः श-
ब्दविशेषा उपलभ्यन्ते । तस्माच्छब्दतन्मात्रे ऽविशेषः ।

No. 20.—The 'subtile elements of sound' [like the 'atoms' of the Nyāya, which are separately inappreciable by the senses of men,] are apprehended only in [derivative and gross] 'sounds.' Varieties of 'sound' are apprehended, such as 'acutely accented,' 'gravely accented,' 'circumflexly accented,' and [the notes of the gamut—viz.:] 'C' (*śaḍja*), 'D' (*rishabha*), 'E' (*gāndhāra*), 'F' (*madhyama*—i. e., the 'middle' note of the scale—corresponding to the 'sub-dominant'*), 'G' (*pañchama*—i. e. the 'fifth' note of the scale—corresponding to the 'dominant'), 'A' (*dhaivata*), 'B' (*nishāda*), &c. But there is not hence [i. e., in accordance with the variety in sounds appreciable by mortals] any diversity in the 'subtile element of sound' itself.

* It is noticeable that the keynote and those two important notes, the dominant and sub-dominant, have names marking their place in the scale, whilst the other names are unscientific and fantastical. The word *rishabha* means 'a bull,' *gāndhāra* means 'red lead,' *dhaivata* seems to have no sense elsewhere, and *nishāda* means 'an outcaste.' The keynote—viz., *śaḍ-ja* 'born of six' is said to be so named because it is "supposed to require for its articulation the employment of the tongue, teeth, palate, nose, throat and teeth,"—but this is evidently the conjecture of a grammarian, not of a musician. The writers on music may have given it the name as indicative of the acoustic fact that the ear, though content if the keynote be sounded, demands that, if the other six are sounded in their order, the keynote shall follow (before closing) to complete the octave and to form the cadence. It is "the offspring of (all or any of these) six."

अथ सूक्ष्मतन्मात्राणि सूक्ष्मेवोपलभ्यन्ते । तत्र दृढकठिनकर्कशपिच्छिलशीतोष्णादयः सूक्ष्मविशेषा उपलभ्यन्ते । तस्मात् सूक्ष्मतन्मात्रे ऽविशेषः ।

No. 21.—The 'subtile elements of tangibility' are apprehended only in [derivative cases—see No. 20—] of 'tangibility.' In these, varieties of 'tangibility' are apprehended—such as 'soft,' 'hard,' 'rough,' 'slippery,' 'cold,' 'hot,' &c. But there is not hence any diversity in the 'subtile element of tangibility' itself.

रूपतन्मात्राणि रूपेष्वेवोपलभ्यन्ते । तत्र शुक्लरक्तकृष्णहरितपीतहारिद्रमांजिष्ठादयो रूपविशेषा उपलभ्यन्ते । तस्माद्रूपतन्मात्रे ऽविशेषः ।

No. 22.—The 'subtile elements of colour' are apprehended only in 'colours.' In these, varieties of 'colour' are apprehended—such as 'white,' 'red,' 'black,' 'green,' 'yellow,' 'turmeric-colour,' 'madder-colour,' &c. But there is not hence any diversity in the 'subtile element of colour' itself.

रसतन्मात्राणि रसेष्वेवोपलभ्यन्ते । तत्र कटुतिक्तकषायक्षारमधुराम्ललवणादयो रसविशेषा उपलभ्यन्ते । तस्माद्रसतन्मात्रे ऽविशेषः ।

No. 23.—The 'subtile elements of savour' are apprehended only in 'savours.' In this, varieties of 'savour' are apprehended—such as 'pungent,' 'bitter,' 'astringent,' 'alkaline,' 'sweet,' 'acid,' 'salt,' &c. But there is not hence any diversity in the 'subtile element of savour' itself.

गन्धतन्मात्राणि गन्धे एवोपलभ्यन्ते । तत्र सुरभिरसुरभिश्च गन्धविशेषौ उपलभ्येते । तस्माद्गन्धतन्मात्रे ऽविशेषः । एवमेतत्पञ्चतन्मात्राणि सूचितानि ।

No. 24.—The 'subtile elements of odour' are apprehended only in 'odour.' In this, two varieties of 'odour'—viz., 'fragrance' and 'stench,' are apprehended. But there is not hence any diversity in the 'subtile element of odour' itself.

Thus have the five 'subtile elements' been made known.

अथैषां पर्यायशब्दाः । तन्मात्राणि अविशेषाणि महाभूतानि प्रकृतयो ऽभोग्यानि अणवोऽशान्ताघोरामूढानीति । एवमेतां अथक्बुद्ध्यहंकारतन्मात्रसंज्ञिता अष्टौ प्रकृतयो व्याख्याताः । अथ कस्मात्प्रकृतयः । प्रकुर्वन्तीति प्रकृतयः ।

No. 25.—Now the synonymes of these are 'elemental rudiments' (*tan-mātra*); 'unvaried' (*avis'asha*); the 'pervading elements' or 'whence the gross elements proceed' (*mahā-bhūta*); 'producers' (*prakriti*); 'not adapted for [mortal] fruition' (*abhogya*); 'atoms' (*anu*); 'not soothing' (*as'anta*); 'not terrific' (*aghora*); 'not stupifying' (*amūḍha*). [These last three names refer to the triad of Qualities—see No. 49]. Thus have the eight producers—viz., the 'undiscrete,' 'intellect,' 'self-consciousness,' and the five 'subtile elements,' been explained.

Now why are these (No. 5) called 'producers'? It is because they produce [the sixteen 'productions'—see No. 4—which are next to be described.]

अथ ते के षोडश विकारा इत्यत्रोच्यते । एकादशेन्द्रियाणि पञ्च भूतान्येते षोडश विकाराः ।

No. 26.—Now what are those sixteen 'productions?' To this it is replied :—the eleven 'organs' (*indriya*), and the five '[derivative or gross]' 'elements:'—these are the sixteen 'productions, or 'modifications' [*vikāra*].

तत्रेन्द्रियाणि तावदुच्यन्ते । ओचं त्वक्चक्षुषी जिह्वा घ्राणमिति पञ्च बुद्धीन्द्रियाणि ।

No. 27.—Now the 'organs' are set forth. The 'organ of hearing' (*s'rotra*); the 'organ of touch' (*twach*) and the 'organ of sight' (*chakshush*); the 'organ of taste' (*jihvā*); the 'organ of smell' (*ghrāṇa*); are the five 'organs of the intellect' (*buddhīndriya*).

(These are called 'organs of the intellect' because their function is that of observation, not, as that of the other organs is, of action.)

ओचं शब्दविषयं बुध्यते । त्वक् स्पर्शविषयं बुध्यते । चक्षुरूपविषयं बुध्यते । रसना रसविषयं बुध्यते । घ्राणो गन्धविषयं बुध्यते । इति

No. 28.—The 'hearing' apprehends its object 'sound' (*s'abda*). The 'touch' apprehends its object 'tangibility' (*spars'a*). The 'sight' apprehends its object 'colour' (*rūpa*). The 'taste' apprehends its object 'savour' (*rasa*). The 'smell' apprehends its object 'odour' (*gandha*).

वाक् पाणिपादम् पायूपस्थाख्यानि पञ्च कर्मेन्द्रियाणि स्वं स्वं कर्म कुर्वन्ति । वाक् वचनमुच्चरति । हस्तौ कर्म कुरुतः । पादौ विहरणम् । पायुर्विसर्गम् । उपस्थम् आनन्दम् । उभयात्मकं मनः स्वसंकल्पविकल्पवृत्ती कुरुते । एवमेकादशेन्द्रियाणि व्याख्यातानि ।

No. 29.—The five 'organs of action' (*karmendriya*), viz., the 'voice' or the 'larynx &c.' (*vāk*), the 'hands,' the 'feet,' the 'organ of excretion,' and the 'organ of generation,' perform severally their own function. The 'voice' pronounces words. The 'hands' perform actions. The 'feet' perform locomotion; the 'organ of excretion,' evacuation; and the 'organ of generation,' enjoyment. 'Mind' (*manas*), an organ both of observation and action, performs its appropriate functions of 'resolving' (*sankalpa*) and 'doubting' (*vikalpa*).

Thus have the eleven organs been described.

अथैषां पर्यायाः । इन्द्रियाणि करणानि वैकारिकाणि नियतानि पदानि अवधृतानि अणूनि अक्षाणीति ।

No. 30.—Now the synonymes of these are—'organs of sense' (*indriya*); 'instruments' (*karana*); 'changers' (*vaikārika*); 'allotted' (to each mortal) (*niyata*); 'appliances' (*pada*); 'placed under' (the soul) (*avadhrita*); 'subtile' (*aṇu*); 'organs' (*aksha*).

अथ कानि पञ्च महाभूतानीत्युच्यते । पृथिव्यग्नेजोवाय्वाकाशानीति महाभूतानि ।

No. 31.—Now what are the five 'gross elements' (*mahā-bhūta*)? To this it is replied—'earth,' 'water,' 'light,' 'air,' and 'ether,' are the 'gross elements.'

तच्च पृथिवी धारणभावेन प्रवर्तमाना चतुर्णामुपकारं करोति । आपः जलानि क्लेदनभावेन प्रवर्तमानानि चतुर्णामुपकारं कुर्वन्ति । तेजः पाचकभावेन प्रवर्तमानं चतुर्णामुपकारं करोति । वायुः शोषणभावेन प्रवर्तमानश्चतुर्णामुपकारं करोति । आकाशं च-

वकाशदानेन प्रवर्त्तमानं चतुर्णामुपकारं करोति । शब्दस्पर्शरूप-
रसगन्धवती पञ्चगुणा पृथिवी । शब्दस्पर्शरूपरसवत्यश्चतुर्गुणा आ-
पः । शब्दस्पर्शरूपवन्निगुणं तेजः । शब्दस्पर्शवानिति द्विगुणो वा-
युः । शब्दवदेकगुणमाकाशम् । एवमाख्यातानि पञ्च महाभूतानि ।

No. 32.—‘Earth,’ acting in the character of supporter, co-ope-
rates with the [other] four [elements, in the production of ef-
fects.] ‘Water’ acting in its character of the ‘humid,’ co-operates
with the other four. ‘Light,’ acting in the way of ‘maturation,’
co-operates with the other four. ‘Air,’ acting in the way of
‘siccation,’ co-operates with the other four. ‘Ether,’ acting
in the way of giving space, co-operates with the other four.

‘Earth’ is possessed of five qualities—having ‘sound,’ ‘tangibili-
ty,’ ‘colour,’ ‘savour,’ and ‘odour.’ ‘Water,’ possesses ‘sound,’ ‘tan-
gibility,’ ‘colour,’ and ‘savour.’ ‘Light’ possesses ‘sound,’ ‘tangibi-
lity,’ and ‘colour.’ ‘Air’ possesses ‘sound’ and ‘tangibility.’
‘Ether’ has the single quality of ‘sound.’

Thus have the ‘gross’ elements been set forth.

अथैषां पर्यायाः । भूतानि । भूतविशेषाः । विकाराः । अकृ-
तयः । तनवः । विग्रहाः । शान्ताः । घोराः । मूढाः । इत्येते
षोडश विकारा व्याख्याताः ।

No. 33.—Now their synonyms—‘elements’ (*bhūta*), ‘varieties
of element’ (*bhūta-vis'ēsha*), ‘productions’ or ‘modifications’
(*vikāra*), ‘forms’ (*ākṛiti*), ‘minute,’ (*tanu*), bodies, (*vigraha*), ‘soo-
thing,’ ‘terrific,’ ‘stupifying.’

Thus have the sixteen ‘productions’ or ‘modifications’ been
described.

[‘The eight ‘producers,’ and the sixteen ‘productions,’ or re-
sults of the modification of the ‘producers,’ having been thus
described, the third of *Kapila*’s aphorisms, in which he announces
‘soul,’ is next adverted to.]

अथाह कः पुरुषः । इत्युच्यते । पुरुषो ऽनादिः सूक्ष्मः सर्वगतश्चे-
तनो ऽगुणो नित्यो द्रष्टा भोक्ता ऽकर्त्ता चेच्चविदमलो ऽप्रसवध-
र्मीति ।

No. 34.—Now it is asked—what is ‘soul’? To this it is replied
—‘soul’ is without beginning, subtle, omnipresent, intelligent,
without [the three] qualities [see No. 49], eternal, spectator,
enjoyer, not an agent, the knower of body, pure, not producing
aught.

अथाह कस्मात्पुरुषः । पुराणात् पुरि शयनात् पुरोहितवृत्ति-
त्वाच्च पुरुषः ।

No. 35.—Now it is asked—why [is it called] ‘soul’ (*purusha*)?
Because it is ‘ancient’ (*purāṇa*) [having been from eternity—see
No. 34—]; and because ‘it reposes in body’ (*puri s'ayate*); and
because it is that towards which the ‘highest affection’ (*puro hita*)
is entertained [—seeing that each one loves *self*, if loving nought
else—] therefore it is called *purusha*.

अथ कस्मादनादिः । नाम्यादिरन्तो मध्यो वा विद्यते इत्यना-
दिः । कस्मात्सूक्ष्मः । निरवयवत्वादतीन्द्रियत्वासूक्ष्मः । कस्मा-
त्सर्वगतः । सर्वमाप्तवाननन्तास्पदो गगनवदस्तीति सर्वगतः । क-
स्माच्चेतनः । सुखदुःखमोहोपलब्धिमन्वात् । कस्मादगुणः । सत्वर-
जस्तमांस्यस्मिन्न सन्त्यतो ऽगुणः । कस्मान्नित्यः । अकृतकत्वादनु-

त्याद्यत्वाच्च । कस्माद्दृष्टा । प्रकृतिविकारानुपलभते इति । कस्मा-
द्भोक्ता । चेतनभावात्सुखदुःखपरिज्ञानात् । कस्मादकर्ता । उदासी-
नत्वादगुणत्वाच्च । कस्मात्क्षेत्रविद् । क्षेत्रेभ्यो गुणान्वेत्ति इति
क्षेत्रविद् । अथ कस्मादमलः । शुभाशुभकर्माण्यस्मिन् पुरुषे न
सन्तीति अमलः । कस्मादप्रसवधर्मी । निर्वीजत्वात् । न किञ्चिदु-
त्पादयतीत्यर्थः । एवमेव सांख्यपुरुषो व्याख्यातः ।

No. 36.—Now why is it ['soul'] said to be 'without beginning' [No. 34]? Because it has neither beginning, middle, nor end. Why 'subtile'? From its being without parts, and its transcending the senses. Why 'omnipresent'? Because it comes into relation with all, and its sphere is boundless as the sky. Why 'intelligent'? From its discerning pleasure, pain, and delusion. Why 'without qualities'? Because neither the quality of 'goodness' [No. 49], of 'passion,' nor of 'darkness,' is in it. Why 'eternal'? From its not being made or produced. Why 'spectator'? Because it apprehends the modifications which productive nature undergoes. Why 'enjoyer'? Because it discerns pleasure and pain through its possession of intelligence. Why 'not an agent'? From its being indifferent (*udāsīna*) and devoid of the 'qualities' [see No. 42.] Why the 'knower of body'? Because through bodies it apprehends the 'qualities.' Why 'pure'? Because in this 'soul' there are neither good actions nor bad. Why 'not wont to produce'? Because it is seedless :—that is to say, it does not give rise to any thing.

Thus has the 'soul' of the *Sāṅkhya* been described?

अथास्य पुरुषस्य पर्यायाः । पुरुषः । आत्मा । पुमान् । पुंगुण-
जन्तुजीवः । क्षेत्रज्ञः । नरः । कविः । ब्रह्म । अक्षरः । प्राणः ।

यः । कः । सः । एषः । एवमेतानि पञ्चविंशति तत्त्वानि व्या-
ख्यातानि । अष्टौ प्रकृतयः षोडश विकाराः पुरुषश्चेति ।

पञ्चविंशतितत्त्वज्ञो यच्च कुत्राप्यमे रतः ।

जटी शिखी मुण्डी वापि मुच्यते नात्र संशयः ॥

No. 37.—Now the synonymes of this 'soul'—the 'reposer in body' (*purusha*); 'self' (*ātmā*); 'the male' (*puns*); 'that which superadds the quality of reason to mere animal life' (*pun-guṇa-jantu-jīva*); the 'knower of body' (*kshetra-jña*); the 'man' (*nara*); the 'poet' (*kavi*); 'deity' (*brahma*); the 'indestructible' (*akshara*); 'life' or 'vital air' (*prāṇa*); 'who,' 'who'? 'he,' 'this.'

Thus have the twenty-five 'principles' (*tatva*) been explained—viz., the eight 'producers' [No. 5], the sixteen 'productions' [No. 26], and 'soul' [No. 34].

"He who knows the twenty-five principles, whatever order of life he may have entered, and whether he wear matted hair, a top-knot only, or be shaven, he is liberated:—of this there is no doubt."

अत्राह । पुरुषः किं कर्ता अकर्तारिति । यदि कर्ता पुरुषः
स्यात् शुभान् कुर्यात् ननु वृत्तिचयं ।

No. 38.—Here it is asked—is 'soul' an agent, or not an agent? If 'soul' were an agent, it would do only good actions—it would not adopt the 'triad of habits.'

[What the three 'habits' are, is next stated.]

धर्माख्यं सौहित्यं यमनियमनिर्वैरिणं प्रसंख्यानं ज्ञानैश्वर्यप्रका-
शनमिति सात्विकी वृत्तिः ।

No. 39.—The 'amiable' (*sātwika*) habit consists of virtue,

kindliness, restraint [of the senses], regulation [of the organs], freedom from enmity, correct judgment, the attainment of knowledge and supernatural power [see No. 13.]

रागः क्रोधी लोभः परपरिवादो ऽतिरौद्रता ऽतुष्टिर्विकृतास्त-
तिपारुष्यं प्रख्यातैषातु राजसी वृत्तिः ।

No. 40.—But passion, anger, covetousness, the reproaching of others, extreme sternness, discontent, fierceness shown in change of aspect—this is called the ‘passionate’ (*rājasa*) habit.

उन्मादमदविषादा नास्तिक्यस्त्रीप्रसंगिता निद्रा आलस्यं नैर्गु-
ण्यमशौचमिति तामसी वृत्तिः ।

No. 41.—Madness, intoxication, lassitude, atheism, addic-
tion to women, drowsiness, sloth, worthlessness, impurity—this
is called the ‘dark’ (*tāmāsa*) habit.

एतद् वृत्तिचयं दृष्ट्वा लोके गुणानां कर्तृत्वं सिद्धमिति चाकर्त्ता
पुरुषः सिद्धो भवति ।

No. 42.—Since we see this triad of habits in the world, the
agency of the ‘qualities’ [in which these habits originate—see
No. 49] is established; and hence ‘soul’ [the agency being thus
accounted for independently of it] is proved to be ‘not an agent.’

इमान्गुणान्प्रकृतेर्वर्त्तमानान् रजस्तमोभ्यां विपरीतदर्शनात् ।
अहं करोमीत्यबुधो ऽभिमन्यते । तृणस्य कुञ्जीकरणे ऽप्यनीश्वरः
सर्वमिदं मया कृतं ममेदं इति वदन्नभिमानादबुध उन्मत्तः कर्तृ-
वद्भवति ।

No. 43.—Through ‘passion’ (No. 51) and ‘darkness’ (No.

52), through an erroneous view, it (viz., ‘soul’) foolishly ima-
gines—‘I am the agent’ in regard to these ‘qualities’ (No. 49)
which belong to nature. Though incompetent even to the crook-
ing of a straw, (‘soul’ imagines) ‘All this was made by me—
this is mine :’—thus saying, it, through a vain imagination, fool-
ish, insane, becomes as if it were an agent.

अत्राह ॥ प्रकृतेः क्रियमाणानि गुणैः कर्माणि भागशः ।

अहंकारविमूढात्मा कर्त्ताहमिति मन्यते ॥

अनादित्वान्निर्गुणत्वात्परमात्मायमव्ययः ।

शरीरस्थोऽपि कौन्तेय न करोति न लिप्यते ॥

एवं ॥ प्रकृत्यैव च कर्माणि क्रियमाणानि सर्वशः ।

यः पश्यति तथात्मानमकर्त्तारं स पश्यति ॥

No. 44.—On this subject it is said (in the *Bhagavad Gītā*—
Lect. III v. 27.) “Actions are effected by the qualities of nature,
according to their shares :—the soul, deluded by the conceit of
individuality, imagines ‘I am the agent.’”

(And again, Lect. XIII. v. 31.)

“From its being without beginning, and its being devoid of the
‘qualities,’ this incorruptible supreme Soul, even while remain-
ing in body, neither acts nor is affected.”

Also—(Lect. XIII. v. 29.)

“Whoso beholds actions as in all respects performed by nature
alone, and so too beholds Soul as a non-agent—he indeed sees
(aright).”

तत्राह । किमयमेकः पुरुषो बहवो वेत्युच्यते । सुखदुःखमोह-
संकरविशुद्धकरणपाटवजन्ममरणकरणानां नानात्वात्पुरुषवज्जल-
सिद्धं लोकाश्रमवर्णभेदाच्च । यद्येकः पुरुषः स्यादेकस्मिन् सुखिनि

सर्व एव सुखिनः स्युः । एकस्मिन् दुःखिनि सर्व एव दुःखिनः स्युः । एकस्मिन् मूढे सर्वे मूढाः स्युः । एकस्मिन् संकीर्णे सर्वे संकीर्णाः स्युः । एकस्मिन् विशुद्धे सर्वे विशुद्धाः स्युः । एकस्मिन् करणपाटवे सर्वेषां करणपाटवं स्यात् । एकस्मिन् जाते सर्वे जायेरन् । एकस्मिन् मृते सर्वे म्रियेरन् । इति नचैक इतश्च बहवः पुरुषाः सिद्धाः । आकृतिगर्भाश्रयभागसंगतिविभागलिंगबहुत्वात् ।

No. 45.—Here the question occurs—is 'soul' one, or many? To this it is replied :—the multiplicity of 'soul' is proved by the diversity of the conditions of pleasure, pain, delusion, mixture of race, purity of race, soundness of organs, birth, and death, and by the difference of the world (heaven, earth, and hell,) and of office, and of caste. If there were only one 'soul,' then, when one is happy, all would be happy; when one is grieved, all would be grieved; when one is deluded, all would be deluded; when one is of mixed race, all would be of mixed race; when one is of pure race, all would be of pure race; when one possesses soundness of organs, all would possess soundness of organs; when one is born, all would be born; when one dies, all would die. Hence 'soul' is not one; and hence multifarious 'souls' are proved to exist—for these possess a multiplicity of distinctive characters in the diversified allotment of form, birth, habitation, fortune, society, and body.

एवं तावत्सांख्याचार्याः कपिलासुरिपञ्चशिखपतञ्जलिप्रभृतयो बह्वपुरुषान्वर्णयन्ति । वेदान्तवादिन आचार्या हरिहरहरिण्यगर्भ-
व्यासादय एकमेवात्मानं वर्णयन्ति ।

No. 46.—Thus [as set forth in No. 45] do the teachers of the

Sāṅkhya, Kapila, Asuri, Panchas'ikha, Patanjali, &c., describe souls as many. The teachers who speak according to the *Vedānta* [the doctrine derived from the *Vedas*,] viz., Hariḥara, Hiranya-garbhā, Vyāsa, &c., describe Soul as one only.

कस्मादेवं । तदाह । पुरुष एवेदं । सर्वं यद्भूतं यच्च भाव्यं उता-
मृतत्वस्थेशानो यदन्येनातिरोहति । तदेवाग्निस्तदादित्यस्तद्वा-
युस्तच्च चन्द्रमाः । तदेव शुक्लं तद्गङ्गा तदापः स प्रजापतिः । तदेव
सत्यममृतं स मोक्षः सा परागतिः । तदचरं तत्सवितुर्वरेण्यं यस्मा-
त्परं नापरमस्ति किञ्चित् यस्मान्नापीयो न ज्यायो ऽस्ति किञ्चित् ।

No. 47.—Why thus (but one)? He replies. This [universe] is Soul only. [Soul is] all that has been, and that is to be, and the lord of immortality—that which by nought else is overlaid. It alone is fire, it is the sun, it is air, and it is the moon. It alone is pure, it is the vast one, it is the waters, it is the lord of creatures, it alone is the true nectar of immortality, it is liberation, it is the ultimate goal, it is the indestructible, it is the glory of the sun, it is that beyond which there is nothing else, than which there is nothing either more recent or more ancient.

वृक्ष इव स्तम्भो दिवि तिष्ठत्येकस्तेनेदं पूर्णं पुरुषेण सर्वं सर्वतः
पाणिपादं तत्सर्वतोऽक्षिशिरोमुखं सर्वतः श्रुतिमङ्गोके सवमाट्टत्य
तिष्ठति । सर्वेन्द्रियगुणाभासं सर्वेन्द्रियविवर्जितं । सर्वेषां प्रभु-
मीशानं सर्वस्य शरणं महत् । सर्वतः सर्वतत्त्वानि सर्वात्मा सर्व-
सम्भवः । सर्वं निखीयते यस्मिन् तद्गङ्गा मुनयो विदुः । एक
एव हि भूतात्मा देहे देहे व्यवस्थितः । एकधा ब्रह्मा धैव इत्य-

ते जलचन्द्रवत् । स हि सर्वेषु भूतेषु स्थावरेषु चरेषु च । वसत्ये-
को महानात्मा येन सर्वमिदं ततं । एको ऽयमात्मा जगतां स
केन बद्धधा कृतः । पृथक् वदन्ति चात्मानं ज्ञानादिकप्रवर्तने ।
ब्राह्मणे कर्मिकीटेषु श्वपाके शुनि हस्तिनि । पशुगोदंशम-
शके सनं पश्यन्ति पण्डिताः । एकमेव यथा सूत्रं सुवर्णं वर्तते
पुनः । मुक्तामणिप्रबालेषु मृगमये रजते तथा । तद्वज्रोषु मनुष्येषु
तद्वद्वस्तिमृगादिव । एको ऽयमात्मा विज्ञेयः सर्वत्रैव व्यवस्थितः ।

No. 48.—Firm as a tree it remains in the heavens. With that Soul all this is filled everywhere. On all sides are the hands, feet, eyes, heads, and faces of that [Soul-tree]—and, with ears in all directions, it stands embracing all—assuming the aspect of all the organs and qualities, though devoid of all the qualities—the lord and ruler of all—the great refuge of all—that which altogether is all the principles, is every soul, is the source of all—into which all is resolved—the sages regard it as *Brahma*. For only one soul is located in various bodies; as the Moon, though but one, appears many in [many vessels of] water. In all existences, immoveable or locomotive, it dwells—one only—by which all this [universe] was spread out. This soul, of all worlds, is but one:—by *whom* is it made more? Some speak of soul as several—seeing that Knowledge and other mental states are observable [simultaneously—some being happy while others are sad]; but in the *Bráhma*n, the worm, and the insect; in the outcaste, the dog, and the elephant; in goats, cows, gaddies, and gnats, the wise behold the same [single Soul]. Just as a thread may pass through golden beads, and again in like manner through pearls, gems, coral, earthen beads, or silver,—so this Soul is to be

regarded as remaining everywhere one—in cows, men, elephants, deer, &c.

अत्राह किं त्रैगुण्यं नाम । उच्यते । सत्त्वरजस्तमांसीति त्रैगुण्यं ।
त्रिगुणा एव त्रैगुण्यं ।

No. 49.—Now it is asked—what is the ‘triad of qualities’? It is replied—the triad of qualities consists of ‘Goodness’ (*sattwa*), ‘Foulness’ (*rajas*), and ‘Darkness’ (*tamas*). By the ‘triad of qualities’ is meant the ‘three qualities.’

सत्त्वं नाम प्रसादलाघवप्रसन्नाभीष्टगतिवृत्तितित्तिचासन्तोषादि
लक्षणमनन्तभेदं समासतस्सुखात्मकं ।

No. 50.—‘Goodness’ (*sattwa*) is endlessly diversified, accordingly as it is exemplified in calmness, lightness, complacency, attainment of wishes, kindness, contentment, patience, joy, and the like. Summarily, it consists of happiness.

रजो नाम शोकतापभेदोद्देगदोषगमनादिलक्षणमनन्तभेदं स-
मासतो दुःखात्मकं ।

No. 51.—‘Foulness’ (*rajas*) is endlessly diversified, accordingly as it is exemplified in grief, distress, separation, excitement, anxiety, fault-finding, and the like. Summarily, it consists of pain.

तमो नाम आच्छादनाज्ञानबीभत्सदैन्यगौरवालस्यनिद्राप्रमा-
दादिलक्षणमनन्तभेदं समासतो मोहात्मकं ।

No. 52.—‘Darkness’ (*tamas*) is endlessly diversified, accordingly as it is exemplified in envelopement, ignorance, disgust, abjectness, heaviness, sloth, drowsiness, intoxication, and the like.

Summarily, it consists of delusion.

एतावन्नैगुण्यं व्याख्यातं ।

सत्त्वं प्रकाशकं विद्याद्रजो विद्यात्प्रवर्त्तकम् ।

तमो ऽप्रकाशकं विद्यात् चैगुण्यं नाम संज्ञितं ॥

No. 53.—So far (i. e. in Nos. 50, 51, and 52,) has the 'triad of qualities' been expounded.

One should regard 'goodness' as 'illuminating'; one should regard 'foulness' as 'exciting'; one should regard 'darkness' as 'non-illuminating';—such is what is named the 'triad of qualities.'

अत्राह कः संचरः प्रतिसंचरश्च । अचोच्यते । उत्पत्तिः संचरः । प्रलयः प्रतिसंचरः । तचोत्पत्तिर्नाम ।

अथ क्तात्प्रागुपदिष्टात्सर्वगतपुरुषेण परेणाधिष्ठिता बुद्धि रत्यद्यते । अष्टगुणा बुद्धिः । बुद्धेस्तत्त्वादहंकार उत्पद्यते । सो ऽहंकारस्त्रिविधो वैकारिकस्तैजसो भूतादिरिति । तच्च वैकारिकादहंकाराद्देवता इन्द्रियाणिचोत्पद्यन्ते । भूतादेस्तन्मात्राणि । तैजसादुभयं । तन्मात्रेभ्यो भूतानीति संचरः ।

No. 54.—The next question is—what is (meant by) 'development' and 'resolution' (*sanchara* and *pratisanchara*—the 5th and 6th in Kapila's enumeration of topics—No. 6)? To this it is replied—'development' is production :—'reabsorption' (*pratisanchara*) is dissolution. [The order of] 'production' is as follows :—from the 'undiscrete' beforementioned [No. 7], superintended by Soul, which is another [than Nature, and for whose use is the assemblage of sensible objects,] and omnipresent, 'intellect' is

produced. 'Intellect' is of eight kinds—[No. 9]. From the principle of 'intellect' 'self-consciousness' [No. 17] is produced. 'Self-consciousness' is of three kinds [No. 18]—the 'modifying,' the 'active,' 'ardent,' or 'urgent,' and the 'origin of the elements.' From the 'modifying self-consciousness' the gods and the senses are produced; from [self-consciousness as] 'the origin of the elements' the 'subtile elements' (No. 19) are produced. From the 'active' both proceed, [for, without the 'active,' both the others are held to be inert]. From the 'subtile elements' [are produced] the [gross] 'elements':—such is [the order of] 'development.'

प्रतिसंचरो नाम भूतानि तन्मात्रेषु लीयन्ते तन्मात्राणीन्द्रियाणिचाहंकारे ऽहंकारो बुद्धौ बुद्धिरव्यक्ते । तदव्यक्तं कचिन्न प्रलीयते । कस्मादनुत्पद्यमानत्वात् । प्रकृतिं पुरुषंचैव विद्वानादीत्येवं प्रतिसंचरो व्याख्यातः ।

No. 55.—'Reabsorption' [No. 6] is as follows :—the 'elements' are resolved into the 'subtile elements'; the 'subtile elements' and the 'senses' into 'self-consciousness'; 'self-consciousness' into 'intellect'; 'intellect' into the 'undiscrete.' The 'undiscrete' is nowhere dissolved. Why? Because it was not produced out of anything (into which it might be resolvable). Regard Nature and Soul as being both eternal. Thus has 'reabsorption' been explained.

तत्राह किं तदध्यात्ममधिभूतमधिदैवंचेति । तचोच्यते । बुद्धिरध्यात्मं बोधयितव्यमधिभूतं ब्रह्मा तत्राधिदैवतं । अहंकारो ऽध्यात्मं मन्तव्यमधिभूतं रुद्रस्तत्राधिदैवतं । मनोऽध्यात्मं संकल्पि-

तथ्यमधिभूतं चन्द्रस्तचाधिदैवतं । ओचमध्यात्मं श्रीतथ्यमधिभूतं
आकाशमधिदैवतं । त्वगध्यात्मं स्पर्शयितथ्यमधिभूतं वायुस्तचाधि-
दैवतं । चक्षुरध्यात्मं द्रष्टव्यमधिभूतं आदित्यस्तचाधिदैवतं ।
जिह्वाध्यात्मं रसयितथ्यमधिभूतं वरुणस्तचाधिदैवतं । घ्राणमध्या-
त्मं घ्रातथ्यमधिभूतं पृथ्वी तचाधिदैवतं । वागध्यात्मं वक्तव्यमधि-
भूतं वह्निस्तचाधिदैवतं पाणी अध्यात्मं ग्रहीतथ्यमधिभूतं इन्द्रस्त-
चाधिदैवतं । पादावध्यात्मं गन्तव्यमधिभूतं विष्णुस्तचाधिदैव-
तं । पायुरध्यात्मं उत्सृष्टव्यमधिभूतं मित्रस्तचाधिदैवतं । उपस्थ-
मध्यात्मं आनन्दयितथ्यमधिभूतं प्रजापतिस्तचाधिदैवतं । एवमेतत्त-
योदशविधस्य करणस्याध्यात्ममधिभूतमधिदैवतं ।

No. 56.—Now it is asked—what is meant by the ‘ministers of soul’ [No 6], the ‘province of an organ,’ and the ‘presiding deity?’ To this it is replied:—‘intellect’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ ‘whatever is to be understood’ constitutes its ‘province;’ and its ‘presiding deity’ is Brahmá. ‘Self-consciousness’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be believed’ constitutes its ‘province;’ and Rudra is its ‘presiding deity.’ ‘Mind’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be resolved on’ constitutes its ‘province;’ the Moon is its ‘presiding deity.’ The ‘hearing’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be heard’ constitutes its ‘province;’ the Ether is its ‘supernatural presiding power.’ The ‘touch’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be touched’ constitutes its ‘province;’ the air is its ‘supernatural presiding power.’ The ‘sight’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be seen’ constitutes its ‘province;’ the sun is its ‘presiding deity.’ The

‘taste’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be tasted’ constitutes its ‘province;’ Varuṇa [the god of the waters] is its ‘presiding deity.’ The ‘smell’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be smelled’ constitutes its ‘province;’ the Earth is its ‘supernatural presiding power.’ The ‘voice’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be uttered’ constitutes its ‘province;’ its ‘presiding deity’ is either Saraswatí or Fire. The ‘hands’ are ‘ministers of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be grasped’ constitutes their ‘province;’ Indra is their ‘presiding deity.’ The feet are ‘ministers of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be gone over’ constitutes their ‘province;’ Vishṇu is their ‘presiding deity.’ The ‘organ of excretion’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ whatever is ‘to be excreted’ constitutes its ‘province;’ Mitra is its ‘presiding deity.’ The ‘organ of generation’ is a ‘minister of soul;’ what is ‘to be enjoyed’ constitutes its ‘province;’ Prajāpati is its ‘presiding deity.’

Such, in the case of each of the thirteen kinds of instruments [of the soul], is the respective ‘minister,’ ‘province,’ and ‘presiding deity’.

तत्त्वानि यो वेदयते यथावद् । गुणस्वरूपाण्यधिदैवतंच । विमुक्त-
पाप्मा गतदोषसङ्गो । गुणांस्तु भुङ्क्ते न गुणैः स युज्यते ।

॥ इति तत्त्ववादः ॥

No. 57.—Whosoever is correctly acquainted with the ‘principles’ [viz. the 8 ‘producers,’ 16 ‘productions,’ and ‘soul’], the nature of the ‘qualities,’ and the ‘presiding deities’ [No. 56], being liberated from his sins and released from the whole of his defects, enjoys [the various pleasing effects of] the ‘qualities,’ (while he remains in the world) and is liberated from the ‘qualities’ (when he attains to final emancipation).

So much for the discussion of the [25] 'principles' (*tattwa*).

अथ काष्ठाः पञ्चाभिबुद्धयः । उच्यते । व्यवसायो ऽभिमान इच्छा कर्त्तव्यता क्रियेति । अभिमुखबुद्धिरिदं करणीयं मयेति व्यवसायो बुद्धिः क्रिया । आत्मपरात्मस्वरूपप्रत्ययाभिमुखो ऽभिमानो अहंकारो बुद्धेः क्रिया । इच्छा वाञ्छा संकल्पो मनसो बुद्धिः क्रिया । शब्दादिविषयेन्द्रियश्रवणादिलक्षणकर्त्तव्यता बुद्धीन्द्रियाणां बुद्धिः क्रिया । वचनादिलक्षणबुद्धिः क्रिया कर्मेन्द्रियाणां सा क्रियेति । एवमेताः पञ्चाभिबुद्धयो व्याख्याताः ।

No. 58.—Now what are those five 'intelligent functions' (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—'ascertainment' (*adhyavasāya*), 'conceit' (*abhimāna*), 'willing' (*ichchhá*), 'adaptability' (*kartavyatā*), and 'action' (*kriyā*). The function of intellect when it decides 'This is to be done by me,' is 'ascertainment' (*adhyavasāya*). That function of the intellect, self-consciousness,—the notion that 'I act'—which fixes on the conceptions of 'self' and 'not-self'—is 'conceit'. The intelligent function of 'mind' is 'willing'—desiring and purposing. The intelligent function of the organs of sense (No. 27) is the 'adaptability' of each sense, such as the hearing, or the like, to its object, such as sound, or the like. The intelligent function of those (organs of action—No. 29—) which are recognised by 'utterance,' and the like, is called 'action'.

Thus have the five 'intelligent functions' been explained.

अथ काष्ठाः पञ्च कर्मयोगनय इत्युच्यते । धृतिः श्रद्धा सुखा ऽविविदिषा विविदिषाच पञ्च कर्मयोगनयः ।

वाच्यं कर्माणि संकल्प्य प्रतीतं योऽभिरक्षति ।

तन्निष्ठस्तत्प्रतिष्ठश्च धृतेरेतद्वि लक्षणं ॥

स्वाध्यायो ब्रह्मचर्यश्च यजनं याजनं तपः ।

दानं प्रतिग्रहो हेमः श्रद्धाया लक्षणं स्मृतं ॥

सुखार्थं वस्तु सेवेत विद्याकर्मतपांसि च ।

प्रायश्चित्तपरो नित्यं सुखेयं परिकीर्त्तिता ॥

विषयभङ्गमिश्रितान्तः करणत्वमविविदिषा । विविदिषाच ध्या-
निनां प्रज्ञानयोनिः ।

एकत्वं च पृथक्त्वं नित्यं चैवमचेतनं ।

सूक्ष्मसत्कार्यमक्षोभ्यं ज्ञेया विविदिषाच सा ॥

कार्यकारणक्षयकरी विविदिषा प्राकृतिकी धृतिः ।

एवमेताः पञ्च कर्मयोगनयो व्याख्याताः ।

No. 59.—Now, what are those five 'sources of action' (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—'firmness,' or 'decision of character' (*dhṛiti*), 'faith' (*śraddhā*), 'piety' (*sukhā*), 'indifference about knowledge' (*avividishā*), and 'desire of knowledge' (*vividishā*):—these are the five 'sources of action.'

Having resolved on any outward act, he who keeps his purpose, being intent on it, and carrying it into effect,—this [conduct of such a man] furnishes the definition of 'firmness.'

The characters by which 'faith' may be recognised are stated to be the perusal of the Scriptures, the condition of the religious student, sacrificing or causing sacrifices, penance, giving and receiving proper donations, and making oblations.

But he who may addict himself to study, to religious actions, and austerities, being always intent on penances with a view to the

obtaining of future enjoyments,—this [conduct of such a one] is called 'piety.'

'Indifference about knowledge' implies that the heart is engrossed with the sweets of sensual objects.

'Desire of knowledge' is the source of the wisdom of the wise.

The unity (of Nature), the severality (of Nature and Soul), the eternity [of Soul] and its unconsciousness, the subtilty [of Nature], the existence of effect [in its cause—even antecedently to manifestation—as the statue exists in the block of marble—] and the duration (of body even after the attainment of knowledge—see No. 69)—this is to be considered (as constituting the assemblage of matters which form the object of) 'the desire of (true) knowledge'.

अत्राह के पञ्च वायवः । उच्यते । प्राणो ऽपानः समानश्च उदानो व्यान एव च इत्येते वायवः पञ्च शरीरेषु शरीरिणां । तत्र प्राणो नाम वायुर्मुखनासिकाधिष्ठाता प्रणयनात्प्रक्रमणाच्च प्राण इत्यभिधीयते । अपानो नाम वायुर्नाभ्यधिष्ठाता अपनयनाद्वाधोगमनाच्चापान इत्यभिधीयते । समानो नाम वायुर्हृदधिष्ठाता समनयनात्समगमनाच्च समान इत्यभिधीयते । उदानो नाम वायुः कण्ठाधिष्ठाता ऊर्ध्वगमनादुत्क्रमणाच्चोदान इत्यभिधीयते । व्यानः सर्वव्यापकः । इति पञ्च वायवो व्याख्याताः । .

No. 60.—It is now asked—what are the five 'airs' (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—the five airs, in the bodies of those who have bodies, are those named *prāṇa*, *apāna*, *samāna*, *udāna*, and *vyāna*. The 'air' called *prāṇa* is that which is superintended by the mouth and nose. It is so named because it comprises 'inspiration' (*prāṇayana*) and 'expiration' (*prakramaṇa*). [This refers to

the process of *respiration*]. The 'air' called *apāna* is that which is superintended by the navel. It is so called from its 'taking away' (*apanayana*), and 'going downwards'. [This refers to *flatulence*]. The 'air' called *samāna* is superintended by the heart. It is so called from its 'leading and going equably' [neither up nor down—as far as regards the food, to aid in the *digestion* of which is its province]. The 'air' called *udāna* is that which is superintended by the throat. It is so named from its ascending and 'going upwards' (*utkramaṇa*). [This refers to the arterial pulsation &c. of the upper members of the body—the throat and head]. The 'air' called *vyāna* is the 'pervader' (*vyāpaka*) of the whole body. [This refers to pulsation in general and all the other involuntary actions of the system.]

Thus have the five 'airs' been described.

अत्राह के ते पञ्च कर्मात्मान इत्युच्यते । वैकारिकस्तैजसो भूतादिः सानुमानो निरनुमानश्च । तत्र वैकारिकः शुभकर्मकर्त्ता । तैजसो ऽशुभकर्मकर्त्ता । भूतादिर्निगूढकर्मकर्त्ता । सानुमानः शुभमूढकर्त्ता । निरनुमानो ऽशुभमूढकर्त्ता चैव पञ्च कर्मात्मानो व्याख्याताः ।

No. 61.—It is now asked—what are those five 'whose nature is action' (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—the 'modifying' (*vaikāri-ka*), the 'ardent' (*aijasa*), the 'origin of the elements' (*bhūtādi*), that which is 'associated with inference' (*sānumāna*), and that which is 'not associated with inference' (*niranumāna*). Among these the 'modifying' [form of 'self-consciousness'—see No. 18—] is the agent in good actions:—the 'ardent' is the agent in actions not good:—the 'origin of the elements' is the producer of things good but obscure:—[self-consciousness] 'associated with inference' is the worker of what is good but foolish:—and that which is 'not

associated with inference' is the worker of what is not good and (also) foolish.

Thus have the five been explained, 'whose nature is action.'

अत्राह का सा पञ्चपर्वऽविद्येति । तमो मोहो महामोहस्ता-
मिस्रोऽन्धतामिस्रश्चेति । तच्च तमोमोहावुभावष्टात्मकौ । महामोहो दशात्मकः । तामिस्रोऽन्धतामिस्रश्चाष्टादशात्मकौ । तमो नामाष्टसु प्रकृतिष्वव्यक्तबुद्ध्यहंकारपंचतन्मात्रसंज्ञितासु अनात्मसु आत्माभिमानस्तम इत्यभिधीयते । मोहो नाम अणिमाद्यष्टैश्वर्यं प्राप्तये योऽभिमान उत्पद्यते स मोह इत्यभिधीयते । महामोहो नाम दृष्टानुश्रविकेषु शब्दादिविषयेषु दशसु वृत्तिषु मुक्तोऽहमिति मन्यते स महामोह इत्यभिधीयते । तामिस्रो नामाष्टगुणैश्वर्येऽणिमाद्ये दशविधेच विषये यो द्वेषोऽप्रतिहतस्त्रयं दुःखमुत्पद्यते सोऽसौ तामिस्र इत्यभिधीयते । अन्धतामिस्रो नामाष्टगुणैश्वर्येऽणिमाद्ये दशविधेच विषये सिद्धे मरणकाले यो विषाद उत्पद्यते सोऽन्धतामिस्र इत्यभिधीयते । एवमेषा पञ्चपर्वऽविद्या द्विषष्टिभेदाऽऽख्याता ।

No. 62.—Here it is asked—what is that 'ignorance'—under five divisions [No. 6]? To this it is replied :—'obscurity' (*tamas*), 'illusion' (*moha*), 'extreme illusion' (*mahāmoha*), 'gloom' (*tāmisra*), and 'utter darkness' (*andha-tāmisra*). Among these, 'obscurity' and 'illusion' are each eightfold. 'Extreme illusion' is tenfold. 'Gloom' and 'utter darkness' are eighteenfold.

'Obscurity' is the conceit that [he will be liberated from trans-

migration because] soul [merges] into [some one or other of] the eight 'producers,' which are not Soul—viz., those called the 'undiscrete,' 'intellect,' 'self-consciousness,' and the five 'subtile elements,'—[the errors in regard to these eight severally making up the eight varieties of 'obscurity']. 'Illusion' is the conceit (of liberation) which arises from the possession of the eight kinds of superhuman power, such as 'minuteness' and the rest (No. 13). 'Extreme illusion' is when one supposes 'I am liberated' through (any one of) the ten modes (supplied by) the objects of sense, viz., sounds, &c., belonging to the seen and to the unseen (or scripture-revealed) world—(i. e. the five as perceived by men and the five as perceived by the gods). 'Gloom' is that unchecked hate (or fierce impatience) in regard to the (possession of the) eight kinds of superhuman power, 'minuteness,' &c., and the ten kinds of objects of sense, which (by preventing liberation) results in the three kinds of pain (incident to corporeal existence.) 'Utter darkness' is the name given to that grief which arises at the time of death, when one is in possession of (any one of) the eight kinds of superhuman power, or of the ten kinds of objects of sense.

Thus has fivefold 'ignorance' (the obstruction to the soul's object of final liberation) in its sixty-two varieties, been declared.

अत्राह का सा अष्टविंशतिधाऽशक्तिरुच्यते । एकादशेन्द्रिय-
बधाः सप्तदश बुद्धिबधाः । एषाष्टविंशतिधाऽशक्तिरिति । तत्रेन्द्रियबधास्तावदुच्यन्ते । श्रोत्रे बाधिर्यं जिह्वायां जडत्वं त्वचि कुष्ठित्वं चक्षुरूपत्वं नासिकायामग्राणत्वं वाचि मूकत्वं हस्तयोः कुण्ठित्वं पादयोः पंगुत्वं पाद्विन्द्रिये उदावर्त उपस्थेन्द्रिये क्लेश्यं मनसि उन्माद इत्येकादशेन्द्रियबधाः । सप्तदशबुद्धिबधा नाम विपर्ययास्तृष्टिसिद्धीनां । तृष्टिविपर्ययास्तावदुच्यन्ते ।

No. 63.—It is now asked—what is twenty-eightfold 'disability' (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—the depravity of the eleven organs, and the seventeen injuries of the intellect—these constitute twenty-eightfold 'disability.' Now the defects of the organs are stated:—in the organ of hearing, deafness; in the organ of taste, insensibility; in the organ of touch, leprosy; in the organ of sight, blindness; in the organ of smell, loss of smell; in the organ of utterance, dumbness; in the hands, crippledness; in the feet, lameness; in the organ of excretion, constipation; in the organ of generation, impotence; in the mind, insanity:—such are the defects of the eleven organs. The seventeen 'injuries of the intellect' are the reverse of (the nine kinds of) 'acquiescence' and of (the eight kinds of) 'perfectness.' What is meant by the 'reverse of acquiescence' is next stated.

तच्च नास्ति प्रधानमिति या प्रतिपत्तिरनन्ता । एवं महत्यात्मज्ञाने तामसलीना । तथाहंकारस्यादर्शनमवेद्या । नैव सन्ति तन्मात्राणि भूतकारणानीत्यदृष्टिः । विषयाणामर्जने प्रवृत्तिरसुतारा । रक्षणे तु प्रवृत्तिरसुपाराच । क्षयदोषमहृष्टार्थेषु प्रवृत्तिरसुनेत्रा । भोगासक्तिरसुमरीचिका । हिंसादोषमपश्यतो भोगारम्भो ऽनुत्तमाभसिका । इति तुष्टिविपर्यया नवधा ऽनुष्टये व्याख्याताः ।

No. 64.—Among these [that are the 'reverse of acquiescence'—No. 66—] that called *anantá* is the belief that there is no such thing as Nature. In like manner, (non-acquiescence) in the notion that Soul consists of Intellect, is that called *támasa-líná*. So, again—the non-recognition of 'self-consciousness' is that called *avedyá*. (The notion that) there are no 'subtile elements,'

the causes of the gross elements, is that called *avriṣṭi*. The concerning one's self about the acquisition of the objects of sense is that (form of non-acquiescence) called *asulára*; and (the concerning one's self) about their preservation is that called *asupára*. The concerning one's self about property, without regarding the evils of waste, is that called *asunetra*. The addiction to enjoyment is that called *asumaríchiká*. The engaging in enjoyment, on the part of one who does not look to the evils arising from injury (to created beings), is that called *anuttamámhasiká*.

Thus have the ninefold opposites of acquiescence been explained.

[The technical names here are the opposites of those adverted to in section No. 66.]

अथ सिद्धिविपर्ययाः । असिद्धयो ऽष्टौ चाभिधीयन्ते । नानात्वभूतमात्रस्यैकत्वमाविर्भूतमतारमुच्यते । शब्दमात्रश्रवणादिपरीतग्रहणमसुतारं । यथा नानात्वज्ञो मुक्त इति श्रुत्वा विपरीतं प्रतिपन्नो नानात्वज्ञो ह्यमुक्त इति । अध्ययनश्रवणाभिनिविष्टस्यापि जडत्वादसच्छास्त्रोपहतबुद्धित्वाद्वा पञ्चविंशतितत्त्वज्ञानसिद्धिर्न भवति तदा ऽतारतारं तदज्ञानं । कस्यचिदाध्यात्मिकेन दुःखेनाभिभूतस्यापि संसारे ऽनुद्देगादजिज्ञासेर्न ज्ञानं प्रमोदं । एवमप्रमुदिताप्रमोदमानयोश्चान्योन्ययोर्द्वयमपरं द्रष्टव्यं । सुहृदुपदिष्टे ऽप्यनिश्चयबुद्धेरज्ञानमरस्यं । असम्यग्वचनादयवा पराङ्मुखे गुरौ दुर्भाग्यस्य ज्ञानासिद्धिस्तदज्ञानमसत्प्रमुदितमिति । एवमेते सिद्धिविपर्यया असिद्धयो अष्टौ व्याख्याताः । एवमेष्टाविंशतिधा ऽशक्तिराख्याता ।

No. 65.—Now (as regards) the ‘opposites’ of perfectness. These are called also the eight ‘imperfections’ (*asiddhi*). When the diversity (of the various principles from which the creation proceeds) appears as the unity of the mere (phenomenal) creation—this (imperfect view of the truth) is called *atára*. After hearing the words merely (of a competent instructor), the adoption of the contrary is that called *asutára* :—as when, having heard that ‘he who acknowledges the various principles is liberated,’ one determines that it is the reverse—viz., that ‘he who acknowledges the various principles is *not* liberated.’ When a person, even though intent on studying and hearing, through obtuseness or from his intellect’s being impaired by unsound doctrine, does not attain to a perfect knowledge of the twenty-five principles, then his ignorance is called *atáratára*. To another, who, though oppressed by the pain inseparable from body and mind, yet, from feeling no anxiety about transmigration, entertains no curiosity, knowledge is no ‘delight’ (*pramoda*). Analogously are the next two to be regarded—viz., (the forms of ‘imperfection’ termed—as the opposites of the corresponding two forms of ‘perfectness’ in No. 67—) *apramudita* and *apramodamána*. Ignorance that arises from the not arriving at certain knowledge even on being instructed by a friend, is that called *arasya*. When, from his preceptor’s disregarding him, or not instructing him correctly, an unfortunate man does not attain to knowledge, his ignorance is called *asatpramudita*.

Thus have those ‘opposites of perfectness,’ the eight imperfections, been explained. [The technical names are the opposites of those adverted to in section No. 67.]

Thus have the twenty-eight kinds of ‘disability’ [No. 63] been explained.

अत्राह का सा नवधा तुष्टिरचोच्यते । प्रकृतिं परमात्मत्वेन

परिकल्प्य परितुष्ट्ये माध्यस्थ्यं लभते तस्य तुष्टेर्या सा तान्त्रि-
कीसंज्ञाश्चम्भ इति । अपरो बुद्धिं परमात्मत्वेन प्रतिपद्य परितुष्टस्य
तुष्टेः सलिला इति संज्ञा । अन्यो ऽहंकारं परमात्मत्वेनाभ्युपगम्य प-
रितुष्टस्य तुष्टेरोघ इति संज्ञा । इतरस्तन्मात्राणि अभोग्याख्यानि
परमात्मत्वेन विनिश्चित्य परितुष्टस्तस्य तुष्टेः दृष्टिरिति संज्ञा ।
एवं अध्यात्मिकाश्चतस्रस्तुष्टयो भवन्ति । चतसृष्वपि तुष्टिषु ना-
स्ति मोक्षस्तत्त्वज्ञानस्यासम्भवात् । वाच्या अर्थार्जनरक्षणक्षयसंग-
हिंसादिदोषदर्शनाद्विषयोपरमे पञ्च तुष्टयो भवन्ति । अर्थाना-
मर्जने दोषदर्शनात्तुष्टः प्रव्रजितस्यापि नास्ति मोक्षस्तत्त्वज्ञानाभा-
वात् सा पञ्चमी तुष्टिः सुतारेत्यभिधीयते । अन्यो ऽर्थानां रक्षणे
दोषदर्शनात्तुष्टः प्रव्रजितस्यापि नास्ति मोक्षस्तत्त्वज्ञानाभावात् सा
षष्ठी तुष्टिः सुपारेत्युच्यते । अन्यो ऽर्थानां क्षये दोषदर्शनात्तुष्टः प्रव्र-
जित स्यापि नास्ति मोक्षस्तत्त्वज्ञानाभावात् सा सप्तमी तुष्टिः सुने-
चेत्यभिधीयते । अन्यो ऽर्थानां संगदोषदर्शनान्निरुद्धस्तुष्टः प्रव्रजित-
स्यापि नास्ति मोक्षस्तत्त्वज्ञानाभावात् सा ऽष्टमी तुष्टिः सुमरीचि-
केत्यभिधीयते । अन्यो भूतानामर्थनिमित्तहिंसादिदोषदर्शनान्निरु-
द्धस्तुष्टः प्रव्रजितस्यापि नास्ति मोक्षस्तत्त्वज्ञानाभावात् सा नवमी
तुष्टिः रुतमा सात्त्विकीत्यभिधीयते । इत्येता नव तुष्टयो व्याख्याताः ।

No. 66.—Now it is asked—what is ninefold ‘acquiescence’ [No. 6]? To this it is replied :—having supposed that Nature is Soul, a man contentedly betakes himself to indifference :—the

technical [or slang] name of his indifference is *ambhas*, [the ordinary sense of which word is 'water']. Another, having satisfied himself that 'intellect' is Soul, is contented. The technical name of his 'acquiescence' is *salila* 'water.' Another, having come to the conclusion that 'self-consciousness' is Soul, is contented. The technical name of his 'acquiescence' is *ogha* 'quantity.' Another, having decided that the 'subtile elements,' those called the 'unfitted for [mortal] fruition' [No. 25] are Soul, is contented. The technical name of his 'acquiescence' is *vrish-ṭi* 'rain.' Such are the four 'internal' or 'spiritual' (*ādhyātmika*) kinds of 'acquiescence.' Where [together or separately] those four kinds of acquiescence exist, liberation does not take place—from the inconsistency [of such sentiments] with a knowledge of the principles.

The five 'external' (*vāhya*) kinds of 'acquiescence' consist in abstaining from [the enjoyment of the five] objects of sense, [such abstinence proceeding] from observation of the evils of acquiring, preserving, waste, attachment [to sensual pleasures], and injuriousness. A man is acquiescent (and abstinent) from observation of the evils attendant on the acquiring of property; but his liberation—even though he be an ascetic—does not take place, because of the (quite possibly concurrent, and here assumed,) absence of a knowledge of the principles. This fifth kind of 'acquiescence' is (technically) named *sutāra*. Another is acquiescent from observation of the evils attendant on the preserving of property; but his liberation—even though he be an ascetic—in the absence of a knowledge of the principles, does not take place. This sixth kind of 'acquiescence' is (technically) named *supārā*. Another is acquiescent from observation of the evils of the waste of property; but his liberation—even though he be an ascetic—in the absence of a knowledge of the principles,

does not take place. This seventh kind of 'acquiescence' is (technically) named *sunetra* ('a beautiful eye'). Another is acquiescent from observation of the evils attendant on attachment (to sensual pleasures)—but his liberation—even though he be an ascetic—in the absence of a knowledge of the principles, does not take place. This eighth kind of 'acquiescence' is (technically) named *sumarīchikā*. Another is acquiescent, and abstains from worldly acts, from observation of the evils in the shape of injury, &c., to created beings on account of property—but his liberation—even though he be an ascetic—in the absence of a knowledge of the principles, does not take place. This ninth kind of 'acquiescence' is (technically) named *anuttamā* ('best'), or *sātwikī* ('amiable').

Thus have these nine kinds of acquiescence been explained.

अत्राह का ऽष्टौ सिद्धय इत्युच्यते । यदूहेन ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा प्रथमा सिद्धिस्तारेत्यभिधीयते । यच्छब्दमात्रेण ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा द्वितीया सिद्धिः सुतारेत्यभिधीयते । यदध्ययनेन ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा तृतीया सिद्धिस्तारयन्तीत्यभिधीयते । यदाध्यात्मिकस्य दुःखस्यापनोदनं कृत्वा ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा चतुर्थी सिद्धिः प्रमोदेत्यभिधीयते । यदाधिभौतिकस्य दुःखस्यापनोदनं कृत्वा ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा पञ्चमी सिद्धिः प्रमोदितेत्यभिधीयते । यदाधिदैवतस्य दुःखस्यापनोदनं कृत्वा ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा षष्ठी सिद्धिः प्रमोदमानेत्यभिधीयते । यत्सिद्धयसंसर्गाव्यपानाज्ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु सा सप्तमी सिद्धिः रम्यकेत्यभिधीय-

ते। यत्परिचर्यादानेन ज्ञानमुत्पद्यते तत्त्वभावभूतेषु परितोषिते गुरौ
साष्टमी सिद्धिः सत्प्रमुदितेत्यभिधीयते। इत्येता अष्टसिद्धयो
व्याख्याताः।

No. 67.—Now it is asked—what are the eight kinds of ‘perfectness’ (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—the knowledge which arises from reasoning, in regard to the principles (No. 57), the conditions of intellect (No. 9), and the elemental creation (No. 72)—this, the first kind of ‘perfectness,’ is (technically) named *tāra*. The knowledge, in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental creation, which arises from hearing alone—this second kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *sutāra*. The knowledge, in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and elemental creation, which arises from study,—this third kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *tārayantī*. The knowledge, in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental creation, which arises on effecting the removal of internal pain,—this fourth kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *pramoda* (‘delight’). The knowledge, in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental creation, which arises on effecting the removal of external pain—this fifth kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *pramodita* (‘delighted’). The knowledge, in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental creation, which arises on effecting the removal of pain occasioned by something superhuman—this sixth kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *pramodamāna*. The knowledge, in regard to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental creation, which arises from continuance of association with amiable persons,—this seventh kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *ramyakā*. The knowledge, in regard

to the principles, the conditions of intellect, and the elemental creation, when a teacher is propitiated by giving him veneration,—this eighth kind of ‘perfectness’ is (technically) named *satpramudita*.

Thus have these eight kinds of ‘perfectness’ been explained.

अत्राह के दश मूलिकार्या इत्युच्यते। अस्तित्वमेकत्वमथार्थ-
वत्त्वं परार्थमन्यत्वमकर्तृता च योगो वियोगो बन्धवः पुमांसः स्थितिः
शरीरस्य विशेषवृत्तिः। इत्येते दश मूलिकार्याः।

No. 68.—Now it is asked—what are the ten ‘radical facts’ (No. 6)? To this it is replied—the existence (of ‘Soul’ and of ‘Nature’); the singleness (of Nature); its objectiveness; its subservience; the distinctness (of Soul from Nature); and the inertness (of Soul); the union (of Soul and Nature); the separation (of Soul from its corporeal frame); the peculiar habit of body—its durability (after it ought to have disappeared).

Such are the ten ‘radical facts.’

संघातपरार्थत्वादिति पुरुषास्तित्वं सिद्धं। भेदानां परिमाणात्कार-
णमस्त्यथ्यक्तमिति पर्यायद्वयेन प्रधानस्यास्तित्वं सिद्धं। हेतुमद-
नित्यमित्येकत्वं सिद्धं। प्रीत्यप्रोतिविषादात्मकादय इत्यर्थवत्त्वं
सिद्धं। नानाविधैरुपायैरिति परार्थकत्वं सिद्धं। त्रिगुणमविवेकि-
विषय इत्यन्यत्वं सिद्धं। तस्माच्च विपर्ययादित्यकर्तृत्वं सिद्धं।
पुरुषस्य दर्शनार्थं कैवल्यार्थं तथा प्रधानस्यापोति योगः सिद्धः।
प्राप्ते शरीरभेदे चरितार्थादिति वियोगसिद्धिः। जन्ममरणकरणा-
नामिति पुरुषबद्धत्वं सिद्धं। चक्रभ्रमवदिति विशेषवृत्तिः सिद्धा।

एते दश मूलिकार्या व्याख्याताः ।

No. 69.—‘ Since the assemblage of sensible objects is for another’s use,’ the existence of Soul is established. The existence of Nature is established by the pair in order—(of antecedent and consequent)—viz., that ‘ since specific objects are finite,’ therefore ‘ there is a general cause which is undiscrète.’ Since ‘ what is causable is inconstant (and multitudinous), &c.,’ the singleness (of Nature which is not caused by aught) is established. Since ‘ these (meaning the Qualities) consist in pleasure, pain, and dullness, &c.,’ (see the XIIth of the Memorial Verses of the Sāṅkhya—) the objectiveness (of Nature) is established. Since ‘ by manifold means (Nature, without benefit to herself, accomplishes the wish of Soul,)’ the subserviency (of Nature) is established. Since ‘ it (the ‘ undiscrète,’ as well as its modifications) has the three qualities, is indiscriminative, objective, &c.,’ the distinctness (of Soul, which is, in all these respects, the reverse,) is established. ‘ From the contrast’ (shown to exist between active Nature and Soul) the inertness (of Soul) is established. ‘ For the Soul’s contemplation of nature, and for its abstraction, &c.’—thus is the union (of the two) established. Since ‘ separation (of the Soul) from the body takes place, when the object is accomplished,’ the separation of the two is established. Since ‘ of birth, death, and the instruments of life, (the allotment is severally diverse),’ the multiplicity of Soul is established. Since it is ‘ like the whirling of the potter’s wheel, (after the impulse that set it in motion has been discontinued),’ the peculiar habit (of body, which continues, till death, to invest the soul even of him who has attained to perfect knowledge,) is established.

Thus have the ten ‘ radical facts’ been explained.

सप्तदशानां प्रागुपदिष्टानाञ्च पञ्चाशत् प्रत्यया एतेच षष्टि

पदार्थाः षष्टितन्त्रमित्युच्यते ।

No. 70.—The fifty intellectual modifications consisting of the seventeen (enumerated in Nos. 64 and 65) and of those (thirty three) previously exhibited (in Nos. 62 and 63), together with these (ten ‘ radical facts’—No. 69—) make up the sixty topics called the ‘ System of Sixty.’

अत्राह कोऽनुग्रहसर्गः । अत्रोच्यते । वाङ्मानां पञ्चतन्त्राचेभ्यश्चो-
त्पन्नानुग्रहसर्गः । मानोत्पन्नेराधारवर्जितानुत्पन्नान्दृष्ट्वा तेभ्य-
स्तन्त्राचेभ्योऽनुग्रहसर्गमसृजद्ब्रह्मा ।

No. 71.—Now it is asked—what is ‘ benevolent creation’ (No. 6)? To this it is replied :—‘ benevolent creation’ consists in the production of external objects from the five ‘ subtile elements.’ (As it is said) ‘ Brahmá, perceiving these (senses, &c.) produced from thought (—see No. 19) to be destitute of a sphere of action, created, from these ‘ subtile elements,’ this ‘ benevolent creation.’

अत्राह कश्चतुर्दशविधो भूतसर्ग इत्युच्यते । अष्टविकल्पो
दैवः पैशाचो राक्षसो याक्षो गान्धर्व ऐन्द्रः प्राजापत्यो ब्राह्म
इत्यष्टौ देवयोनयः । पञ्चधा तिर्यग्योनिश्च पशुपक्षिम्ह-
सरीसृपस्थावरान्तेति । मानुषकश्चैकविधं ब्राह्मणादि चाण्डा-
लान्तं । गवादि मूषकान्तं पशवः । सिंहादि शृगालान्तं मृ-
गाः । गरुडादि मशकान्ताः पक्षिणः । शेषादि कीटान्ताः सरी-
सृपाः । पारिजातादि वृक्षान्ताः स्थावराः । इति तिर्यग्यो-
निश्च पञ्चधा भवति । समासतोऽयं त्रिधा सर्गः । एतत्संसा-

रमण्डलमुक्तं ।

No. 72.—Now it is asked—what is the fourteenfold ‘elemental creation’ (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—the divine kind is of eight sorts—that of hobgoblins, of titans, of attendants on the god of riches, of celestial quiristers, of demigods, of divine sages, of the planetary regents, and of the supreme deities. Such are the eight families of divinities. The grovelling kind is fivefold—that of domestic animals, winged animals, wild animals, reptiles, and, lastly, of fixed things. Mankind is single in its class—beginning with the Bráhmaṇ, and ending with the Chāṇḍála. Domestic animals, beginning with the cow, end with the mouse. Wild animals, beginning with the lion, end with the jackal. Winged animals, beginning with the bird of Vishṇu (or the adjutant), end with the gnat. Reptiles, beginning with the World-snake, end with the worm. Fixed things, beginning with the tree of Paradise, end with grass. Thus is the grovelling kind fivefold. Compendiously, this (elemental) creation is threefold—(viz.,—divine, human, and grovelling—and, thus viewed, it supplies the 21st topic in Kapila’s enumeration—see No. 6.) All this constitutes what is called the mundane orb.

अत्राह कस्त्रिविधो बन्धः । इत्युच्यते । प्रकृतिबन्धो वैकारिकबन्धो दक्षिणबन्धश्चेति । तत्र प्रकृतिबन्धो नामाष्टौ प्रकृतयस्ताः परत्वेनाभिमन्यमानस्य प्रकृतिलयः प्रकृतिबन्ध इत्युच्यते । तत्र वैकारिकबन्धो नाम प्रव्रजितानां लौकिकानां वैकारिकेन्द्रियैर्वशीकृतानां शब्दादिविषये प्रसक्तानामजितेन्द्रियाणामज्ञानिनां काममोहितानां वैकारिकबन्ध इत्युच्यते । दक्षिणबन्धो नाम गृहस्थव्रह्मचारिभिर्बुधैश्चानसानां काममोहोपहतचेतसामभि-

मानपूर्विकां दक्षिणां प्रयच्छतां दक्षिणबन्ध इत्युच्यते । इति चि-
विधो बन्धो व्याख्यातः । उक्तञ्च प्राकृतेन तु बन्धेन तथा वैकारि-
केण च । दक्षिणाभिस्तृतीयेन बन्धो ऽयञ्च निगद्यते ॥

No. 73. —Now it is asked—what is threefold ‘bondage’ (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—the bondage of the ‘producers,’ that of the ‘modifications,’ and that of ‘ritual observance.’ And first of the ‘bondage of the producers:’—this is the name given to the ‘absorption into nature’ (No. 15.) of him who imagines that (any of) the eight ‘producers’ constitute Soul. The ‘bondage of the modifications’ is the name given to that (which opposes the liberation) of those wordly devotees who are in the power of the ‘modifications’ of nature (No. 26), such as the senses—who are devoted to objects of sense, such as sounds, &c.,—who have not their organs in subjection—who are ignorant, and deluded by passion. The ‘bondage of ritual’ is the name given to that (which opposes the liberation) of those who, whether householders, students, mendicants, or anchorets, with minds vitiated by passion and delusion, bestow (on Bráhmans, upon solemn or sacrificial occasions,) gifts prompted by conceit.

Thus has threefold ‘bondage’ been explained. And it is said—
“Bondage is spoken of by the title of ‘bondage through nature’—
‘bondage through nature’s modifications’—and, thirdly, as that
‘through gifts.’”

तत्राह कस्त्रिविधो मेघः । ज्ञानोद्रेकादिन्द्रियरागोपशमात्कृत्स्न-
क्षयाच्चेति । ज्ञानोद्रेकादिन्द्रियरागोपशमात्सधर्माधर्मक्षयो भवति
धर्माधर्मक्षयाच्च कैवल्यमिति । उक्तञ्च । आलोहि मेघो ज्ञानेन

द्वितीयो रागसंचयात् । कृत्स्नचयान्तृतीयस्तु व्याख्यानं मेघ-
लक्षणं ।

No. 74.—Here it is asked—what is threefold ‘liberation’ (No. 6)?—It is (1) from the increase of knowledge, (2) from the subduing of the senses and passions, and (3) from the destruction of the whole. From the increase of knowledge and the subduing of the senses and passions, there results the destruction both of merit and demerit, and, from the destruction of merit and demerit, (liberation in the shape of) ‘singleness’ (*kaivalya*). And it is said—“The first liberation is (gained) by knowledge; the second, from the destruction of passion; and the third, from the destruction of all:—such are the characters of liberation.”

किं त्रिविधं प्रमाणमित्युच्यते । दृष्टमनुमानमाप्तवचनञ्चेति । एत-
त्त्रिविधं प्रमाणं ।

No. 75.—What is threefold ‘Proof’ (No. 6)? To this it is replied:—‘perception,’ ‘inference,’ and ‘right affirmation’—this is threefold ‘proof.’

दृष्टं तावद्व्याख्यायते । यावदिन्द्रियाणां पञ्चेन्द्रियार्थाः प्रत्यक्षा
एव दृष्टं ।

No. 76.—‘Perception’ (*drishta*) is now described. Whenever the five objects of the senses are present to the senses, there is ‘perception.’

अनुमानं प्रमाणं लिङ्गदर्शने जायमानं ज्ञानं । यथा मेघोदये
दृष्टिः साध्यते । वलाकादिभिः सलिलं । धूमेनाग्निः । परमिद-
मनुमानं ।

No. 77.—The proof called ‘inference’ is knowledge arising on

the recognition of a ‘sign’ (*linga*). For example—by the rising of clouds (regarded as a sign of approaching rain) rain is proved (to be approaching):—by cranes and the like (regarded as a sign attendant on the sheets of water which they frequent) water (is proved to be in the neighbourhood of the place where the cranes are seen). By smoke (regarded as a sign of fire) fire (is proved to exist where the smoke originates):—this is the third kind of inference.

प्रत्यक्षेणानुमानेन वा यो ऽर्थो न साध्यते स आप्तवचनात्साध्यते ।
यथेन्द्रो देवानां राजा । उत्तराः कुरवः । सौवर्णो मेरुः । स्वर्गो
ऽक्षरस इति । ते इन्द्रादयः प्रत्यक्षानुमानासाध्याश्च वशिष्ठादयो
मुनयो वदन्ति । सन्तीन्द्रादयः । आगमो ऽप्यस्ति । स्वकर्मण्य-
भियुक्तो यो रागद्वेषविवर्जितः । ज्ञानवान् शीलसम्यक् आतो ज्ञे-
यस्तु तादृशः । एवमेतत्त्रिविधं प्रमाणमभिहितं ।

No. 78.—A fact which is not established by ‘perception’ or by ‘inference,’ is established (when it is established) by ‘right affirmation’. Such matters (not proveable either by perception or by inference) are (the existence of) Indra the king of the gods, the northern *Kurus*, the golden mountain *Meru*, the nymphs in Paradise. These—Indra, &c.,—not proveable either by perception or by inference, the sages, such as Vas’ishta declare—saying “Indra &c. *do exist*.” There is also the Scripture (—which is an authority sufficient to establish such points).

“He who is assiduous in his own duties, devoid of passion and malice, intelligent, and virtuous—such a one is to be held ‘worthy’ (to be received as an authority in regard to matters not demonstrable by perception or by inference).”

Thus has ‘threefold proof’ been declared.

अत्राह तेन त्रिविधेन प्रमाणेन किं साध्यते । अत्रोच्यते । यथा
लोके मानेन प्रस्थादिभिर्धान्यानि मीयन्ते तुलयाचन्दनादीनि द्रव्या-
णि एवमनेन तत्त्ववभावभूतानि प्रमीयन्ते ।

No. 79.—Now it is asked—what is established by this ‘three-
fold proof’? To this it is replied:—As, in common life, things are
estimated by means of a measure—grain and the like by means
of such (measures of capacity) as a *prastha*, and sandal &c. by
means of weights—so by means of this (apparatus of ‘perception,’
‘inference,’ and ‘right affirmation’), the ‘principles,’ the ‘modifi-
cations of intellect,’ and the ‘elemental creation,’ [—see No. 67—]
are accurately determined [*pramāyante*—whence their name of
pramāna ‘proof.’]

त्रिविधेन दुःखेनाभिभूतो ब्राह्मणः कपिलमहर्षिः शरणमुपागतः ।
अत्राह किं त्रिविधं दुःखमित्युच्यते । आध्यात्मिकमाधिभौतिक-
माधिदैविकमिति ।

No. 80.—“Oppressed by ‘threefold pain,’ the Brāhman had
recourse to the great sage Kapila”—[see No. 5]—so the ques-
tion arises—what is ‘threefold pain’? To this it is replied—‘natu-
ral and inseparable’ (*ādhyātmika*); ‘natural and extrinsic’ (*ādhi-
bhautika*); and ‘non-natural or superhuman’ (*ādhidaiivika*).

तत्राध्यात्मिकं द्विविधं शरीरं मानसञ्चेति । शरीरे भवं शा-
रीरं । मनसि भवं मानसमिति । तत्र शरीरं नाम वातपित्तस्त्रे
ध्मणां वैषम्याद् दुःखमुत्पद्यते । ज्वरातीसारविसृचिकामूर्च्छा-
दिकं तच्छारीरमुच्यते । कामक्रोधलोभमोहमदेर्ष्यादिकं प्रियवियो-
गाप्रियसंयोगादिकं तन्मानसमित्युच्यते ।

No. 81.—Pain ‘natural and inseparable’ [No. 80] is of two
kinds, corporeal and mental. ‘Corporeal’ means residing in the
body; ‘mental’ means residing in the mind. Pain, arising from
disorder of the wind, bile, or phlegm, and taking the form of
fever, flux, cholera, swooning, &c., is called ‘corporeal’. Desire,
anger, covetousness, folly, madness, envy, &c.,—privation of
what is liked and approximation of what is disliked—this is
called ‘mental’ [pain or evil.]

[The pain called *ādhyātmika* is that which arises from the
things called *adhyātma*—see No. 56].

अधिभूतेभ्यो भवं आधिभौतिकं । मानुषपशुवृक्षसरीसृपस्याव-
रेभ्यो दुःखमुत्पद्यते तदाधिभौतिकं ।

No. 82.—What arises from an object of sense (*adhibūta*—see
No. 56)—is called *ādhibhautika* (‘natural and extrinsic’). Pain
is ‘natural and extrinsic’ which arises from men, cattle, wild
beasts, reptiles, or things that do not move.

अधिदेवेभ्यो जातं आधिदैविकं । शीतोष्णवातवर्षाशनिपाता-
दिनिमित्तं यद् दुःखमुत्पद्यते तदाधिदैविकं ।

No. 83.—What arises from a supernatural agent (*adhidaiva*—
see No. 56)—is called *ādhidaiivika* (‘non-natural’ or ‘superhu-
man’). Of this description is pain which arises from cold, heat,
wind, rain, thunderbolts, and the like.

अनेन त्रिविधेन दुःखेनाभिभूतस्य ब्राह्मणस्य जिज्ञासेत्यन्ना ।
ज्ञानुमिच्छा जिज्ञासा यथा दृषितस्य पानीयं पातुमिच्छा पिपासा ।

No. 84.—[As stated at the outset—in the mind] of the Brāh-
man, oppressed by the three kinds of pain, there arose ‘a desire to

know'—such is the meaning of the word *jijñásá* (the desiderative noun from *jáná* 'to know'), just as *pípásá* (from *pá* 'to drink') means the desire of a thirsty man to drink water.

एतत्समासनिश्चयसं । एतज्ज्ञात्वा पुनर्जन्म न स्यादिति । एवं
महर्षेर्विज्ञानं कपिलस्य महात्मनः । अनष्टुपकन्दसाचाच ज्ञेयं
स्योक्तशतत्रयं । इति श्री तत्त्वसमासाख्यसूत्रवृत्तिः समाप्ता । शुभ-
मस्तु ॥

No. 85.—This is saving knowledge (derived) from the compendium (of principles laid down by KAPILA.) Having known this, there will be no further transmigration. Such is the doctrine of the magnanimous great sage Kapila.

It is to be observed that there are in this treatise (as much as, three hundred couplets of the *Anushthubh* metre.

Thus concludes the comment on the aphorisms called 'The Compendium of Principles.'

86.—Of the name *Sāṅkhya*, which the philosophical system of KAPILA bears, two explanations are given. According to the one explanation, the system is so named, from the word *sankhyá* 'number,'—"because it observes precision of reckoning in the enumeration of its principles." As the word *sankhyá* also signifies "deliberation or judgment," the name was more probably intended to designate the result of the "deliberate judgment" of KAPILA on the great problem of the universe—with a special regard to emancipation from the evil that prevails in the world.

87.—The 'twenty-five 'principles' (*tattva*) of the system, it will be observed, are comprised in the first three aphorisms (No. 6.)—viz., the 'eight producers,' the 'sixteen productions,' and 'Soul.' These twenty-five principles, according to the *Sāṅkhya*, constitute "all that is;" and KAPILA, we have seen, promises

the enquirer that his final liberation from all distress will be the result of understanding "the real nature of all that is." A noticeable distinction between Kapila's way of speaking of things, and that of the Naiyāyikas, presents itself in their respective choice of a fundamental verb. The language of the Nyāya is moulded on the verb "to be," and that of the Sāṅkhya on the verb "to make." The Nyāya asks "what is?"—the Sāṅkhya asks "what makes it so?" The one presents us with a "compte rendu" of the Universe as it stands:—the other presents as with a cosmogony. As the one subdivides its subject-matter into the two exhaustive categories of Existence and Non-existence, the other exhibits everything (except 'Soul'—the spectator of the phantasmagoria) under the two aspects of 'producer' and 'production.'

The 'productions' are held to be not other than the 'producers' modified; and the producers—all except the first of them—are but modifications of the first—the *mūla-prakṛiti*. By what process of thought the notion of such a first principle is arrived at, the following extract from Morell's History of Philosophy (Vol. 1. p. 208), may serve to illustrate. Mr. Morell is there speaking of one of the latest German systems, that of Herbart.

88.—"The process by which the necessity of philosophy comes to be felt is the following:—When we look round us upon the world in which we live, our knowledge commences by a perception of the various objects that present themselves on every hand to our view. What we immediately perceive, however, is not actual essence, but phenomena; and after a short time, we discover that many of those phenomena are unreal; that they do not portray to us the actual truth of things as they are; and that if we followed them implicitly, we should soon be landed in the midst of error and contradiction. For example,

"what we are immediately conscious of in coming into contact with the external world, are such appearances as green, blue, bitter, sour, extension, resistance, &c. These phenomena, upon reflection, we discover not to be so many real independent existences, but properties inhering in certain substances, which we term things. Again, when we examine further into these *substances*, we discover that they are not real ultimate essences, but that they consist of certain elements, by the combination of which they are produced. What we term the *reality*, therefore, is not *the thing as a whole*, but the elements of which it is composed. Thus the further we analyse, the further does the idea of *reality* recede backwards; but still it must always be somewhere, otherwise we should be perceiving a nonentity. The last result of the analysis is the conception of an absolutely simple element, which lies at the basis of all phenomena in the material world, and which we view as the essence that assumes the different properties which come before us in sensation."

89.—This "essence that assumes the different properties which come before us in sensation,"—this, which the European analyst arrives at as "the last result of the analysis"—is what the Sāṅkhya expositor, proceeding synthetically, lays down as his first position. This primordial essence—among the synonymes for which, given in our text-book (No. 7), are the 'undiscrete,' the 'indestructible,' that 'in which all generated effect is comprehended,' &c., is the 'Absolute' of German speculation. The developement of this principle, according to one of Schelling's views (noticed by Mr. Morell at p. 147 vol. 2d) is "not the free and designed operation of intelligence, but rather a blind impulse working, first unconsciously in nature, and only coming to self-consciousness in mind." So, according to KAPILA, "from Nature issues Mind, and thence Self-consciousness" [see No. 54]:

—but here a striking difference between the European and the Oriental theory presents itself—for the Self-consciousness, which so many European philosophers assume as the only certain starting-point, and as the very characteristic of Soul, is declared by KAPILA to be no property of Soul, and to be regarded as such only through a delusion. In the 64th of the 'memorial verses' of Īś'wara KRISHṆA, as translated by Mr. Colebrooke, KAPILA says:—

90.—"So, through study of principles, the conclusive, incontrovertible, one only knowledge is attained, that neither I AM, nor is aught mine, nor do I exist."

91.—This statement M. Cousin not unnaturally regards as amounting to "le nihilisme absolu, dernier fruit du scepticisme"—but Professor Wilson, in accordance with the commentaries, declares that "It is merely intended as a negation of the soul's having any active participation, any individual interest or property, in human pains, possessions, or feelings." The Soul, according to the Sāṅkhya, might be described in the terms in which Fichte speaks of the Mind, "as it were, an intelligent eye, placed in the central point of our inward consciousness, surveying all that takes place there"—(Morell—Vol. 2. p. 95). In the words of KAPILA (Verse 19th), "Soul is witness, solitary, bystander, spectator, and passive." Soul being thus inert, all that is done arises from the energy of the 'radical principle'—of which one might correctly speak in the terms employed by Schelling in speaking of the 'Absolute,' where he says—"The primary form of the Absolute is *will*, or *self-action*. It is an absolute power of becoming in reality what it is in the germ." (Morell—Vol. 2. p. 150).

92.—The 'Absolute,' the germ, in the hands of KAPILA, having reached the form of 'Self-consciousness'—*ahankāra*—the

'making of an I,'—the 'positing' of an *Ego*'—the course of subsequent developement runs parallel, for some distance, with that followed by Fichte, who takes the 'Ego' as his starting point. According to a writer in Brande's Dictionary—"To use the language of Fichte—the ego is absolute, and posits itself: it is a pure activity. As its activity, however, has certain indefinite limits, when it experiences this limitation of its activity, it also posits a non-ego, and so originates the objective world. The ego, therefore, cannot posit itself without at the same time projecting a non-ego; which, consequently, is in so far the mere creation of the ego." In like manner the *ahankāra* of KAPILA creates [No. 54] out of itself the five 'subtile elements,' the bases of the gross elements,—so that the world of sense, formed out of these, is, in this as in Fichte's system, "the mere creation of the ego." A marked difference between the two systems, as observed before, consists in this—that KAPILA makes the creative 'Ego' to be something else than 'Soul,' which latter, he holds, by confounding itself with the active principle, gets entangled in the distresses of life.

93.—The motive of the Bráhma's enquiry at KAPILA, it will be remembered, is this—that he wishes he may not be "again obnoxious to the three sorts of pain:"—in other words that he may not be born again—that he may be no more liable to transmigration or the Metempsychosis. Of the Metempsychosis Prof. Wilson (*Sáṅkhya Kārikā* p. x.) says:—"This belief is not to be looked upon as a mere popular superstition; it is the main principle of all Hindú metaphysics; it is the foundation of all Hindú philosophy." The doctrine of the Metempsychosis may be regarded as the Hindú theory on the great question of the 'origin of evil.' The theory may be thus stated. Evil exists—and it is not to be supposed that evil befalls any one

undeservedly. When, therefore, for example, a newborn child, who has had no opportunity of acting either rightly or wrongly, is found suffering evil, it is inferred that the evil is the fruit of evil deeds done in a former state of existence. If it be asked how the person became disposed to do evil in that former state of existence, the answer offered is this—it was the consequence of evil deeds done in a state of existence still anterior—and so on. Applying now the principle of 'limits'—that what is true at every assignable point short of the limit, must be true at the limit—as there is no assignable point in the existence of evil in past time for its existence at which point this hypothesis does not serve equally well to account, it is argued that, on this hypothesis, and on no other, is the existence of evil fully accounted for. To the European this method of accounting for the origin of evil appears to be vitiated by the 'regressus in infinitum' (*anavasthá*)—the same consideration which vitiates the theory of the earth's resting on the elephant, the elephant's resting on the tortoise, and so on without end. The origin of evil he regards as not having been revealed; and the requirement that we shall maintain our reliance on the goodness of God in the absence of such revelation, he regards as a trial of our faith.

94.—Several of the terms in the treatise of which a translation has been given, do not occur in the *Sáṅkhya* treatises generally studied. On these and some other points a few annotations here follow.

95.—Among the epithets applied to 'Self-consciousness' (in No. 18) are *sánumána* and *niranumána*. We can get no account anywhere of this application of these terms. Self-consciousness 'not associated with inference' might possibly refer to the simple consciousness of existence; whilst the consciousness 'associated with inference' might refer to the notion of the Egoist

who has reasoned himself into the belief that he himself constitutes all that is—(see No. 17):—but then the difficulty would remain of tracing the connexion between this sense and the functions assigned to these aspects of self-consciousness under No. 61.—The technical or ‘slang’ terms in Nos. 64 &c., differ in our text-book from those given in the comment on the *Kārikās*—see p. 155 of the *Sāṅkhya Kārikā*, where Prof. Wilson says “No explanation of the words is anywhere given, nor is any reason assigned for their adoption.”

96.—On the ‘triad of qualities’ (No. 49), Prof. Wilson, at p. 52 of the *Sāṅkhya Kārikā*, remarks:—“In speaking of qualities, “however, the term *guṇa* is not to be regarded as an insubstantial “or accidental attribute, but as a substance discernible by soul “through the medium of the faculties. It is, in fact, nature, or “*prakṛiti*, in one of its three constituent parts or conditions, “unduly prominent; nature entire, or unmodified, being nothing “more than the three qualities in equipoise, according to the “*Sūtra*, ‘*Prakṛiti* is the equal state of goodness, foulness, and “darkness.’”

97.—The term *abhibuddhi* would seem to be obsolete in the sense assigned to it in No. 58. In the copy of the work employed in making the translation, the same term (*abhibuddhi*) is repeated as representing the first of its own five subdivisions. This, with other obvious clerical errors, has been amended with the concurrence of learned pandits. The term *karṭavyatā*, in the same passage, is akin to our term ‘susceptibility.’

98.—‘Inference’ is briefly noticed in paragraph No. 77. As the writers on the *Sāṅkhya* do not appear to be all exactly of the same mind on the subject of inference, it may be worth while to examine the matter:—and, as the terms which they make use of are the same as those which are employed, with less diversity of

sentiment, by the *Naiyāyikas*, it may be as well to begin by determining the sense in which the *Naiyāyikas* understand the terms.

99.—After describing ‘perception,’ in his fourth aphorism, GAUTAMA, the founder of the *Nyāya* school, proceeds, in his fifth aphorism, to speak of ‘inference’ as follows:—

अथ तत्पूर्वकं त्रिविधमनुमानं पूर्ववच्छेषवत्सामान्यतो दृष्ट-
व ॥ ५ ॥

“Now ‘inference,’ preceded thereby [i. e., preceded by ‘perception’] is of three kinds—(1) that which has the ‘prior’—(2) that which has the ‘posterior’—and (3) that which is [or consists in] the perception of ‘community.’”

100.—‘Inference,’ as stated in paragraph No. 77 of our text-book, is “knowledge arising from the perception of a ‘sign;’” and as the ‘sign’ (*linga*)—the Greek *σημεῖον* or rather *τεκμηρίον*—the “mark from which a conclusion may be drawn”—may be of three kinds; so the modes of ‘inference,’ as stated in the aphorism of Gautama, are likewise three.

101.—The first kind of ‘sign’ Gautama calls ‘prior’ (*pūrvva*). The meaning of the term is explained by his commentator (in the *Nyāya-sūtra-vṛitti*) as follows:—

पूर्व कारणं । तदन्तर्गतं तल्लिङ्गकं । यथा मेघोन्नतिविशेषेण दृ-
ष्टानुमानं ।

“[By the expression in the aphorism] ‘prior’ [is meant] *cause*—[a cause being prior to, or the antecedent of, its effect—and, when perceived, serving as a ‘sign’ of the effect yet unperceived]. [By the expression in the aphorism] ‘which has that’ [i. e., which has the ‘prior,’ or cause—is meant] which has that as a ‘sign’.

As (for example) the inference of rain from the circumstance of the gathering of clouds."

In this example of inference, the 'prior' (*pūrvva*)—the antecedent or cause—is the 'sign';—the gathering of clouds, the antecedent or cause of rain, being that 'sign' from which a fall of rain is inferred by anticipation. By European writers, this kind of inference is named, in terms strictly corresponding to those of the Nyāya, inference 'a priori' [i. e. the inference of the consequent *from the antecedent*].

102.—The second kind of 'sign' Gautama calls 'posterior' (*śeṣha*). The meaning of the term is explained by his commentator as follows :—

शेषः कार्यः । तस्मिन्नङ्गं शेषवत् । यथा नदीवृद्ध्या दृश्यनुमानं ।

"[By the expression in the aphorism] 'posterior' [is meant] effect [—an effect being posterior to, or the consequent of, its cause—and, when perceived, serving as a 'sign' of the cause which was unperceived]. [By the expression in the aphorism] 'which has the posterior' [is meant—inference] which has that ['posterior' or consequent] as a 'sign.' As [for example] the inference of rain from the swelling of a river".

In this example of inference, the 'posterior' (*śeṣha*)—the consequent or effect—is the 'sign';—the swelling of a river—the consequent or effect of rain—being the 'sign' from which a fall of rain is inferred to have gone before. By European writers, this kind of inference is named, in terms strictly corresponding to those of the Nyāya, the inference 'a posteriori' [i. e., the inference of the antecedent *from the consequent*].

103.—The third kind of 'sign' Gautama calls 'the perception of community' (*sāmānyato dṛiṣṭa*). The import of the term is explained by his commentator as follows :—

सामान्यतो दृष्टं कार्यकारणभिन्नलिङ्गकं । यथा पृथिवीत्वेन द्रव्यत्वानुमानं ।

"[By inference from] 'the perception of community' [is meant inference] where the 'sign' is other than effect or cause. As [for example] the inferring that something is a substance from its having the nature of earth".

In this example the *sāmānya* or 'generic character' termed *prithivīva* 'earthiness' is a 'sign' from which, when perceived, 'substantiality'—neither the cause nor the effect, but, in this instance, the higher genus—is inferred. The definition applies also to 'inference from analogy', as the term *sāmānyato dṛiṣṭa* has been rendered.

104.—The commentator from whom we have quoted mentions another opinion in regard to the names of the three kinds of inference ;—that they refer to those three kinds of 'signs' (specified in page 38 of the 'Lectures on the Nyāya Philosophy')—viz., the 'sign' which is in every case present; that which is absent in every case but one; and that which is present in some cases and absent in others. This notion—apparently originating in nothing much deeper than the consideration that there are "three to three"—may be dismissed as barely on a level with Captain Fluellen's parallel between Harry of Monmouth and Alexander of Macedon, (in 'King Henry V'—Act iv—sc. 7.), based on the consideration that "There is a river in Macedon; and there is also moreover a river at Monmouth".

105.—Of the three examples given in our text-book (No. 77) the first—viz : the inferring of 'rain from the assembling of clouds,' falls under the first division (—see No. 101.). The second example—the inferring that 'there is water because there are cranes &c.', exemplifies the second division—(see No. 102)—the

presence of the cranes and such-like waterfowl being consequent on the presence of the water which these birds frequent. The third example—the inferring of ‘fire from smoke’—(which the author of the *Sāṅkhya Pravachana Bhāṣya* refers to the first class)—falls under the same head as the second—smoke being met with only where there has been fire as an antecedent. The selection of examples here therefore is unsatisfactory—being at once redundant and defective.

106.—In the 5th and 6th of the ‘Memorial Verses’, inference is spoken of as follows:—

त्रिविधमनुमानमाख्यातं

तल्लिङ्गलिङ्गिपूर्वकं

सामान्यतस्तु दृष्टादतीन्द्रियानां प्रतीतिरनुमानात् ।

Thus rendered by Mr. Colebrooke—

“Inference, which is of three sorts, premises an argument, and (deduces) that which is argued by it.” * * * * “it is by inference (or reasoning) that acquaintance with things transcending the senses is obtained :”—

[Professor Wilson prefers rendering the latter clause thus:—“It is by reasoning from analogy that belief in things beyond the senses is attained.”]

107.—The remarks of the scholiast GAUḌAPĀDA (in the *Sāṅkhya Bhāṣya*) on the foregoing text are as follows:—

त्रिविधमनुमानमाख्यातं शेषवत् पूर्ववत् सामान्यतो दृष्टं चेति ।
पूर्वमस्यास्तीति पूर्ववद् यथा मेघोन्नत्या दृष्टिं साधयति पूर्व-
दृष्टित्वात् । शेषवद्यथा समुद्रादेकं जलपलं लवणमासाद्य शेष
स्याप्यस्ति लवणभाव इति । सामान्यतो दृष्टं । देशान्तराद-

देशान्तरं प्राप्तं दृष्टं गतिमच्चन्द्रतारकं चैववत् । यथा चैचनामानं
देशान्तराद् देशान्तरं प्राप्तमवलोक्य गतिमानयमिति तद्वच्चन्द्र-
तारकमिति तथा पुष्पिताम्रदर्शनादन्यत्र पुष्पिताम्रा इति सा-
मान्यतो दृष्टेन साधयति । एतत्सामान्यदृष्टं ॥ किञ्च तल्लिङ्गलि-
ङ्गिपूर्वकमिति । तदनुमानं । लिङ्गपूर्वकं यच्च लिङ्गेन लिङ्गी अ-
नुमीयते यथा दण्डेन यतिः । लिङ्गिपूर्वकञ्च यच्च लिङ्गिना
लिङ्गमनुमीयते यथा दृष्ट्वा यतिमस्येदं चिदण्डमिति ॥

“Inference, which is of three kinds, takes the name of ‘that which has the consequent’ (see No. 99), ‘that which has the antecedent,’ and ‘that which is [or consists in] the perception of Community.’ ‘That which has the antecedent,’ is that [form of inference] where [it is argued]—‘That [which we are adducing as the sign] is the antecedent of this [which we wish to establish] :’—as, for example, when one proves [an approaching fall of] rain by the rising of clouds—because this [rising of clouds] is an antecedent of rain. [As an example of inference] ‘which has the consequent’—[suppose that] having found a drop of water taken from the sea to be salt, the saltiness of *the rest* also is inferred. ‘Analogous’—as, having observed their change of place, it is concluded that the moon and stars are locomotive like CHAITRA : that is, having seen a person named CHAITRA transfer his position from one place to another, and thence having known that he was locomotive, it is inferred that the moon and stars also are locomotive. So too, observing a mango tree in blossom, one establishes the fact that other mango trees also are in flower [not by adducing, in proof of the fact either, the *cause* of the fact or any *consequence* of the fact—but] by remarking the common nature [of the mango tree under inspection—in virtue of which common nature the other mango

trees blossom simultaneously with it.] Such is [inference by means of] 'the perception of Community.'



Again [the words] *tallīngapūrvvakam* &c., [may be rendered otherwise:—thus] *tad* 'that'—viz., 'inference'—*linggapūrvvaka* 'where, from a characteristic, that which possesses the characteristic is inferred,' as, [one is inferred to be] a mendicant from his staff [when his staff is of the characteristic description carried by mendicants]. And [the act of inference may be said to be] *linggipūrvvaka*, 'where, from what possesses a characteristic, the characteristic itself is inferred,' as, having seen a mendicant, you say, this [which he holds in his hand, and which, from distance or some other reason, is not clearly discernible in his grasp] is his triple staff—[for where the mendicant presents himself, there his characteristic staff is sure to be found also]."

Now, in his example of inferring the saltness of the ocean from the saltness of a drop taken from it, GAUḌAPĀDA appears to have been misled by the ambiguity of the word *s'ēsha*, which the Naiyāyikas employ as the opposite of *pūrvva*—the opposition intended being that of antecedent, and consequent not that of part and remainder. GAUḌAPĀDA, taking the word in its familiar sense of 'the rest,' imagines that when we infer the *rest* of the ocean to be salt like a drop taken from it, the process falls under the second head in the division of the modes of inference, whereas it falls under the third head—the same which he exemplifies by the case of the mango-trees. As we infer that other mango-trees blossom when one mango-tree blossoms, because all mango-trees have one common nature; so likewise do we infer that the other drops of the ocean are salt, when we find that one drop is salt, because all the drops in the ocean have one common nature. Thus, whilst our anonymous commentator on KAPILA gives two examples of the second kind and no example of the third, GAUḌAPĀDA gives two examples of the third kind and none of the second. For the illustra-




tion of KAPILA, therefore, some of his commentators would appear, by these discrepancies, to have borrowed the terminology of the Nyāya without considering themselves bound to adhere to the sense assigned to the terms by the inventors. Whether the writers on the Sāṅkhya, as has been suggested, intended, by their peculiar application of the terms of the Nyāya, to inculcate their own tenet of the indifference of cause and effect, is a point the consideration of which we remit to another occasion.

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported

are free:

 **to Share** — to copy, distribute and transmit the work **to Remix** — to adapt the work

or the following conditions:

 **Attribution** — You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). **Noncommercial** — You may not use this work for commercial purposes. **Share Alike** — If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under the same or similar license to this one.

the understanding that:

Waiver — Any of the above conditions can be **waived** if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Public Domain — Where the work or any of its elements is in the **public domain** under applicable law, that status is in no way affected by the license.

Other Rights — In no way are any of the following rights affected by the license:

- Your fair dealing or **fair use** rights, or other applicable copyright exceptions and limitations;
- The author's **moral** rights;
- Rights other persons may have either in the work itself or in how the work is used, such as **publicity** or privacy rights.

Notice — For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.