# 286 A Note on the Pusyamitras of the Bhitari Pillar Inscription

undoubtedly the Hūṇas who were responsible for the sudden shake up of the Gupta empire in the time of Skandagupta. As we have references to the Puṣyamitras in the Purāṇas, noted above, suggesting their somewhat tribal or dynastic character, is possible to assume that they were possibly a small republican tribe, like other tribes, or a small monarchical tribal state but with no creditable political achievements to their credit, in any period of Indian history. They rose, flourished and ultimately perished in the vast sub-continent of India like so many petty tribal states which history has not cared to record.

UPENDRA THAKUR

# Indian Historical Quarterly, vol. 37, 1961

An Appendix to the new edition of the Bodhicaryavatara

It is a matter of gratification that a new edition of the *Bodhicaryāvatāra* has been published by the Asiatic Society. Calcutta.

Sixty years ago, in 1903, Asiatic Society published the Bodhicaryāvatāra with the Pañjikā of Prajñākaramati. Prof. Louis de la Vallée Poussin edited it. It has been out of print for a long time. The present edition is made by Prof. Vidhushekhara Bhattacharya, a scholar as eminent as the former editor.

It is a complete edition of the text. It contains all the ślokas of the Bodhicaryāvatāra in ten chapters.

The first edition was very rich and useful, because it contained the  $Pa\tilde{n}jik\bar{a}$  which is no less important than the text.

The present edition is devoid of the Panjika but rich with the addition of one hundred ninety-three ślokas which were not available in the first edition. The present edition contains also the Tibetan translation (in Tibetan script) of the text. It will be a valuable text book for students devoted to Indo-Tibetan Studies.

The late lamented Vidhushekhara Bhattacharya had barely completed his edition when death snatched him away from us. He had no time to revise it. His students well-versed in both Sanskrit and Tibetan were not near him; and so they could not help him in this difficult task. In such circumstances, as is natural, some mistakes have crept in.

It is a sacred duty for his past students to check and correct those mistakes. The present writer is one of his humble students who had the good fortune to be intimately associated with him for more than twenty years. In this paper an attempt is made to check mistakes and improve some of the readings of the additional ślokas with the help of the Tibetan translation. Printing mistakes are also checked and corrected:

<sup>19</sup> It may also be suggested that "Pusyamitra" may have been the honorific title of the kings of this dynasty after the name of its founder. We have numerous such instances in the history of India and also elsewhere. The kings of the Janaka dynasty of Mithilā were all known as Janaka after the name of the founder, Mithi Janaka (cf. the author's book, History of Mithilā, Chap. II). So was the case with the well-known "Caesars" of Rome and "Kaisers" of Germany and many other dynasties in world-history.

Page	Śloka	Line	2	
37	29	2	Read:	bhavādhva-bhramaṇa-śrānta-jagad-viś-rāma-pādapaḥ fot °śrānto jagad.° Tib. srid. lam. 'khyams. śin. dub. pa. yi. 'gro. ba' i. nal. bso'i. ljon. śin. yin. The copy that I have with me reads: °śrānta.°
			C	LIADTED IN

CHAPTER IV				
42	11	2 Re	nd: dolāyamānaḥ saṁsāre bhūmi-prāptau cirāyate for °bhūmi-prāptaś °cirāyate.  Tib. sa. thob. pa. la. yun. riṅ. thogs.  My copy reads: °prāptau.	
43	15	1 Re	nd: kadā tathāgatotpādam śraddhām mānuṣyam eva ca, for °ṣyam eva vā. Tib reads: °dan. My copy reads: ca.	
44	21	ı Re	nd: eka-kṣaṇa-kṛtāt pāpāt (my copy and Tib. support this) for °kṣaṇāt kṛtāt.° avicau kalpam āsyate for °āpsyate; my copy āsyate. Tib. gnas. 'gyur. na.	
45	<sup>2</sup> 5	2	My copy reads: ciram dhakṣyaty aśikṣitam. But dhakṣyati niścitam is supported by Tib. gdon. mi. za.	
46	28	2 Res	d: na śūrā na ca te prājñāḥ for na surā <sup>0</sup> . Tib. dpa'a. mdzaṅs. min. My copy reads: śūrā.	

50

43

1 Read: atra grahī bhavisyāmi baddha-vairas

to my copy: grabī.

ca vigrahī for atra gṛhī<sup>0</sup>. Tib.: bdag. gis. žen. bya žin. According

## Printing Mistakes

Page	Śloka	lin	e	
40	4	I	Read:	yadi caiva (separately).
40	6	I	Read:	udghusya.
48	35	4	Read:	tisthanti.
48	37	2		prasabham. Tib. nan. gyis.
49	39	3	Read:	mahārtha <sup>0</sup> . Tib.: don. chen <sup>0</sup> .

### CHAPTER VIII

165	111	I	Read:	abhyāsād anyadīyesu śukra-śoṇita- binduṣu for asāresu anya <sup>0</sup> . My copy reads: abhyāsād <sup>0</sup> . Tib.: goms. pa. yis. ni. gžan. dag. gi. khu. baCf. Pañjikā under śloka 91: yathā 'bhyāsād ekatuā' dhyavasāyo'smin kāye ekatuam antareṇā'pi. tathā' nekaprakāre jagaty api <sup>0</sup> . See also
167	118	I		slokas: 115, 117, 119.  According to my copy: adhy atisthad ato nāthaḥ svanāmāpy avalokitaḥ which is better than otisthad athoo.  Tib.: ode. baso.
172	137	I		According to my copy: niścayam kuru he manaḥ Tib.: yid. khyod <sup>0</sup> .
177	<sup>1</sup> 57	2	Read:	bauddha-sampat-sukhān-muktā nā' bhaviṣyad iyaṁ daśā for bauddhaṁ sampat-sukhaṁ°.

# Printing Mistakes

168	122			yo māndya-kṣut° for yo mandya kṣut.°
174	146			ovaktrasthe naivā'sya.
•	148			omit visarga(:) in prakațā.
•	152	2	Read:	paribhoksye for °moksye. Tib.:
12	-			yons. su. spyod.

230

Read: bidyā.

Here I have pointed out some mistakes and suggested some

improvements as well as corrected the printing mistakes, only

Page Sloka Line

178 161

179 168

229

230

231

232

234

I 2

18

preta is there. Cf. Tib.: 3rd line

(yi. dvags. rnams. ni. tshim. byas.

śin).

of the additional ślokas. There are defects and printing mistakes also in other parts of the work:

(i) Variant readings of different MSS, and the Tibetan translation are not exhaustively recorded; (ii) variant readings found in the text of Minaev or in the JBS, are very rarely quoted, unlike the edition of Poussin. For example:

Page 1, śl. 2, l. 4; here Minaev and Text-MSS. read: bhāvayitum which is not recorded.

Page 8, śl. 23, l. 2; here Minaev and Text-MSS. read: devānām vā ṛṣīṇām vā, this is not recorded.

Page 103, śl. 92, l, 2; kim akṣarāṇi bhakṣyāṇi; Tib reading is different: kim akṣaraiḥ kartavyam (tshig. 'bru. rnams, kyis. ci. žig. bya.). But it is not mentioned in the notes.

As for printing mistakes see p. 4, śl. 9, l. 2, last word; l. 3, first word, p. 9, śl. 29, l. 2, second word; p. 17, śl. 16, l. 3, second word, etc.

SUJITKUMAR MUKHOPADHYAYA

#### REVIEW

RGYAN-DRUG MCHOG-GNYIS. Published by the Namgyal Institute of Tibetology, Gangtok, Sıkkim, 1962. Pages 1-53, Plates 1-7 (5 in colour, and two line-drawings).

The Namgyal Institute of Tibetology is doing commendable work since its foundation. It was formally opened by the Prime Minister of India only in October, 1958, and is thus not even five years old. But during the relatively short period of its existence, it has fully justified the deep interest taken in its well-being and development by the exalted royal house of Sikkim.

The Rgyan-Drug Mchog-gnyis is the Institute's first publication. It illustrates five Tibetan Thankas originally painted by the sixth in the line of the Gyalwa Karmapa incarnation in the middle of the 17th century A.D. These Thankas have long been the heirlooms of the royal Namgyal family of Sikkim, and are beautiful representatives of the Tibetan art of bannerpainting. The five colour-plates show the Buddha in bhūmi-sparsamudrā and the eight great Masters of Mahāyāna philosophy, such as Āryadeva and Nāgārjuna (2), Asanga and Vasubandhu (3), Dignāga and Dharmakīrti (4), and Guṇaprabha and Sākyaprabha (5). Besides these in two other plates (6 and 7) are reproduced thirteen line-drawings illustrating the same subjects in different poses. Each of the five colour-plates is followed by a faithful description of it with a brief reference to the iconographic features and the general character of the subjects represented therein.

This constitutes the first part of sumptuous publication. In its second part is incorporated a brief account of the lives and teachings of the eight Masters prefaced by a similar account of the Buddha's teachings. In this part are reproduced the line-drawings of the Buddha and the great Mahāyāna theologians named above. The account of the lives and thoughts of the Masters is based not only on