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The Editors discluin responsilility for apintions ricpresed by sonttributors in the ir urticles, with sone of which ihey cteree, with others not. Orcat letitude is a lowed to correspondens, wet thes "one are accountable fur what they write. The joumal is fifored as a axizile for the vide dissemination of facts and opimions cramertid nith th: Asiatic retigions, philosophies wad sciences. Al who hare winthing worth telling are made uclcome, and not intertencel with. lidjected 1/SS. are not returned.

NOTKCE TO CORLESNOVDEXTS'.
 in amod th...

ERRATA.
n our last Nunber the following mistakes require correction: Page 38, Cul. 2, lime 54. For " cur age" read "an age."

" Papal Zouave

| " | " | " |  | $\text { , line } 52 .$ | For "Spiritanlist Zunaver" read "Spiritactist Louave." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * | " | " | " | $\text { lino } 54 .$ | For "Hinam" read "Ifiram" and for " by illustrivus." read "by an illustrious." |
| " | 5 | " | " | line 6. | For " parrurizo " read " perrorizo" |
| " | " | " |  | $\begin{array}{clcc} 2 & \text { linee } & 59 . \\ 1 & \text { line } & 4 . \end{array}$ | For " and 3 " read " at 3 " <br> Fur " Hieroplant, as" rond "Hierophant, who, as" |
| " | " | " | " | 1 line 5. | For "learning, that" real " learning, to let him know thac.." |
| " | " | " | " | 1 line 27. | For " revilations" read "revolations." |
| " | " | " |  | 2 line 25. | For "magician" read " maricien." |
| " | $\cdots$ | " |  | $2 \text { ling }: 7$ | For "chamelion" read "chame- |

 many other clergymen have been of late before him,) for the same heresy of denying endless torments in hell-fire, the English divines are seriously discassing the advisability of giving the doctrine up. They are ready, they say, to "thankfull" acknowledye the truths of Spir"tualist teaching, as weapons which we (they) are too glad to wichl against Positivism, and Secularism, and all the anti-Christian ismas of this age of godless thought." (Kcv. li. Thum, tun's speech). Mirabile dictu:-- the reverend gentleman went so far as to say: "Let us lay to heart the hints given (ly Spiritualists) as to our own shortcomings." !

The extracts from the reports at the Congress which we here republish from Light will give the reader a better idea of the position of the Protestant clergy in England. It is evidently very precarious. The divines secm to find themselves most uncomfirtably situated between the horns of a dilemına. How they will emergo from it is one problem; whether many Spiritualists are likely to succumb to the unexpected coquetry of the Church they have partcal company with is anctucr onc-and of a still unte difinul.
solution. If, en deserpoir de cause the reverenus natly accept the theory of spivits-and we do not see how the reconciliation could be otherwise effected-then, acting upon the rule: "every spirit that confesseth not Jesus Chist came in the flesh is not of God"-they will have with the exception of a handful of "spirits" acting through a handful of so-called "Christian Spiritualists," or bather their mediums who accept Jesus Christ-to pronomice the enormous majority of the "angels" who do not, as-" of the Devil ?" Then, they will have to eacounter a still greater difficulty. Even the Christian Spiritualists have then own peculiar views upon Christ, which, according to the canons of the established Church are "heretical," Eut which, we doubt the Spiritualists will ever give up. Then agan, how about-" Though an angel from Heaven preach uuto my other Gospel thats that which has been preached 0 us, let him be accursed?" Wedl, time will show, and $e$ is the only and best inspifer of wise schemes and ices. Meanwhile, the Spiritualists,-mad so far the :osophists along with them-have won the day, for the ity of the phenomena has been admitted at the Church igress; and we have as good hopes, that, whatever pens, it is neither the Spirituahsts nor the Theososts who will be the conquered in the long run. For, ded as we may be in our conflicting beliets as to the ucy of the phenomena, we are at one as regards the realof the manifestatious, mediumship in all its varions ects,* and the highest phases of Spiritualism such as sonal inspiration, clairvoyance \&e, and even the cubtre intercourse between the living and the discmbudied Is and spirits under conditions fully defincel in Patt 1 . Fragments of Occult Truth" (See Uctuber' Theowephist.) all events, there is a far lesser abyss between the Spiritsts and the Theosophists than there is between the testants and the Reman Catholic clergy, their common istianity notwithstanding. Their house is one and, ided against itself, it must finally fall: while our houses two. And if we are wise and, insteal of quarredine. port each other, both will be found built on a rock, thi adation being the same though the architecture be srent.

## THE "BANVER OF LIGHY'."

We see that our oll friend the Buston Bamer at Lidt. the leading Spiritualist paper of dmerica, begius its matetia Volume ly enlarging its size with iom adlitional pages We heartily desire that veteran organ the success it so well deserves. For wer a quarter of a contury it has remained a stannch defender of its colours. It possesses qualities that many of us might well envy. The spinit it uniformly exhibits, is that of tolerance, charity, and true brotherly feeling to all men. It ahwas had on its staff, the most cacollent and leaned writers. It strenmonsly avoints acrimonions polmics and wrangling, and seoms to hatio tacitly adopited the noble motto: "Detter give the: accused the bencfit of the doubt and even forsive hea culprits, than mingstly accuse one innocent." We may allil do differ with it in our views and opinimis; neverthe-
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#### Abstract
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\section*{NOTHE TO CORIRESONDENTS.}

4Ts The Correspondents of the Tueosopinst are preticularly requestend to send their manuscritts rery lagibiy arithen, and with some spuce Inft betucen the lines, in oider to fuclitate the arork of the printer, und to prevent typographical mistakes which are as vesutions to us as they must be to the correspondents thenselves. All communications should be zeritten on che side of the paper on $y$.


Magnn est veritas et prevalebit. The reality of the phenomena has prevailed, and the Church is now forced to seek alliance with the Spiritualists ayainst " materialism and infidelity." How will the taithful Christian "sceptics" receive the news, and what effect it will procuce on the church-going " scoffers of spinitual phenomena" is a question which time alone cau auswer.
For the first time, since the "raps" and "knockings" of an alleged disembodied pedlar, at Rochester, in 1848, inaugurated the era of Spiritualism, which has gradually led the people to accept the hypothesis of disiucarnated spirits communicating with the world of life, the divines lave becone alive to the danger of dogmatizing too strongly. For the first time, as the reader may see in the long account of the Congress we reprint further on (fage 56), the divines seem ready for any concession-even to giving up their hitherto immovable and cherishod dogma of eternal tormenta and damnation. And now they seek to compromise. While Dr. Thomas, the liberal-minded Wesleyan minister in America, is brougit on his trial betore a Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church (as so many other clergymen have been of late before him,) for the same heresy of denying endless torments in hell-fire, the English divines are seriously discussing the advisability of giving the doctrine up. They are ready, they say, to "thawkfullu acknoovedge the truths of Spir'tualist teaching, as weapons which we (they) are too glad to wield against Positivism, and Secularism, and all the anti-Christian 'ismas' of this age of godless thought." (Kev. K. Thornton's speech). Mirabile dictu:-the reverend gentleman went so far as to say: "Let us lay to heart the hints given (by Spiritualists) as to our own shortcomings." !

The extracts from the reports at the Congress which we here republish from Light will give the reader a better idea of the position of the Protestant clergy in Encland. It is evidently very precarious. The divines stcom to find themselves most uncomfurtably situated between the horns of a dilemuna. How they will emergo from it is ove problem; whether many Spiritualists are likely to succumb to the unexpected coquetry of the Church they have paitud company with is another ope-and of a still zuero difficult
solution. If, en desespoir de cause the reverends thally aceept the theory of spirits - and we do not see how the reconciliation could be otherwise effected-then, acting upou the rule: "every spirit that confesseth not Jesus Chirist came in the flesh is not of God"- they will have with the exception of a handful of "spirits" acting through a handful of so-called "Christian Spiritualists," or sather their mediums who accept Jesus Christ-to pronounce the enormous majority of the "angels" who do not, as-" of the Devil?" Then, they will have 10 eucounter a still greater difficulty. Even the Christian Spiritualists have their own peculiar views upon Christ, which, according to the canons of the established Church are "beretical," but which, we doubt the Spiritualists will ever give up. Then again, how about-"Though an angel from Heaven preach unto us any other Gospel than that which has been preached unto us, let him be accursed?" Well, time will show, anm time is the ouly and best inspirer of wise schemes and devices. Meanwhile, the Spiritualists,-and so far the Theosophists along with them-have won the day, for the reality of the phenomena has been admitted at the Church Congress; and we have as good hopes, that, whatever happens, it is neither the Spiritualists nor the Theosophists who will be the conquered in the long run. For, divided as we may be in our conflicting beliets as to the :gency of the phenomena, we are at one as regards the reality of the manifestations, mediumship in all its various aspects,* and the highest phases of Spiritualism such as personal inspiration, clairvoyance \&c., and even the subjutire intercourse between the living and the disembodied souls and spirits under conditions fully defined in Part I. of "Fragnents of Occult Truth" (See Uctuber Theoserphist.) At all events, there is a far lesser abyss between the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}$ iritvalists and the Theosephists than there is between the Piotestants and the Ruman Catholic clergy, their common Cliristianity notwithstunding. Their house is one and, diviled against itself, it must finally fall: while our houses are two. Aud if we are wise and, instenl of quarreding: support each other, buth will be found built on in ruck, thi foundation being the same though the architecture bo different.

## THE "BANAELE OF LIGH!:"

We see that our old friend the Boston Biamer of Liefl. the Leading Spiritualist pajer of Ameriea, begins its thtictin Volume ly enlarging its size with iour additional pages We heartily desire that veteran organ the success it so well deserves. For over a quarter of a century it has remaned a staunch defender of its colours. It possesses quadities that many of us might well cuvy. The spirit it uuiformly exhibits, is that of tolerance, charity, and true brotherly fecling to all men. It always had on its staff, the most exeellent amb learned writers. It stremunsly avoids acrinonions polcmics and wrangling, and seems to haino tacitly adoptel the noble motto: "Better give the: accused the benefit of the donkt and even forgive tein culprits, than minjustly accuse une imocent." We maty and do differ with it in our views and opinions; neverthe-

- We never domcel mediumship, wo have only peinted out its great dangers and questioned the advirability of givinted way to it and to the control of yet (to Spinituadists) unhiown forect
less we most sincerely respect and admire it. All honour twour estecmed ohl fricud, Mr. L. Colby, and that may his Bemmer prosper and wave for long years to come-is the hearty wish of the Theosophase and its edita:


## THE CHURCH CONGRESS AND SPIRITUALISM.

Meeting of Preplexej Divines.-- A Niw Tower of Babef, at New(astle-on-Tyne and Confusion of Opinions.--Disctsing " the Dety of the Chereh in
 matie Chbistianity forede to count with the "Degrading Supebstition."-I't Capitulates ann Seeks Alidance witle the latter.- (Comes to terms for feab of a gradual and perpetual sprarafion of the reollef fiom all dhurciles and congrega-tions.- I'rotestant Ministers ready to give up the Dogma of eternal Damnation.- Canon Wilberforcer shrongly advising the latter course--Puenomena recognised and facts accepted, but the theoty of "spimits" discarded.-Divines leaning towabi the Theosorhical rather than the Spibitualistic exthanations, as to the agency at work in the manifestations.

October the 4th, 1881, at Newcastle-on-Tyne, the Church Congress assembled to discuss on "The Duty of the Church in respect to the Prevalence of Sriritualism." The Lord Bishop of Durham occupied the chair, and the attendance was very large. The debates were opened with a paper read by the Rev. R. Thornton, D. 1)., Vicar of St. John, Notting-hill.

## THE REV. DR. THORNTON.

At the name of Spiritualism some will at once cry out Frivolous !" others "Imposture!" and others "sorcery and devilry !" Let me protest in the outset against ail lasty, sweeping condemmations. No cloubt in ipproaching the subject we find,... that. "the way has been defiled by fraud and blocked up by folly." Gross absurdity and gross deceit have been exposed in the doings of pretended Spiritualists. But we must not rush to the conclusion ${ }^{4}$ lant all Spiritualism is pure deception, any more than we must involve all statesmen and all ecclesiastics in universal consure, becunse there have been political and religious charlatans. And as to the charge of diabolical agency, I do most earnestly deprecate the antiquated plan of attributing all new phenomena which we camot explain to the author of all evil...... (atileo, and the ridicule with which we now speak of his persccutors, may teach us rot to somix up science and religion as to come to an a priori theological decision upon matters of simple fact. $\ldots .$. . We are bound to accept facts, though we may declino the inferences which others draw from them; to watch, to investigate, and so to come gradually to our own conclusions....The doctrine of those who are said to purtess Spiritualism is, if 1 do not misrepresent it, something of this kind :--" (God is a Spirit and the visible universe is ant expression to man of Hisinfinte life. Man is a Spiritual beng: each individual Spirit is a part of a great Oversoul, or Anima Mundi. The Spirit is enthalled in a body during this life; when relensed, it at once enters upon the possession of higher powers and more extended knowlerge ; and its condition is one of regularly progressive advancement. Disembodied Spirits are able to lold converse with those in the body not with all immediately, but through the instrumentality of privileged or specially gifted persons called mediums, who are on occasion influenced, or as they term it, controlled, by the Spirits...... A new era is now dawning on us. The old religions, Christianity included, have payed their part and must pass away in face of clearer light. By intercourse with the Spirit-world, man will advance is he never has advanced before, in knowledse purity, and brotherly love."

I may faily, I think, speak of this teaching as opposed to the system of the Chureh. It sympathises deeply with what we hold to be error; it ranges itself on the side of Arius, and Photinus, and Macedonius, and Nestorius.
"Every heretic," says a Spiritualist writer, " of the church of all religions has been a pioneer in Spiritual discernmeut." "Priest-craft, hypocrisy and cant," their lecturers tell us, are chameteristios of all existing (hnistim commmities. "The Chureh," says another writer, "is such a patial thing, so antagonistic in spirit to the higher worlds, so litemal, so dogmatic, that he who feeds there is kept down from the lofty tone necessary for Spirit comminnion:" Nor is the Church the only object of ecmsure. Mr. Spurgeon's intellect is " dwarfed and cramped,", "he dogmatises and plays the Pope in his own way." Like all free-thinkers, the Spiritualist is intensely dognatic in his anti-dogmatism.

There is much of the Spinitualist's teaching with which the Church ean most cordially agree.
(1). It is a system of belicf, not of mere negation of all that is not logically demonstrated.* Its adherents are not ashamed to avow that they hold as truc, propositions which are incapable of mathematical proof... $\dagger$
(2) It is in its very mature antagonistic to all sadducism and materialism...It proclaims that man is responsible for his actions...it tells of angels, of an immortal spirit, of a future state of personal and conscious existence.
(3) It inculcates the duties of purity, charity, and justice.
(4) It declares that there can be, and is, commanion between spirit and spirit, and so by implication, acknowledges...Revelation, Inspiration and Grace."

Having touchod upon the points on which Spinitualism warned "the Church that her trumpet sometimes gives but an uncertain sound," the Rev. Speaker proceeded to the great points of difference, to what it is the Church cannot appore in Spiritualist's teachings. They claim to hold intercouse with the Spirits of the departed. Now I am far from denying the possibility of such intercourse; on the contrary, I believe that in Goll's Providence it sometimes does take place. But I fail to see that the phenomena which they allege as proofs of spiritual anency and converse are by any means convincing. Strange knockings, we are told, are heard, which, on demand, are made to represent the letters of the alphabet-frame mysterious words; musical instruments sail about the room, aud utter mearthly melodies; sentences are written by unseen hands; shadowy forms are descried in the dakness; light touches are felt; iulced, one Spinit has permitted herself to be kissed. The Spirits give their names; one of the most active calls himself John King; and we real and hear of "Ernest," " Pocha," "Irresistible," and others, One is reminded of the "Hopdance" and "Snolkin," which Shakespeare borrowed from Archbishop Harsnct's' "Declaration of Egregious Popish Impostures," a.id of Matthew Hopkins, Vulgar Pye-wacket and Peck-in-the-crown. Now, supposing for a moment that these are real spiritual beings, one would see a great danger in the practice of conferring with them. How can we know their character? It is curious that they are consillerel to slorink from daylight in general. "Your light hurts us," they are represented as saying, though we read " God saw the light that it was good."
Onc Spiritualist (Mr. Brittain) declares that, in 35 years, he has never met with a Spirit who has told him a wilful falsehood, but it is confessed that there is a clanger of becoming associated with low Spirits. Indeed, we are told that on some occasions stones have been thrown by Spirits, so there would appoar to be roughs, or Fenians among the Shades. But we need not, I think, be under any apprehension. There is no sufficient evidence that Spirits are at work at all. The so-called Spirit revelations seem to be limited by the intelligence and imagination of the medium. Just so with the beautiful dreams of the great Spiritualist, Swedenborg (and I mention his name with profound respect, though I estecm him a visionary) : it is almost amusing to remark that, when

- We are that sure Spiritualists will agree with this defuntion Thev clain to take mothing on fuith.--Ev Theos
+ If so, the Theosophigts disagree with the Spiritualists.-Ey,
he wandered woder angelic guidance through the stellar universe, he was not taken to view Uranus, Neptune, or Vulcan. The failure to visit the latter may be accounted for by what I consider extremely probable, namely, that there is no such planet; but I fear that the others were left out, simply because he did not know of them. The Spirits gave him no new information on plysical astronony; and no Spiritual seances have as yet, as far as I can ascertain, made any addition to our stock of useful knowledge. Whence, then, all the strange phenomena? for, deduct what you will for delusion and deluded imagination, it is proved by the evidence of men of mimpeachable veracity and soum sense, nonSpiritualists as well as Spiritualists, that strange things are witnessed at the sémees, I suggest that all are manifestations of a simple human force, which we may call as we choose, psychic, biological, odylic, ectenic, whose conditions are as yet unknown (as those of chemistry were a century ago), but may, before many years, be as well known as those of heat, electricity, magnetism; and light, with which it is no doubt correlated; and may be perhaps represented as Biot proposed to represent the conditions of life, by an equation. This force was distinctly displayed in a series of experiments conducted a few years ago with the aid of a celebrated medium...... But farther, we camot accept that degmang view of the body which seems to be an element in the highest Spiritualist teaching. It is represented,.........as a foul obstructive. Vegetarianism, and of course tectotalism, are essential to every one who wouhl reach the higher knowledge; his very resitence must be a place where no blood is or has been shed. We find ourselves at once in the presence of the Gnostics, Bardesanes and Tatian; and remember with horror how short and easy was the step from their steru asceticism to Carpocrates and the Ophites.

But still worse, we find in Spiritualists' teaching a terrible degradation not of our human body oaly, but of the great Master of bodies, souls, and Spirits. And here it is that I call it speciatly antagonistic to the Church. Jesus in their system is but an adept, an early Jacob Böhne, who gained his adeptship by an ascetic diet, for "there is no ovidence that he partook of any animal food except the flesh of the Paschal Lamb." Or he is a Psychic, a remarkable medium, gifted with an exceptional amount of Spirit-force, and a peculiar power of communicating with and controlling Spints. Or he is an Essene, a leader of a Buddhist sect, of high rank indeed, but inferior to the great Gatama who preceded him. His life is nothing more to us than a grand example of purity and charity, his death only a noble piece of self-sacrifice. With such views, it is hardly necessary to say that the personality of evil is ahmost contemptaously denied-the Seriptures displaced from the post of honour due to the written Word of (lod. What, then, is the duty of the Church in respect of the prevalence of this Spiritualism, so beautifnl in part, in part so terrible, as if it were an angel face with a serpent train in its rear ?

Let us guard carefully against rash argumentative assertions, and obstinate ignoring of facts, lest haply we deny, through imperfect knowledge, something that is, after all, a law in Gol's creation. Let us simply shew that phenomena, which we canot now fully explain, need not necessatily be referred to the agency of Spirits, good, bad, or indifferent, but may well be manifestations of some hitherto unsuspected human foree.

After Rev. Mr. Thornton spoke, rose

## MR. W. R. BROFNE.

Mr. Browne said, that, passing to the special subject of Spiritualism, his minutes would allow him to give only a series of facts, and to draw from them conclusions, and from these conclusions to point out what, as it appeared to him, was the duty of the Chmrch with respect to Spiritualism. The facts were these:-Some years ago several men of the very highest culture agreed together to investigate the phenomena called Spiritualism. The
name of one of them-he was at liberty to mentiona name that stood as high as any amongst the scientific men of England, or indeed, of Europe-Lord Rayleigh. For a period of some two or three years these scientists spent a considerable part of their time in attending séances, in loulding sefances at their own houses, and in doing everything in their power to get at the bottom of, and to make up their mints on the cause of such phenomena. The remarkable feature was, that at the end, of the time they were mable to conve to any final conclusion on the subject, or to make up their minds as to whether the chaims of the Spiritualists were true or false. From that fact he was compelled to draw the conclusion that they must not poohpooh Spiritualism. They must not say that it was an imposture, all nousense, and that no sensible man conld spend his time in attending to it. If these men with all their experience and all their skill, could not settle the matter, there mast ba something in it. That was the first conclusion. The next conclusion was that the belief in the reality of these phenomena was not a mere hillumation, a delusion, which was a theory that certain melical men had very strongly put forward. He knew no one less subject to hallucinations than the distinguished man of science whose name he had mentioned, or some of his friends. It was absurd to suppose that over the period of two on three years they should ba subject to hallucinationsat the moments during which they were investigating this subject, and at no other time. Thirdly, they must adopt the view that the course of these phenomena was a very dificult scientifie problem, and that it must be solvel by scientific methods; that, firstly, there must be a supernatumal canse, as the advocates of Spiritualism said; * or, secomdly, that there were certain natural laws of mind and mater which were not as yet understood, such as the power of reading thoughts; or, thirdly, that Spiritualism was a mere extension of the ancient and well-known sicience of conjuring. Looking at the subject from the point of view of those conchasions, what should be the duty of the Chureh? It appeared to him, in the first place, that the investigation of the phenomena was beyond their province. In the second place, while that subject was sul, judire, they must be careful to treat it as an open one. Thirdly, they must be careful not to imply that these phenomena were incredible, because they were supernatural. Tho Church was foumded on the belief of supernatural events having occurred at least 2,000 years argo. Therefore, it would not do for them to say in the next breath that these things were impossible, because they were supernatural. As a previous speaker had said, he did not believe in attributing the plaenomena to a Satanic agrency. ....It might be that there was an enomous amount of imposture, and a vast quantity of trickery in these manifestations......and experience slewed that the discovery of the trick required an amomit of patience, skill, and knowledge which very few persons were likely to possess. Those were the points which he wished to put before the meeting as to the attitude which the Church should have towards Spiritualism; and he would only further say that whatever Spiritualism was, at least, it was not materialism, and that it was materialism which at the present day was the great danger that the Church had to face. (Hear, hear.) Thns it was that materialists like Bradlaugh were inimical to Spiritualism, because to prove that Spiritualism was true would be to put a final extinguisher upon all their doctrines. Therefore, he thought the Chureh might fairly hold herself in suspense in the matter, ready to welcome what truth there might be in the phenomena, assured they could not do harm ; but at the same time recognising the great amount of imposture that accompanied them, and the very considerable likelihood that that which was not in itself corrupt might turn out something conducive to that character.

[^1]
## Rev. Canon Wilberforce.

The Rev. Canon Willerforce said: In the brief time necessarily allotted to realers at a Church Congress, it is impossible to enter adequately upon the history of the origin and development of those peculiar phenomena which would be more correctly described as "Psychism," but whish are gencrally known as "Spiritualism." It maty be lriefly stated that the signs and wonders of Modern Spiritualism, which are now undoubtedly exercising a potent intluence upon the religious beliefs of thonsands, originated in the village of Hydesville, State of New York, in the year 1848; and amongst the men of science and learning who investigated the sulject in America, in order to refute its pretensions, may be mentioned the names of Jr. Hiare, l'rofessor of Chemistry in the University of Pennsylvania, and the Hon. J. W. Edmonds, Judge of the Supreme Cout of Appeal in the Sitate of New York. In the year 1554, the phenomena which in America lad been witnessed by thousands of people, many of whom were of the highest, credibility and whose testimony no one would think of impreaching in a court of law, began to attract attention in England......From that time the movement began, and continued to spread in England and on the Contineut......In July, 1869, the first motewrortly attempt at public investigation was made by the London Dialectical Suciety......(Follow the details of the procceding of the latter)...It appointed six sub-committecs to investigate by personal experiment...Some of these attributed the phenomena to the ageucy of disembodied human beings, some to Satanic intluence, some to psychological causes, and others to imposture and delusion...... Appealing as it does to the yearning; of the sonl, especially in times of bereavement, for sensible evilence of the continuity of life after death, belief in modern Spiritualism continues rapilly to inceceaso in ail ranks of socicty......for its real strength dues not lie in the claims or powers of professional mediums, or in the alvocacy by means of the press or the lecture-room, but in the thousauls of private homes, in which one or more of the family has reediumistic powers. But it may be asked, is there no evil in Spiritualism? Assured there is, especially as caricatured and mistepresented in the lives, sentiments, and language of many professen Spiritualists. The effects have beon summed ur hy l'rofessor Barrett, of the Royal College of Science, 1ublin, who is convinced by painstalking investigations of the supernatural elaracter of the phenomena in the following words:*-(1) a morbid, unhealtly curiosity is excited; ( ${ }^{2}$ ) the mind is distracted from the pursuits.s and present dutica of daily life ; (3) intellectual confusion is created ly uncertain and contradictory teaching ; (4) moralaul spinitual confusion is created by anarchic manifestations ; (j) the will is subjected to the slavery of an unknown power, and the spiritual uature of man may be preyed uron by unseen parasites ; ( 6 ) it offers a demonstration which is the negation of facts, much so-calied Spiritualism being merely a kime of incbriated materialism. "All these points," continues the Professor, "I can verify by actual cases; nund, as a rule, I have observed the stcady downward course of meliums who sit regularly; moral obliquity is the first symptom, then they become wrecks. This applins to mediuns fur physical manifestations chicfly. Ludeed, is it not impossible to have a purely plenomenal presentation of tuy high spiritual laws?" In view of the spead of Spiritualism in its molern aspects, and of the consenuences resulting from it, it becomes a most important question what ought to be the attitude of the clergy of the Chureh of England towards believers in the alleged manifestations. That they are affecting and will still more affect the (hureh is certain, and has made itzelf manifest here in Newcastle. Dr. T. L. Nichols, writing of its results in America, remarks that-" There can be no question about the marked effect of Spiritualism upon American thought, feeling, and character. Nothing within my memory has had so great an influence. It las broken up hundreds of

[^2]churches; it has changed the religions opinions of hundreds of thousands; it has influenced, more or less, the most important actions and relations of rast multitudes. Immonse numbers of those who, a few years ago, professed ab belief in some form of Christianity, or were members of religions organisations, have, under the influence of Spiritualism, modified such profession. Great numbers, perbap:s, who dombted or denicd the existence of a future slate, have found, as they think, incontrovertible proofs of its reality." Just, then, recognising that the general teachings of Spiritualism are inimical to ahoost every organised body of profisseing (hmistians, I would, with much deference, suggest that we must shake ouselves free from the conventional minwisdom of the ecelesiastical poohpooh! which is our mondern sulistitute for the " mathematism" of less tolerant days. We must abstain from contemptuons reference to Maskelyue and Cooke, remembering that these inimitable conjurors have more than once been publicly offered a thousand pounds if they would, under the same condilions, imitate the most ordinary spiritual phenomena in a private house; but they replied that, as their apparatus weighed nore than a ton, they could not ennveniently accept the challenge. We must call to mind the fact that such eminent scientists as Mry. Alfred Russel Wallace and Mr. William Crookes, the discoverer of the metal thallimm and of the radiometer, the latter through his investigation of Spiritualism, have both declared that the main facts are as well established, and as easily verifiable, any as of the more exceptional phenomena of unture which are not yet reduced to law. The movement is here, in the provilence of God, whether by His appointment or permission; and throngh it lie calls upon us to to what lies in our power to control and regulate it for those who are or may be affected by its practice and teaching. If from Sitan, we onght not to be content with igmorance of his devices. Whatever danger may result to those who from mere idle curiosity venture whene they ought not, daty calls on us to brave them courageously, as a soldier or physician hazards his life for the welfare of society. Spiritualism may be, and probably is, a fulfilment of the Apocialyptic vision of the Spirits of demons going forth to deceive the nations. It may be that the manifestations, mixed as they confessedly are, are part of the dark clouds which have to appear asd be dispersed before the promised advent of the Lord with llis saints to bring in a true Spritualism. In the meantime, even regarding the fact in its worst light, we, as watchmen and shepherds, sustain a relation towards it which involves importint duties.........Sccondly, we should realise that the sole strength of Spiritualism lies in the knowledge, partial and imperfect though it be, of the future life. The weakness of the Churches as opposed to the strength of Modern Spiritualism is in the ignorance of that life, and in misapprelension of Scripture teaching concerning it.... While no one can be alvised to give up or modify any sincere conviction, whether fomded on rational or merely authoritative gromuls, it may be confidently affirmed that the result of Spiritualist teaching and propagandism will be a gradual and perpetmal separation of the people from all ehurches and congregations whose ministers maintain and teach the endless duation of torment or punishment in the case of any one soul. Except on this question the teachings of alleged $S_{p}$ irits and believers are as varied as those of men on eartl! ; so much so that very many medinus and believers, in despair of certainty, have become members of the Church of Rome. Yet most of those who have gone over to that Ghurch, and multitudes who are Chureliless, would glatly have remained in their respective denominations if their teachers could have dealt kindly with them, and given rational grounds for the doctrines tanght, and maintained an inguiring and conciliatory spirit towards the doubs and opinions of their liearers. The suggested attitude of the elergy towards Spiritualists may be thus summed up:-1st: $\Delta \mathrm{s}$ careful an examination of the facts as time and circumstances almit, that we may not condemm in manifest ignomace; remembering the words of Solomon, "He that.
answereth a matter before he heareth it, it is folly and slame unto him." 2 nd: A frauk admission of facts, and a conciliatory rather than hostile or dogmatic attitude towards believers. 3rd : A rational presentation of Christian doctrine,......4th: While frankly admitting any good in its teaching or influence which may be fairly claimed for Spiritualism, it is also our duty to sheev from the abundant written testimony of eminent Spiritualists that great daugers, physical aud mental, frequently result from a too eager and unreserved submission to paychical control. 5th: To shew that in the Christian religion, rightly understood, is to be found all, and more than all of important truth that any Spirit has cesr taught from the beginning of the world.

## Mr. Stuart Cumberland.

Mr. Stuart Cumberland said this was a question of evidence alone. In Spiritualism there was a variety of manifestations, of which the most objectionable was the so-called materialisation of Spirit forms, by which was meant the power of calling up deceased relatives and friends in the same material condition in which they were before leaving this worlh. Common-sense told thein that those that $\mathrm{l} f \mathrm{ft}$ this world rested from their labours. It was not in the power of the professional medium to call up those we loved to masyucrade in Spiritualistic sćances for the amusement of fools and the eurichment of knaves. He had seen a great deal of these materialisations, and had foumd that the so-called Spirit was always the medium or a confederate. A few montlis ago he went to a séance by Mr. Bastian. A very emincut clergyman, who was present, recognised, in the form that was called up, the person of lis deceased son, ant a most painful scene ensued. Two nights after he (Mr: S. Cumberland) returued, in company with Dr. Forbes Winslow and other friends, determined to expose the true mature of these manifestations. They were kept sitting for so long a time in a state of expectancy that a person was disposed at last to recognise in even adressed-up broomstick lis maturnal grandmother or paternal grandfather. At last the Spirit appeared. The medium, was supposed to be in a state of trance in a neighbouring room meanwlite. The Spirit came up to lim (Mr. Camberland) and declared most emphatically that it was his brother. Very happily he hail not lost a brother. In pursuance of a lititle plot lie lad arranged, he squirted over the Spirit some liquid cochineal. He tried to grasp the Spirit, but it nearly broke his fingers in the struggle. At the close of the seance they found that tho medium was covered with liquid coelineal. This proved that the Spirit and the medium were one and the same person.*

## Mr. Join Fowier.

What does the Church propose to do in this matter? Of its seriousuess proof is officied by the fact of this discussion. Uutil the facts of spiritual existence have been demonstrated, like Puter, who denied his Master, we want evidence, and, like Thomas, we want to put our fingers into the prints of the nails. If denonstration was needed to establish the faith in the liearts of the disciples, demonstration is as much necded to-day, to establish its claims in the experience of the present generation. The fabric canuot be maintained. It will fall to pieces without the interior leavening power of the Spirit. Narrow creeds and ceremonies cannot impose and influence for ever the minds of men. Therefore, Modern Spiritualism has appeared as a Divine necessity of the times. It does not come to destroy the law and the prophets, but to cstablish that which came aforetime, and to make the possibilities of spiritual growth and strength in the heart of man more possible. The extraordinary gifts of healing, of speaking, and of prophecy which the founders of the Clurch exereised, displayed the supremacy of the spiritual over the

[^3]temporal world. The blind were made to see, the sick were made whole, and the dumb did speak. The volume of heaven's wonders was revealed to us by the inspired speaker. 'These spiritual gifts, so extraorlinary, and marvellous, were promised to be continued to the Chureh. Christ said to His disciples" Greater works shatl ye do because I go to My Father." There is nothing inconsistent in the claims of Modern Spiritualism and Christianity. One is the expression and the development of the other. That which was phenomenally possible in primitive Christianity must be as needful and as possible now. Nowhere are these gifts declared to be withdrawn from the Church. If the Church had them in the beginning, they are retaned till now. The Church did not bestow them in the beginning, and the Church camot take them away. Healing the sick, and the marvellons works which were done of old, were done in harmony with the Divine plan, and if men would only submit themselves to the same conditions, the miraculous vitality of the primitive Church could be resumed by us. These extraordinary phenomena to which the Spiritualist lays claim are of the same mature and character as those which were witnessed ju the early Church, and they are calculated to meet the growing skepticism of the age by acomplete dufeat. The Church should not stand aloof, and demonce Spirituatism as a fraud. It will prove the Church's best frieml. It will defeat the Atheist, the Secularist, and the Materialistthe three fomidable foes of modern faith. Fear and doubt are the strongest enemies to the believer's life in the sanctuary and in the closet. The anxions inquirer ponders the problem, and cries in his soul for some objective evidence of the trithifulness of the Church's teachings. Without Morlern Spinitnalism the Church affords not this invaluable succour. It stands helpless before the onslanghts of the infidel. Time will not permit us tonight to detail the nature of spiritnal phenomena, as it is presented in your midst to-day. Jlowever, we may de permitted to testify to a few facts. We have known reliable and experienecd men and women, bitterly opposed to the subject, have evidence presented to thein of a most convincing nature. Departed friends have presented themselves and given undoubted evidence of their identity, by a narration of experiences only known to themselves. Fiathers have met children, and children have met fathers, and have exchanged mmistakalle proofs of a personal continuance of life. They have been recognised in the phenomena of materialisation. Their presence has been made known by the remarkable power of clairvoyance. Entrancement has developed a power of inspiration as beautiful as any which ristinguished any age of elogetence. The healing art is practised with suceess to-day, and could be casily developed in usefulness, if the Church applied itself to the study of the spiritual foree of haman nature. The inherent capability of the human organism for removing or alleviating suffering would be recognised as a source of stialility to the Church itself. We do not say that there is mything mimaculous or contrary to the laws of nature in these phenomena. Man, originatly, from the begiming, las remaned the same. The marvellous works which were done by the Apostles can be done now. But nothing can be done now which is not in hamony with natural law. The suoner the Church lnings itself to recognise this trath the better able will it be to struggle with its outward foes. We have in onr midst now sensitive persons who can bo operated on by Spirits, and made to do the will of an invisible intelligence. By acting upon the vital fluid of a seusitive, a Şpirit can contrul a medium. A medium is a person who is more or less susceptible to the will and control of another, and this susceptibility is increased by repeated and freguent exercise of the power. Mediuns are not all alike. Some have the gift of healing, some of speaking, some of writing, some of claryoyance, and some even of speaking with tongues. Manifestations of these spiritual gifts are very widely spread over England. Thousauds eonld bear testimony to its truth. The subject is and has been investigated by men of note in every
walk of life. Scientific men, noblemen, literary men, and men of all classos distinguished for ahility and laming, after a full investigation, have, without hesitation, attested the genuinencss of the manifestations which took place in their presence. Thercfore, we say that a case has been made ont on behalf of Modern Spiritualism to be recognised and utilisel by the Chureh itself, that it may become strong to defat its own doubts, and, in the full reliance of its hope, do battle with the hard foes which deny the immortality of the soul. If Spiritualists do not miversally retain their allegiance to the doctrines of the Chureh of England, it matters but very little. The Church, by fairly and squardy investigating the alleged facts, will bring together into one focus philosophers and thinkers who otherwise might have remained outside the pale of the Church. To shelve the question by saying that Spiritualism is an imposition, displays either presumption or ignorance. All that we ask of you is to fairly and squarely investigate the subject withont prejudice or partiality, and we donbt not but that som the spiritual world, with its millions of hapy Spirits, will help on with enthusiasm to do battle with the internal and external foes of everlasting truth.

## Dr. Esspwood.

Dr. Eastwood, President of the North of England Branel of the British Meslical Assuciation, sadi............that the medical profession, as a whole, sets its fice against either Spiritualism, skepticism, or infidelity; and, with regard to the Spiritandism, le sad, as a physiviam, that the question concerned medical men very serionsly, beanse without understanding the whole man, it was impossible to understand either bodily or mental diseases. for mental diseases themselves were the result of hotily diseases; they were the resalt of disease in the combition of our bodily structure, whether that structure be liver or hain. It was the universal belief, with very few exemptoms, that the manifestations of Spiritalism were not the manifestations of Spirits, but were false, and that the majomity were really cansed in the way that hat been mentioncel that evening, and got up on pupose, the medimem themselves being Spiritualists. Spiritnalism had added nothing to our knowledge of the human mind or spivitual part of our nature ; and psychologists recognised that it hard not added to our information in any degree whatever, for the manifestations might be fairly explained by the knowledge we ahready posess. Again, some persons might themselves be leceived, and assme the phemomena might be true-some persons might believe in what they exhibited, but they were at the same time deceived, and the mamifestations bromght, forward were not true manifestations. The duty of the Church with regard to Spiritualism was to war against it on every oecasion; aml the duty of the Chureh against Spiritnalism was very much to let it alone, and let it die away, as many other false impressions had died away.

## Mr. Stirmen Bourne

Mr. Stephen bourne said that as to Spiritnalism...... it was folly for the Church to waste its time in meeting Spiritualism, for the Spiritualists possessed an amount of ingenuity which would tax the ingenuity of the wisest and best men. It was not for the Church to enter upon this task. Let it be left to the seientists and conjurors...

The meeting concluded with a hymn and the Benediction.

Mr. 'T. P. Barkas, who had asked for an opportunity of speaking on behalf of Spiritualism, was not called upon by the chaiman.

## TME FIVE-POMTED STAR.

To

## The Editor of The Theosomist.

## Nadame,

Having seen accounts of the efficacy of the "Five-point-ed-Star" in the Tumosophisir I was induced to try my luck with it, and have now the pleasure of recording the resnlts.

I have used it in nearly forty cases of scorpiou-sting, head-ache, simple colic, intermittent fever, and otherslight
functional disorders. In cevery case a cure was effected, sometimes instantanconsly.
In scorpion-sting I enguire how "high" the spasm is, i. e., how far it has spread towards the central part of the nody aboone the sting, there drawing the star with a pen and ink, the spasm immediately receles from it.

Aybin drawing the star over the highest point of the spasm, the pan rocedes further and further mutil the part actully stung is reached. Finally, forming the star over it, the buming sensation, which in other eases remains for several hours, quickly disappeas.

Yours most sincerely and fraternally,
harrisinghol rupsinghol, f.T.S.
Sihore, lsth October, 1981.

## SUPERSTITION.

Owing to the fanciful reports of superficial and prejudicel travellers, to their entire ignorance of Asiatic religions and-very often their own-Westem nations generally are labouring under the strange impression that no puople in the world are as stupilly superstitions as the non-Christian populations of India, China and other "heathen" conntries. Unblesserd with the light of the Guapel, they say, these poor parans groping in the dark, attribute mysterions powers to tho mast unsecmly objects: they will stake the future happiness or woe of their fither's soul, upon the hopping crow's acecpting or rejecting the rice-ball of the "Shradtha" ceremony; and will buliove, as the now famous Kothapur conspirators did, that "owls' eyes" worn as an ammlet will make the bearer invuinerable. Agreed:-all such superstitions are as degrading as they are ridienlous and absurd.....

But greatly mistefin, or as grossly mjust is he who affrms that such strange beliefs are limited to paganism, or that they are the direct result of the heathen religions alone. They are international ; the cumulative production and necessary effect of cometless generations of the arts of an unconscientious clergy of perery relifion and in every age. Arlopter by the archaic priestly hichachies, the policy of subjecting the ignomant masses, by working on their untutmed imiginations and credulons frars, with tho object of getting at their purse via the soul, was found effectual and was universally practised by the priest upon the layman from the first dawn of history down to our own modern times. Everything in mature, whether abstract or concrete, has two sides to it as every poison must have its antidote somewhere. Religion or belief in an invisible world being based upon a dual principle-God and Satan, or fOOD and Evid, if Phimsority-the outfow of true religious feeling-may be likened to a filtered stream, on the nther hand, Surerstituon is the chace of all dogmatic creeds that are based upon blind faith. Literally speaking, it is the sewer carying off the putrin waters of the Chaldco-Noachian deluge. Unstemmed, it ran in a straight course, through Paganism, Judaism and Chistianism alike, catching up with its curvent all the garbage of human dead-letter interpretations; while on its muddy banks have crowded the priesthood of all times and creeds and offered its inwholesome waters to the aloration of the credulous as the "holy stram,"-calling it now (ianges, anom the Nile or Jordan.

Why then, should the Western people accuse the nonChristian nations alone of such beliefs? Litule does the "truth of God" abomel through such lies, and it is showing poor respect to one's religion to introbluce it to the stranger's notice under false pretences. History shows us that, while seemingly ocupied in destroying every trace of heathenism, and condemning belief in ancient folk-lne and the nffects of "chams" as the work of the detil, the Christian proselytizers became the keepers of all such superstitions, and, adopting them gradually, let them loose again upon the people, but under other names. It is useless for us to repeat that which was maid, and better said, and proved by the statistical records of crimes pepetrated through superstition, ineverydhristian country. Beliefs of the grosest, as the most dingerous, character
are rife in Catholic Prance, Spain, Italy and Ireland, in Protestant England, Germany and Scandinavia, as in Greek linssia, Bulgaria and other Slammian lands, and they are as alive among the peonle now, as they were in the days of King Arthur, of the first Popes, or the VaryagoRussian Gram Dukes. If the higher and midne classes have eivilized themselves ont of such absurd fancies, the masses of rumal proulations have not The lower classes being left to the tember mercies of the rumat piest -who, when he wats not himself ignorant, was ever cunriningly alive to the importance of his holding the pashioner in mental slavery,-they believe in charms and ineantations and the powers of the devil noue, as much as they did then. And, so long as belief in Satan and his legion of fallenangels (now devils) remains a dogma of the Christian Church-and we do not see how it cond be eliminated, since it is the corner-stone of the doctrine of (now devil) salvation-so long will there exist such degrading superstitions, for the whole superstructure of the latter is based upon this belief in the mighty rival of the Deity.

There hardly cane out one number of our Journal. without it contained some proof of what we say. But last year from sixty to a hundred persons of both sexes were tried in Russia for arbitrarily Lummg alloged sorcerers and witches, who were supposed to have spoiled some hysterical women. The trial lasted for montha and disclosed a ghastly list of crimes of the most revolting nature. Yet the peasinits were acquitted for they were found ireesponsible. lior once justice hat trimphed in Russia over the dead-fetter liaw. And now, there comes news of the effect of the same superstition of a still deadier chat racter. The following will read like a medioval tale during the days of the "Holy" Inquisition. The Riussian Courvior contains an official report from 'lchembar (Government of Penza) to the governor of the province, which we will summarize thus:-

At the end of December last, during Christmas time, the village of Balkasheme became the theatre of a horrid and an tuhheard-of crime, caused by a superstitions belief. A land-owner, N. M., inherited a very large property and went just before Christmas-day to receive it at Penza. The inhabitants of the village--one of the many struck this year with famine-are generally poor; and two of the poorest and the hungriest of them resolved upon robbing the landowner during his absence. Unwilling though to pay the penalty for their crime they went first to a village Zandurkice (literaliy 'a knowing one,' a witch). In a Russian village where the witch is as indispensable as the smith and the public house, or an astrologer in a village of India, these professions multiply in proportion to the wealth and demands of cach lucality. So our two future burglars consulted the sorceress' as to the best way of effecting the robbery and avoid igg detection at the same time. The witch advised them to kill a man, "nnel cutting out the epiploon from uideder the stomuch, to mett it, wide proparing of it a candle, light the tuttere anel, entering the house of the lamellord, plander it at their eatse: by the enchanteid light of theat human cundle they would remain incisilhe to all. Following ont the alvice literally, the two peasants sallied forth from their huts at 2 after midnight, and meeting on their way a half-drumen wreteh, a neighbour of theirs, just leaving the public house, they killed him and cutting out his eprploon buried him in the show near a cowshed. On the third day of the murder, the corpse was dug out by the doges, and an inguest appointed. A large number of peasants was arrested, and, during the search of the villagehouses for proofs, a pot full of neited fat was discovered, an analysis of whose contents was made, and the substance proved to be humen fat. The culprit confessed and giving out his accomplice, both confessed their object. They pleaded guilty, but said they had acted upon the advice of the witch, whose name, thongh, they would divnlge upon no consideration, dreading the revenge of the soreeress far more than human justice. The fact is the more remarkable as both the murderers had been hitherto regarded as two poor but stendy, sober; and very houest joung men. It seems
next to impossible to find ont which one of the neighbouring 'witches'-for there are many and some are never known but, to their 'clients'---is guilty of the murlerous advice. Nor is there any chance of getting at any che from the villagers, as lhe most respectable among them would never consent to incur the displeasure of one of these devil's familiars. We believe, indeed, having a right to say that the above snemetition leaves far behind it, in criminality, the comparatively innocent belief of the Kolhapar conspirators in the efficacity of the "owls' eges."
Another recent case is that of an "enchanter." During the month of the same December last, the village-council of Aleksandrofsk voted the expulsion from their midst and torcible exile to Siberia of a wealthy peasant named Rodimine. The aceusation showing the defender guilty "of the great crime of being thoroughly versed in the science of enchantments and the art of cansing people to be possessed by Satam," having been read, the verdict of the jury was found manimons. "As soon" states the Accusation Act "as the defendant Rodinine approaches one, especially if any person accepts a glass of brandy from him, he becones pussessed on the spot....Instantly the viction begins to howl, complaining that he feels like a river of hquid tire inside him, and piteonsly assures those present that Sitan tears his bowels into shreds.....From that moment he knows no rest, cither by day or by night, and soon dies a death of terrific arony. Numerons are the victims of such wieked enchantments perpetrated by the defendant.....In consequence of which, the local jury having found him 'guilty', the authorities are respectfully requested to do their bound duty." The "boumd duty" was to parcel Rudinine off to Siberia and so they did.

Every one in the West knows of the popular and universal belief-prevanling buth in Germany and Russia about the miriculuns power of a certain three-leaved fern when culled at miduight on St. John's day in a solitary woud. (falled out by anincantation to the evil one, the blado of grass begins growing at the end of the first verse and is grown by the thne the last one is pronomned. If unappalled by the ternite sights taking place aromod him-and they are unsurpassed in horror-the experimenter heeds them not, but remains undismayed by the shoutings of the "furest imps" and their effurts to make him fail in his design, he is rewarded by getting possersion of the plant which gives him power during his life-time over the devil and forces che latter to serve him.

This is faitlo in Satan and his power. Can we blame the ignorant or even the educated yet pious persons for such a Detief? Dues not the Church-whether Cathulic, Protestant, or treek-not only inculcate in us, from our earliest age, but actually demend stich a beliet? Is it not the sine quä non of Christianity? Aye, will people answer; but the Church condemus us for any such intercourse with the Father of Evil. The Church wants us to believe in the devil, but to despise and "renounce" him at the same time ; and alone, thruogh her legal representatives, she has a right to deal with his hoary majesty and enter into direct relations with him, thereby glorifying liod and showing the laymen the great power she has reccived of the Deity of controlling the Jevil in the name of Christ, which she never succeeds in doing: hoverer. she fails to prove it; but it is not generally that which is the best proved that is the most believed in. The strongest prouf the Church ever gave of the objectivity of Hell and Satan, was during the middle ages when the Holy Inquisition was appointed by Divine right, the agency of kindling hellfire on earth and burning hereties in it. With landable impartiality she burned alike those who disbelieved in hell and the devil, as those who believed too mnch in the power of the latter. 'Then the logic of these poor credufous peuple who believe in the possibility of " miracles" at all, is not quite fanlty either. Made to believe in God and the Devil, and seeing that evil prevails on earth, they can hardly avoid thimking that it is good proof that Satan has the uppor hand in has cternal struggle with the Deity. And it su-his power then and alliance are not to be scorned
at. Tomments in hell are far off, and misery, suffering, and starration are the doom of millions. Since God seems to neglect them, they will tum to the other power. If a "leaf" is endowed with miraculous powers by (iod in one instance, why should not a laf be as useful when it is grown under the direct supervision of the Devil? And then do not we read of inumemble legenels, where simers, having made a paet with the devil, have dishonestly cheated him ont of their souls toward the end, by pacing themselves under the protection of sume Saint, repenting and calling upon "atonement" at the last moment? 'Ihe two murderers of Tchembar, while confessing their crime, distinctly stated that as soon as their familios would have been provided for through their burglary they meant to go into a monastery and taking the "holy orders, repent.:": And if, finally, we view as gross, degrading superstition, belief in the one leaf, why should the State, Society, and hardly a century ago-- law have punished for disbelieving in the Church miracles? Here is a fresh instance of a "miracle"-working leaf just clipped out of the Catholic Mirror. We commend it for comparison, and then perlaps our readers will be more mercifill to the superstitions of the "poor heathen" unblessed with the knowledge of, and belief in, Christ.

## A Miracle-Working Leaf.

Father Ignatius, who is at present preaching a mission at Sheffield, furnishes the following account of a very remarkable "miracle" of healing, alleged to have been wrouglit on a Brighton lady by a leaf from the bush on which the Virgin Mary is said to have descended during the recent celestial manifestations she is alleged to have vouchsafed at Llanthony Abbcy. After describing the apparitions, Father Ignatius goes on to say that God was confirming the truth of these apparitions by the most blessed signs possible. The leaves from the bush had been sent to many persons, and were being used by God to heal. He would mention one great miracle that had been wrought. An elderly lady who kept a ladies' school in Brighton, and was, therefore, well known, had suffered the most excruciating suffering for thirty-eight years from a discased hip-joint that would not allow her to lie or sit down with comfort. She was a complete cripple. In fact, lie himself bad seen her turn quite livid with the pain from the joint. He sent her a leaf, not that he thought it would cure her, but with the idea of giving lier some memorial of the apparitions, When she went to bed that night she took his letter and the leaf with her, and the words, "According to thy faith be it unto thee," which she had read in "Hawker's Morning and Evening Portion," were ringing in her ears. She prayed, and applied the leaf to the abscess on her leg, and instantly the abscess disappeared, instantly the discharge ccased, instantly the pain ceased, and instantly she was able to place her foot properly on the ground. Since then she conld walk about like other people, and she had been delivered entirely from a life of terrible excruciating suffering. He would give the name and address of the lady to any one who wished to investigate the case, and the lady was quite willing to afford every information.

An "apparition" at Llanthony Abbey, or an "apparition" in the cabinet of a modium,-we really do not see much difference in the two beliefs; and if God condescends to work through a leaf, why should not the devil, the " monkey of God," do likewise ?"

## THE "PllL OSOI'll Y OF sildRIT."

"Philosophy of Spibit, witha new version of the Bhagavat Gita, by William Oxlcy" is the mame of the volume before us. It comes fresh from Englathe and is written by a gontleman widely known among the English mystics, and one, whose mitelligence and leaning are gencrally admitted. With such an author, the new work recominends itself well. Our journal beng deroted to modern literature as much as to Oriental Philosophy and Spinitualism, we must try to do the volume full justice by reviewing it from all these three aspects. Let us then see, what will be the probable, and, we must say, very natural
effect the Philosophy of spirit is likely to protuce upon the mind of the average Angle-Indian, and the elucated native of India, especially. Without falling into undue prophecy, we may predict, to a certainty, that befere these two classes of readers, beauty of thought, the literary excellency of the style, and the many uther fascinating features of the book, will vanish entirely, leaving in their place but its one prominent defect-always speaking with this chass of reaters in mind-the one unpardonable sin, namely-what they will term "a superstitious belief in spirits." The subject is so umpopular, that orec cannot help regretting that it should ever have been made to interfere with the otherwise probable success of this publication in India. Even in England, with the exception of Spiritualists and Theosophists, very few will, for the same reason, appreciate its value. But we must not anticipate. The work is inscribed by Mr. Oxley to-

## THE RESURMECTING INTELLEGTUAL IIFE OF INDIA; <br> As A

MARK OF PROFOUND AND REVETENTIAL, RESIECT, TO TUE MEMORY OF
TIIE ANCIENT' INDIAN SCHOOL OF THOUGITT, which mat justly ne regarded as
TITE PARIPNT SOLTMU OE LITERATURE,
THE IMPRESS OF WHMCII IS FOUND INDFLIMLY STAMPED ON ALL THE CHEF RELIGLOUS SISTEMS OF THE WOHLD;
IN TIE HOPE THAT TIHS HUMDLE FFTORT MAY AID IN ATTRACTING Mone attestion from western minds
TO TIE DEAUTIFUL LITERARY GEMS THAT ABOUND IN
the sanscrit language,
The offsifing of that great vation which flounisinid ages ago in tuat fabt of the habitable glode now known as
india.
So far so good, the dedication being sufficiently enticing to move every educated Hindu patriot to patronize the work which bears it; and, were it not for a very strange claimant, whom the reader encounters on the very first pages of Chapter IV upon the Ancient W'istom of India-he would have remained charmed with it. The clamant is certainly calculated to startle and bewidder one, for it is no less historic a character than "Busims tne Ancient"- a "Spirit," who upsets the uninitiated by amouncing himself as the bona-fide author of that most colebrated poem- the Mahabhercta! It is the nature of that personage, whom, consilering the hoary antignity of the poem, the reader is forced to view as a well-disembotied spirit by this time, and the fortuitous introduction of such a supernatural character that throws a colouring of incredibility upon the whole work and will, we fear, sorcly stand in the way of the new version, which would otherwise deserve every possible success in the old motherland of the Rishis. Leaving for the present, the English reader aside, let us see what the native reader will have to say. The name of "Busiris" who claims to be an old Aryan sage, has in itself a too outlandish ring to the Hindu tympanum to make an Aryan recognize in it very readily that of the long-lost and forgoten author of his national Iliar. Our young India, especially the jeunesse doree, is skeptical, and sadly ignorant of the string of names in the calendar of its venerable ancient sages and authors. Even the name of the living "Koot-hoomi" a purely Aryan, Sanskrit name, and one, moreover, mentioned at length in the Padma Purana, which gives it as one of the thirty-six Rishlis who were the authors of smriti, was for a considerable time regarded as a non-Aryan name. And, since even those directIy concerned with, and particularly at home among the old Indian as well as the Jewish distmbodicel sages-namely, the pious Apostles of the New Dispensation Church-inquired throngh their learned organ, the sunday A/irror, whether "Koit-hoomi" was "a Singhalese, Burmese or Tibetan name"-the chances of "Dusiris" to recognition as an Aryan Rishi become infiuitesimal, indeed. True, Mr. Oxley explains to us that "Busiris is not a Sanskrit name, but a furm of speech which forms a connecting line between the ancient Indian system and the Egyptian one, in which ' Usiris' was the great presiding genitis,"

But that helps very little; the situation will prove to no purpose, as it can never move the Indian mind. While the orthodox Hindus have their orn vission of the Bhagavala Gita and stesdily avoid to learn English, or accept anything, from a forcign source, their sons- the said jeumess: dor're, --who scoff even at the sacred writings of the well-anthenticated national Rishis-will still less accept the dictum of a Western "Busiris," howsoever "ancient" and vencrable that ghost may be. Writings which have "angels" for their revelators and authorities, are now steadily losing price on the market of miversal scepticism. And people, who refuse to believe even in a living man, their own comtryman, muless that man exhibits himself for their delectation in the muidens and bazaars, are still less likely to open their arms to a "Majátma" of Western origin, who, to boot, controls an English medinm.

We feel constrained, therefore, in all sincerity and sympathetic kindness for the author, to say again that we regret to see "Busiris the Ancient" mentioned at all in a work, so fill of valuable suggestions and throwing such a flood of light upon at least one of the aspects of the esoteric meaning of the Bhagavata Gita. We regret it the more, as it is not easy to conceive what possible good purpose can be answered by the introduction of that venerable, disembodied "ingel"-who, moreover, is introduced into the volume quite casually. We believe the book could but have gained, had the express declaration of "Busiris" in an audible voice, (that of his medium, of course,) "I am the author of the Mahablarata"-been left out. Nor is the additional paleographic and chronological information given by the ethereal sage to the effect that the epic poem was written "five thousand years ago," for he, the author "was then on earth "-much calculated to dispel the reader's doubts. For, following this fling at Max Müller-one, which, bad it come from any other source, would have been justified aud gladly welcomed by manythe reader is made to glean that other and far more startling fact, namely, that the fossil ghost, or the " now angel," who rejoices in the name of "Busiris" is one of the "historical contrcls" who descends occasionally from "the third or celestial heaven"-wherever that might be-to give us, mortals, the glad tidings that (1) Busiris means "Lightgiver," and (2) that in consequence of it he "appears as the Angel of Light, or as a Heralder of the New...Dispensation.'

Now there are several good reasons why the majority of the cultivated Hindus might object to a "spirit" announcing himself in the latter character. To begin with, it requires but a moderate dose of that national pride which will always lead one to prefer the products of one's mothersoil to foreign importation-to view the venerable Busiris as a rival, lience an unwelcome claimant, to a dignity already honourably occupied in India. Thus, some might object to him on the plea that the country has already its own native "Heralder of a New Dispensation," who, if not precisely settled in the " third or celestial IIeaven," but in a "Lilly" cottage, proves, nevertheless, an undoubted acquaintance with the said locility, laving, en plus, over the alleged author of the "Mahabharata," the evident advantage of being a living "Babu" instead of a dead "Angel." And, we are not so sure but that some others might protest against the importation of a new "Heralder," bringing along with him a second "New Dispensation," for reasons quite the reverse of profonad reverence for the original local edition; ou the ground, perhaps, that they have quite enough of even that solitary copy.

From the average spiritualistic standpoint, the book, welcomed on the whole, will be perhaps criticized for certain explanations in it, as the latter approach the author's views far nearer to the theosophical than to the spiritual doctrines. It is but a small number of progressive, liberal-minded spiritualists, who will fully appreciate the profuundly philosophical theory of the writer who adds that it " must not be supposed that it is the likeness of the great angel as he appears in the spheres"-the
likeness referred to being the head of Busiris drawn through the agency of his medium, photographed on wood and then cut by an artist. That class of Spiritualists, who maintain that the dramatis personce of the séance-room-the "Angels" in general and their deceased frieuds, especially,--return to them on this earth, rematerialized in the emanations of their own skin and bones and the magnetic aura of their mediums, will not be pleased at Mr. Oxley's profoundly truthful explanations: "What the "actual appearance of the angels is," he writes in page 52, " in their own state and home, is inconceivable by embodied mortals, who can only see through the organs or senses; and consequently when an angel or spirit appears clairvoyantly or otherwise to mortals, he or she projects or assumes an appearance whereby they can be recognized by human beings."
That is just the position of the Theosophists who have always maintained that the "spirits only" assumed an appearance. On the other hand, they disagree with the author when he supports the spiritualistic assertion that listorical or any other controls can enlighten "the world on the subject of spirit-communion and prove by incontestable facts the immortality of the soul." Belonging to that class of people who "refuse to be charmed" by the contradictory statements of the alleged spirits, the Theosophists hold that, even were the facts of the materialization proved in every case to be genuine, and produced by really disembodied men, it would yet be no proof of the "immortality of the soul, "but at best of its surviving the body.

Leaving, however, spirits aside, we will briefly glance at the new version of the Bhagavata Gita as given by the author in his comments. According to his idea, with which we fully concur, that poem which is the brightest gem of the "Mahabharata" "contains an epitome of the whole system; and its philosophy, as expounded by Krishna, stands out amidst all the constellations of spiritnal litera-ture-a brilliant, whose lustre is surpassed by none." The suggestion that the "Mahalharata" might prove to be the last Book of the Wars of Jehovah, as reference in the Hebrew Scriptures, "where a Book or Books which are not found in the Canon" is distinctly made in Numbers XXI, 14, is novel and mighit prove to contain more truth in it than is now generally supposed. We doubt, though, whether the names of the localities as given in verse 14" wherefore it is said in the Book of the Wars of 'Jehovah' what he did in Vaheb in Suphahandin the brooks of Armon," could be so easily proved when interpreted to "have their equivalents in the more ancient Indian Scriptures." The authorized text of the Bible gives the sentence a little differently : for it speaks of what the Lord " did in the Red Sea and in the brooks of Arnon"-the RedSea having never formed part of the Indian tervitory, not being mentioned in either the Indian Scriptures or the "Mahabharata," and the brooks of Arnon having no equivalent, as far as we are told by the most learned Pandits, in any of the Sanskrit works. The evident object of the author being to show the Old Hebrew Records full of parallels found in tho Bhaycurcte Gita, he is likely to fail in this. Though anteceding Christianity and the New Testament, the Gitu is certainly far posterior to the "Mahabharata," and even to the Old Testament, at least, to its oldest parts, having been addled to the main body of the epic poem subsequently. Were the Bhayduata Gitc however, as old as the author would have it, the twelve names of "twelve of the chiefs of the Pandus,"... with which the sons or tribes of Israel are said to correspond, could not have been meant for the twelve signs of the Zodiac. In those days of hoary pre-historic antiquity, the nations, who were acquainted with astronomy, lad but ten signs, and the two addlitional ones being regarded as the most sacred of the twelve, -were known but to the initiates. Relating, as they do, to the final mystery of the secret doctrine of cosmogony, they were held in too high a veneration to allow any reference of them being made in relation to such secondary personages as the twelve chiefs of the Pandus. The bhagurata ciile has certitinly an astronomical and astrological basis ;
but the true meaning of the sacred drama is in the hands of a fow so called "orthodox" Brahmans, who keep it too well to allow Western interpreters to get at the key of jt. And thongh, in one sense, Krishna, "the Revelator," may represent "the celestial souce from which such revelations are given;" and Arjuna may be regarded as "embodied hmmanity"; yet Krishna is doubtless an historical, though subsequently deified personage, and the history of Arjune, lis Thela, or disciple, is better known to some learned Pandits and Swamis than that of Alexander the Great is to any Western Professor of Mistory.
'The Sanskrit poem is taken from Wilkin's prose translation, and presented to the rearler in a clear and,-considering the difficulty of combining a strict adherence to the spirit of the text, and the exigencies of the rhythmin a mellow, and, at times, fascinating blank verse. The personages of the Gita, scenes as well as things, are shown as allegorical and symbolical representations of the sccrets of nature, and Yogism, the awful mystery of Good and Evil, Adeptship, and finally, the mierocosm or Man, are defined from the stand-point of modern spiritmalism. There are some sublime idens, some great trutlis found here and there, as well as strange misconceptions owing to the predetermined idea of the author, and his strong desire to identify modern spiritualism with the most ancient philosophies of the world. So, for instance, he seeks to prove ancient Yoginism, corresponding in its two aspects, of pure phenomenalisun and pure Soul-Philosophy, with Spiritism and Spiritualism. "The former," be says, "covers all the gromed of phenomenalism only, but the latter (Spiritualism) includes the former, and brings the soul of mon en rapport with the best, wisest, and purest in Spirit-life...In the junction of present spivitualism with ancient Yoginism, extremes meet, and by that mecting a new form of life will bo developed on this carth, which will characterize the role of the New Dispensation." ${ }^{*}$
This juxtaposition of Yoginism and Spiritualism would be regarded as rather fanciful, were we to consult the hamed Aryan exponent of the former---Professor Mahinder Moreshwar Kunte. But we go deeper into the author's mind, and discern, under his beliet in modern phenomenalism, the better germs of that which might leal him on to the threshold of self-taught Eastern adeptship. Many of his ideas coincide entirely with those of the esoteric Buddhist and Brahmanical doctrines, while not a few are entirely orposed to the spirit of these. "What is action?" he asks (pp. 111 and 112.) and answers-"Action is nothing mone aud nothing less than spirit in motion. Spinit in motion is nothing more nor less than the one universal Life, forming and creating new and changing conditions whereby to express itself. Now action is perfommed in a state of profismel ignorance, in which every form of ceated life is involved, while in earthly or physical conditions. The very forms of life are working (although under the illusion of the personal Ego) and yet are totally unconscious of the work they are performing. The whole philosophy of wisdom only throws a gleam of light on the transference of consciousness from the personal to the Universal Ego. This is the whole secret, and happy they, to whom the secret is entrusted. It solves the problem of 'extinction in Brahm,' and the 'Nirvana of Buldhism..

Having directed the flight of his soul into the very adytum, so to say, of the esoteric philosophy, it rather startles one to find the author giving expression to the following cerolting doctrine which, morcover, he fathers quite unjustly upon Krishma. "The real progress and ascent of the human Spirit," he explains in page 122, "is not affected by anything that mortal man ceri do or leave undone; but this truth is only for those who can bear the full light of truth without being blinded. The great Power, or life, is above aud in all, equally the same, and is working out its own design and purpose quite independently of the power

[^4]which the finite uncolightened mind arrogates to itself. The appearance is that we, mortals, can think and act as we think proper; the real truth to such as can bear it-is that we are thinking and acting out the design of the Infinile Mind, and actually form a part of that Mind, but are ignorant of the fuct." Hence, we can murder, steal, be immoral, and yet expect and demand respect on the plea that we are "acting out the clesign of the Infinite Mind"? This is neither esoteric nor exoteric Brahmanisn or Buddhism, but is rather a strange admixture of the mnst superstitious Mahomedan fatalism, and of the worst kind of Presbyterian predestination. We can assure the esteemel author that no Adept or "Initiate" of any philosophical system would ever recognize, in the above sentence, anything but a dangerous and very pernicious doctrine. Regretting sincerely that such a teaching shoukl have found room among a number of thoughts of a really lighest philosophical character, it must be only hoped that we have misunderstood the author's meaning. Meanwhile advising those of our readers, who may feel interested in the subject, to read the Philosophy of Spirit notwithstanding, we must bring this too lengthy article to a close.

## THE TIIEOSOI'HIST' AND IIINDU PANTHEISM.

It is upon the above subject that we find Mr. Henry Atkinson, of Bonlogne, France, treating in the Ihilosuphic Inquirer of Madras. This gentleman is an able and widely-known writer, generally perfectly clear and definite in his ideas. It, therefore, surprises us the more, to be wable to find ont lis motive for dragging the Theosophists into the above-named article. Having condensed from Professor Flint's " Anti-Theistic Theories," the author's amalysis of the Vedanta system, which led him to conclude that the negation of the reality of the worlds, along with the affirmation that Parabrahma is an impersonal deity-is a kind of Pantheism which is Acosmism, Mr. Atkinson contirms the remark by adding that "Pantheism is just as likely to issue in Atheism." Not that we know of,-is our answer. As taught by the ablest and most learned Vedantins of Benares, Pundits and Sanskrit scholars, their Pantheism has quite a contrary result. But we must not digress from the direct sulhject. Says the writer:-" From this virtual atheism there is but a step to avowed atheism. The Sankhya philosoply and Buddhism are the Hindu exemplifications of this tendency of pantheistic speculation. 'It takes for granted that inaterial atoms existed from eternity. The reasoning by which the belief in creation is set aside by Hindu philosophers is ever substantially that which we find thus expressed in a Sutia of the Sankhya system: ' There cannot be the production of something ont of nothing ; that, which is not, cannot be developed into that which is: the production of what does not already exist potentially is impossible; because there must, of necessity, be a material out of which a product is developed, and beculuse everything cannot ocen everywhere at all times; and because ony thing possible must be produced from something competent to produce it.' "

This quotation is immediately followed by the wholly unexpected-hence rather startling-question. "Now do the Theosophists ask us to return to such self-refuting, cheamy abstractions,-such wilful wandering of an early unscientific age and country," (?) and-that is the only reference we find to the Theosornists in the whole letter.

We fail, therefore, to perceive the relevancy of the query in relation to anything in Mr. Atkinson's article; nor clo we see that the quotation from the Sutra has anything so "unscientific" in it; nor yet, the possible bearing upon theosophy the writer finds in the case in hand, in general. What have the "Theosophists" to do with Professor Flint's speculations, with Vedantism, the Sankliya, or even with Buddhism in this application? The Theosophists study all tho systems and-teach none,
leaving every one to think and seek out truth for himself. Our members but help each other in the common work, and every ono of us is open to conviction, wherever the probable truth of any given hypothesis is demonstrated to him by the light of modern science, logic or reason. Less than all does any one of the theosophists "ask any one else to return to, remain in" or proceed in "self-refuting, dreamy alsitractions" and "wilful wandering of an early mscientific age" unless such "wandering" is necessitated by the far greater wandering, and many an wiroved speculation of our own "scientific" age-molern science ever balancing on one leg at the brink of "impassable chasms." If Science, to enable herself to put two and two together so as not to make of it five, had to return to the atomic theory of old Democritus and the heliocentric system of the fir older Pythagoras,-both of whom have lived in ages which are generally regarded as "unscientific,"we do not see why the Theosophists should not wander in such ages in quest of the solution of tho most vital problems which, do what he may, 10 modern phitosopher has yet succeeded in even approaching. But what we do ask and most decidedly, is that people should study, compare and think for themselves before they definitely accept anything upon sccond-hand testimony. Hence we protest against more than one authoritative and as arbitrary assumption of this our so-called "enlightened and scientific age." Till now, our daily accummative and joint experience shows to us the adjective no better than a vain looast and a misnomer; and we feel quite ready to maintain our position, inviting and promising to feel grateful to Mr. Atkinson or any one else who will disprove it.

Why should we, to begin with, call our age a "scientific " age, in preference to, or with any better claim to it than, the age of Alexander the Great, or even that of Sargon the Chaldean? Our century is a period which gavebirth to many scientific men; to a still greater number of those who fimey themselves very scientific, but could hardly prove it in a crucial test; and-to teeming millions of "imocents" who are quite as ignorant, as superstitious, and as mentally weak and uneducated now as any of the citizens in the days of the Hyksos, of Perikles or of Rama ever werethen. No one will deny that to every genuine man of science, there are, at least, one hundred sciolists-pretenders to learning,-and ten millions of thorougl.: :~noramuses throughout the world. Nor could any oue contradict the assertion that to every enlightened and thoroughly well-educated person in society, we have to throw in several hundreds of lialf-educated boobies, with no more than a superficial socicty-varnish to conceal their gross ignorance. Moreover Science, or rather Knowledge, and Ignorance are relative terms as all other contraries are in nature-antagonistic, yetrather proving thandisproving each other. Thus, if the Scientist of to-day knows infinitely more in one direction than the Scientist who flourished in the days of the Pharaoh Tuthmosis, the latter knew probably immeasurably more in another direction than all our 'Tyndalls and Herbert Spencers combined know, proof of the above being shown in the "lost" arts and sciences. If this age of ours is one of wonderful achicvement in physical sciences, of steam and electricity, of raihroads and telegraphs, of telephones and what not, it is also one in which the best minds find no better, no more secure or more reasonable refuge, than in Agnosticism, the modern variation on the very ancient theme of the Greek philosopher-"All I know is that I know nothing." With the exception of a handful of men of science and cultured people in general, it is also an age of compulsory obscurantism and wilful ignocance-as a direct rosult, and the bulk of the present population of the globe is no less "unscientific" and Ifuite as grossly superstitious as it was 3,000 years back.
Is Mr. Atkinson or any one else (but a Christian) prepared to deny the following very easily verified assertion-that one million of uneducated Buddhists chosen at random -those, who hold to the "good law" as tanght in Ceylon, ever since it was brought there by King Asolsa's son

Mahinda, in the "unscientific" age of 200 B. C.-are a hundred times less credulous, superstitious, and nearer to scientific truths in their belief, than a million of Christians, equally chosen at random and instructed in this "scientific" age? We would advise any person, before he undertales to contraclict what we say, to first get Colonel Olcott's "Buldhist Cutechism"-intended for the poor, ignorant children of as ignorant and unscientific Sinhatese parents, and placing along with it the Lioman Cutholie Catechism, or the highly elaborate Westminster Confession of Fiath, or yet the Church of England 89 articles-compare notes. Let him readand take these notes by the light of science and then tell us which--the Buddhist or Christian dogmas-are nearer to the teachings of Modern Science? And let us bear in mind in this comnection that Buddhism, as now taught, is identically the same as it was preached during the first centuries which followed Buddha's death, namely, from 550 to 100 A.1). in the " early and unscientific age and country" of carly Budthism, while the abovenamed expositions of the Christian faith-especially the two Protestant works,-are the elaborately revised and corrected editions, the joint prouluctions of the most leamed theologians and the greatest scholars of our "scientific " age. That they are, moreover, the expression and tho profession of a faith, deliberately accepted by the most cultured classes of Europe and America. Thus, while this kind of teaching remains in anthority for the bulk of Western population-both for the learnel as well as for the unlearned-we feel enitirely justified in saying, that our age is not only "unscientific" on the whole, but that the Western religious word is very littlo aliead, indeed, of the fetish-worshipping savago.

## TRANCE MEDIUMS AND"MISTORICAL" VISIONS.

sopile pierovsky as a " spirit."
The reliability of the identifications of returning spirits, may be inferred from this bit of fresh intelligence recently received through the Religio-Philosophical Journal, of July 23. A lady from Rochester, U. S.-- a Mrs. Cornelia Gardner-writes to narrate a personal experience of her own clairvoyant powers. 'Ireating of the" identity of' spirits, and their messages," she says:-"I usually take them for what they are worth, and if I get evidence of truth, I am more than glad; if not, I put it into the scales with much else that comes, and wait for evidenco before deciding, for I believe the spirits need trying as well as their merliums."

Precisely ; and a great pity it is, that the writer should have departed, in the present instance, from her wise policy. Having neglected to "wait for evidence," slee now throws a considerable donbt upon the relialility and lucidity of her clairvoyance. This is the sulustance of what she tells us: Mrulame (?) Pierovsky-the Nihilist exechted for the foul murder of the Czar Alexander II--hastened, as it seems, on the Saturday afternoon following the execution of the five Nihilists at St. Petersburg, to put in an etherial appearance, at Rochester, before Mrs, Gardner who heard her excluim; "I am glad I did it! It was the cuuse of freedom and of my countrymen. I hul suffered with oihers of my family from the pover of tyranyy, "cond I felt a pouer impelling me onvered that I could not resist. Now I know what that unsern influence was, and why I conld not resist it. I acted in concert with the invisille forces of higher intelligences, who are bringing alout the great chantes upon the earth that will prove that the people's hour has come."

To the clairvoyant's question "who are you ?" the voice replied: "I an Madame Soplie Pieronsliy. I zoas eiccouted in st. I'eterslury with the Nihilists for the assassination of the Czar."

The upper features of a face becoming visible, they showed " a clear cut, broad, high forehead," which forc-
head helped the clairveyant to identify the face as that of Sophie Pierovsky. On the following day, she found in a newspaper the account of the execution. "The most noticeable object," she writes, " in the conveyance that carried the prisoners to the scaffold, was the 'broad high forehead' of Madame Pierovsky, whu rode to her execution bareheaded. This answered to the head I had seen clairvoyantly."

Very well. And now we will analyze this remarkable vision. To begin then. In hardly a dozen of lines said to have been pronounced by the "spirit," we find about half a dozen of postlumous fibs. Sophie Pierovsky, who, by the way, never had " a broad, high forehead," but a very narrow and high forehcal-we have her photograph-a brow enhancing but little her natural beauty-could not have - " rode to her execution, bareheaded." Besides the regulations demanding that all the prisoners should have their black caps on, her hands were tied. And, with that cap she appears, at least in the photographed illustration of the ghastly procession and the official reports of the execution, where, poetical fancy finding no room, the caps are mentioned. Nor would Sophie Pierovsky have introduced herself after death as "Madame," no more than she would have done so during life, since she was anmarried and was always called "Mile." Pierovsky in the Russian, as in all the European papers. Again-all "others of my (her) family" suffered but through the eternal disgrace brought by that wretched, heartless creature upon her family. That family, established for years in Crimea, is known to all the Odessa society, and to the writer personally as well; and we say, with little fear of being contradicted, that no Russian was ever more loyal or more levoted to the late Emperor than the unfortunate father of Sophic Pierovsky-the father who, unable to survive the dishonour, has since died of a broken heart, or, as many suspect-a suicide. The "cause of freedom" and of her countrymen! By the insane act of the regicides, unfortunato Russia was thrown forty years back, her political fetters being now made heavier and stronger than ever. But the most damaging part (damaging to the "angels") in the Pierovsky-Spook's tirade is the concluding sentence of her short communication. If that cold-blooded murderess acted "in concert with the invisible forces of higher intelligences," and those " higher intelligences" influenced her to perpetrate the most foul of crimes-that of killing an old man (the fact of his being the Emperor adding nothing to our indignation)-and the kindest, most patriotic, as the best-disposed man and ruler towards his people that Russia ever had, and who, if left alone instead of being daily threatened, and given time, would have broughtabout to a certainty every needed reform and so added to the great reforms already accomplishedthen of what character, may we ask, must be the " lower" intelligences ? And to think that such a " spiritual communication" was published just at the time when the U.S. President, General Garfield, was himself dying from the band of a vile assassin and has actually died since...Is it also the "higher intelligences" that prompted Guiteau's hand? If so, the sooner we mortals shat our doors against the intrusion of such dangerous visitors, the better it will be for the world's morality.

This remarkable letter is wound up by another information of no less damaging a character. "Once since," writes Mrs. Gardner, "at the house of a friend, she (Pierovsky) came again, and with her the woman whom Russian justice took from childbed and cruelly torturel to death."
How very remarkable! Now, had the clairvoyant but waited " for evidence," she might have learned from the August papers, the official news that the "woman whom Russian justice...had cruelly tortured to death" (an ignoble invention of the Russian Nihilists at Paris,) namely, the Jewess Jessie Gelffman-has just been pardoned by the Emperor, and her death-sentence commuted into transportation for life. It is in consequence of a petition sent by her to the Empress, begging for mercy in the
name of the Imperial children and her own-the regicide's -innocent babe, that her worthless life was spared. Would Mrs. Gardner expect the murderess made, in addition to the pardon, " lady in waiting" upon the Russian Empress ?-We would advise her, in such a case, to use her paychological powers to move the U. S. Republicaus to vote for the murderer (fuiteau's nomination as State-Secretary, if not the President of the U.S. in lieu of his victim.

These two little psychological blunders remind us of another blunder of the same kind, which foumd room likewise in the Religio-Philosoplicel Journal, a few years back. In a series of letters, the reminiscences of a stay at St. Petersburg, a Mr. Jesse Sheppard - a really genuine, though rather erratic, medium, "trance pianist" and singer of America, through whose marvellous wind-pipe, the late Mesdames Catalani, Malibran, (Grisi and the Signori Lablache, Ronconi and Co., with a host of other deceased operatic celebrities, give daily their posthumons performances-marrates some remarkable "visions" of his. These visions which we may term historical-were obtained by him in a state of clairvoyant trance, in Russia. The thrilling subject of ons of them is the assassination of the Emperor Paul I. Mr. Jesse Sheppard was at that time visiting the palace in which the awful regicite had been perpetrated, and the trance and subsequent vision were induced, as he tells us, by the gloomy associations hanging like an invisible shroud over the palace. How, in the workd, that remarkable medium could have ever got into a palace which was rased to the ground more than eighty years back-in fact almost as soon as the crime had been committed a militaty school now being erected on its emplacement-is something that has allways puzzled us to explain. However, and nevertheless, Mr. J. Sheppard was there-since he himself so tells us-and there it was that he beheld, in an apocalyptic and well retrospective vision, the scene of the ghastly murder, with all its sickening yet historical details. He saw the Emperor Paul having his throat out by two serts rejoicing in Russo-Yankee names, the favourites of Catherine II,the "wife of $P^{\prime}$ coul" -whom the medium saw quietly waiting for the fuale of this little conjugal drama in her own chamber \&c. \&c.......Now, taking into consideration the trifling and mudeniably historical fact, which informs us that Catherine the Great was Paulis mother, and had died before Patl ecer ascended the throne of Mussia, and that, as a logical delluction, she could not be at the same time his wife ergo had nothing to to with his unpleasant death ; and thirdly,-that the Emperor Paul having leen strengled with his own regimental sash, to cut, therefore, his throd in adtlition to that, would be ouly most rashly alding insult to injury-for the life of us we could never, since we read and pondered over this remarkable vision, make out the rationte of such a "phenomenon!" Nor can we make head nor tail of most of the modern mediumistic visions. Can any one else?
As a matter of course, these remarks will bring upon our heal a new tomado of abuse, which, cluring its whirling and progressive motion, will develop at each motation a fresh columin of most wonderful and unexpected vilification and abusc. So, we expect to be called amin an "impostor ; " a subsidized agent of living Jesuits, hired to ruin Spiritualism; an! the "mediun" of deal Jesuits, namely, "Jesuit Spirits" who use us with that object. We will be accused of bigamy, trigany and polygamy ; of having robbed the Bank of England and, parhaps, killed with our "psychological powers in combination with jugglery" a Pope and several British Promiers; of being one of the heroines of Emile Zola, and of speaking French (urgot (slang) like one of Eugene Sue's pickpockets in the Mysteres de Paris; (rather a compliment to our linguistic capabilities, than otherwise, the more so as most of our detractors can harily speak even their own languare gramm ticully). T. wind up the list of our ghastly iniquities, we will be placed under the direct accusation of pipe and "cigrarsmoking" (!), " violent profimity " (!!), and-" habitial

Intemperance" (!!!) All that, because we question the veracity of "Spirits" who neglect to study listory, and refuse to recogrize the "ghosts" of persons, whom we know to be alive. Furor arma ministrat......Indeed, truth aloae, and very unwelcome truth it must be,--is capable of throwing people into such fits of ahsuml fury:

Editor's Note.-. In relation to the above we regret to find a hither to respectable and "philosophical" paper dereending to tho level of the most scurritons litte journal-at certain emay spiritual Weekly of Philadelphia. It is grievous that the conductors of a jourma claming to be devoted to religion and philosophy should permit unsernpulous correspontents to convert their columns into a vehicle for tho dissemimation of most ignoble slanders concocted together for the gratification of pivate malice. A disgmeefal leter (dispraceful for the journal that printed it) for the appeamee of which, we hope that Colonel Bandy, the Editor of tho ReligioPhilosophical Journal, then absent from the cotintry, was not immediately responsible, directs a flood of fut calnmay ngainst the elitory of the Theosopmist. This tiralewhich no gentleman, not even one with the weak instinets of agenteman, couh have ever written-is bearath wotice as regards the details, is it is calumated to provoke, in a few, a sickening fueling of contempt for the writer and in all the rest-a homerio lagh. As it shats, howerer, it appcars to bo due to the revengeful hostility of a hatfwitted French womat, from the "Fill Weat," a would-be medium for "spirit photographs," who will never forgive the 'Theosophists for denying her the homour of being contantly surrounded by the late illuatrious Bonaparto family in astral shape. The "facts in my pissession" of which the writer so mavely boats, are mostly due to the second-hand iuformation derived by him from that pror, deluded creature. The fact that he accuies us of intemperance and connivance with Jesutits will be enough in iself, in the cyes of every one who bnows u; to detemine the eharater of an athack eoucerning which wo need say no more.

## (Concluded from the last Number)

## TILE WAR IN ILEAVENT.

## 3Y MrRZA MOORAD ALEE BEG, F.T.S.

I know very well that this characteristic difference has been accounted for on other and, so to speak, on more material* grounds. It has been alleged in short that Northern Nations adopted a cold Hell, because cold was the greatest pain they knew of practically, and the Southern Nations vice versad. But independently of the consideration that some of the Aryans, whose opinions have been cited, certainly lived far enough Sonth to muderstand the torment of summer sum-heat (the Greeks and the Indians-the sunshine, too, is said to be often most unpleasantly potent in Persia, Kabul, Tartary, and even parts of Russia) and that undoubtedly no one who was possessed of a " Fire-drill" could long remain ignorant of its painfully burning and disintegrating properties $\dagger$, which are, I believe, more evidently capable of inflicting great torture than snow or ice, yet even allowing this to have colored the Anthropomorphic and Exoteric form of the legencls, a little further prosecution of our inquiry will, I hope, convince the reader that such a fact (if fact it be) can only serve to still further increase our admiation for the intellectual grasp of the great pre-historic Sages, who could lay so skilfully under contribution conspicuous natural facts in order to convey, with the limited vocabulary and means we lnow they enjoyed at the period to which Antiquarian resource has as yet reached, and to the narrow comprebonsions of the rude hunters and shepherds who surrounded them, (in ways suited to their understanding and

[^5]ideas,) as much as was then practicable of the great Esoteric truths which their study of the Kosmic problens had discovered.

Notwithstanding all the above, however, there is one trace, and one only, of similarity in the Punishments of Satan and Prometheus. Both are to be afflicted with the agony of undestr. The vulture is to tear the entrails of the Titan. The Elohite is to be tossed upou the never-ceasing waves and whirl-pools of a raging sea of fire. And, remembering the identity of the two, there is a striking "finess" in both sentences. It is, so to speak, a legitimate conclusion that the "Fire-Producer" should burn in the conflagration of which himself was the origin, and that the conferrer of "thought"-of the "Knowledge of Good and Evil"-should feel the vulture of his ou'n consciousness gnawing at his vitals.

But if such was the sentence of "Satan" that pronomed on his Adamite accomplices by Jahveh was "Death." In order to comprehend the Esoteric Verities locked up and allegorized, and nearly defaced by the time-honored but wholly erroneous interpretation of this portion of the anthromorphised Eden-Legend so long put forth as the "Truth", we shall first have to take a Hying glance at the signification of the word "Death" itself, and then consider its relations to the story of the "Thought" or " Fire"-spark.

Without going too far into a subject which is of itself one on which volumes might be indited, on which I have already touched in "No more Death," and to which I trust to return again, I think no one can deny that the word " Death" may be susceptible of two interpretations. It may be either taken as signifying what I personally understand by it---that is to say, an absohte amnihilation, moral, physieal, material and spiritual," of conseions existence and its elements alike-a Resolution into Nergationor it may be hed to be that process which wo see daily taking place before our eyes,-which I prefer to call "Dissolution" or "Disintegration", but which in the ordinary Christian view is accepted for the thing meant by "Death."

We must call Science to the help of Mythology, and Tralition, and Allegory before we can get any further in our speculation. Take a Hint and steel, and strike them smartly together. Ont springs a shower of sparks. Fire! Fire! You yourself are a Prometheus-a-excuse my indecorum-a Satan! Why? Because you acted the part of the "Adversary"-you "opposed" something Active to something Passive - the Energy of your individual Will to the Immovable "I Am" of the Stonc. This is no joke-no quibble. Science says explicitly that the source of the sparks was the heat "developed by the impact of the steel agimat the stone." Motion arrestel by Rasistance is resolved into Heat, and lleat is a corollary of ligirt. And the miscrocopical pieces knocked off by the Impact of Hint and stecl went burning away until they were consumed in their own heut. You hat "created" so many miniature Mells in which "Satan" (of course, the steel in your hand was the true allegorical Satan-the immediute Assailant of Limmobility) was burning in the Fire produced by himself. But yon will say there was no Original Energy-no Iniliative, in the steel which collided with the flint-that the inomentum or Energy which produced the Heat and Light generating impact was derived from you. Yes! Anil what was your Energy derived from? Without going into scientifie details which would be out of place licre, it is sufficient to appeal to the well-establishol fact that all known forms of Life, Light and Motion, - in other words all known Energy-on not only this earth but also every planet of the Solar system-are directly or indirectly produced by the action of the Sun. And what is the Stum himself? A great Sca of Fire-

[^6]an immense Hell-differing only in dimensions from the spark. * And whence came His Energy? From the contraction of the Gascous Nebulae which once filled our Universe, say the scientists. And what cansed the intense Heat which had so dispersed the now congregated atoms? We cannot-we may not-say. But so much can be legitimately inferred by any intelligent student who reads the ordinary Science Manuals, that it must have still been some yet more remote and proportionately powerful form of Energy, of Momentum, of Struggle-still Friction producing the Fire-Spark-still the limpact of the Insurgent Satan striking out Light and Life by the fury of his collision with the loyal, painless, happy, but unconscious Inmobility of the Jehovah.

Thus Lifo and Light are identicel. And what a redtiance is thus shed over hitherto inexplicable parts of the Bible, of the Vels, of the Avesta, of the Eddas, at all of which Sceptics and Atheists have been accustomed to rail as paradoxes or forgeries. It was the perverted and narrow interpretations, and not the Books themselves, which were in fault. We wanted to bind down the metaphorical exuberance of the old Eastern sages to our own rigid, hair-splitting scientific nomenclature. Cannot the reader now see the true meaning of those curious passages in which Jehovah and the Serpent (personifying the Adversary) flatly contradict each other-the former saying to Adam $\dagger$ - "In the day thou eatest thereof (the 'Tree of Knowledge) thou shalt surely dic"-and the latter telling Eve that the Elohim have deceived her and her husband"Ye shall not surely die !" ${ }_{\text {. }}$

Neither lied, for each referred to tho kind of Death most repugnant to Him. The Representative of Static Inertia and Authority informed his creatures that the moment they, by the acquisition, of "knowledge," should pass from his realm to that of his Adversary, they would become subject to the ceaseless series of dissolutions and re-constructions which were the very conditions of the kinglom of the " Adversary" par excellence. And, on the other hand, his Opponent to whom Death meant the ammihilation of Individual Effort and the cessation of Motion and Variety, confident that in his own universe of change and struggle there was no such thing, assured the woman with equal good faith that " Death" certainly could not be entailed by the acquisition of Science. And we see also the Esoteric wisdom of the Christian Dogma that he who vould have Life should lose it and vice verbat.

But in the Jehovite sense the "Curse of Adam" was undoubtedly, " Death"-i. e.-the cessation of a continuous, passive, painless, but mnconscious existence with Jehovah and the acquisition of a Consciousness which necessarily involved the sensitive unhappiness of a series of "dissolutions" and renewals. So also, in the historic phase of this great Truth, "Man" by the act of discovering fire, lost the state of happy but ignorant existence which he enjoyed along with the "Animals", while in harmony with, and submissive to, the Necessities of the Kosmic Life-and initiated bimself with the first spark into the "Knowledge", but at the same time into the struggles and permutations and miscry of that Great Strife against the Passive Universe which we call vaguely and often erroneously "Civilization" and "Progress." Verily the Bible is after all right, and the Tree of Knowledge was not the tree of Immortality, that is to say, of Continuous and Painless and Peaceful Existence.

Well says Jules Baissac and he is supported by the Arabian tradition of Azazael, that "Pride" was the " original sin" and that " saying, to equal God, $\$$ I AM, the individual entity and its affirmation of existence, a work of Satan, is a crime for which death is a debt and the sole expiation" and "Sin, it is the Life of this World." "I

[^7]This then is the Mystery of the " War in Heaven"-of Satan against Yava; of Hormuzd and Ahriman; of Surya and Indra and Agni against the Clouds and the Darkness; of the Asa against the Pheim-Thursar and Loki; of the wars of the Gods and the Titans. Yet these are not only "Sun-Myths" as Max Miiller and his followers would have us believe. They contain veally portions of Pre-Historic History, but their incidents are the vehicle which the Initiated Wise Men of Old availed themselves of to convey the Scientific and Theosophic facts which they had "evolved" from their commune with "Nature" under circumstances which perhaps are no longer so favorable for us.

To sum up, Satan represents the Active, or as Baissac calls it, the "Centrifugal" Energy of the Universe-He is Fire, Light, Life,* Struggle, Effort, Thought, Consciousness, Progress, Civilization, Liberty, Independence. At the same time he is Pain, which is the Reaction of the Pleasure of Action and Death, $\dagger$ which is the Revolution of Life-Satan burning in his own Hell, produced by the fury of his own momentum,-the expangive disintegration of the Nebula which is to concentrate into New Worlds. And fitly is he again and again baffled by the Eternal Inertia of the l'assice Energy of the Kosmos-the Inexorable "I AM"-the Flint from which the sparks are beaten out. And fitly as regards our world are he and bis adherents, whether Elolite or Adamite, consigned to the "Sea of Fire"-because it is the Sun-the Fontof Life in our system, where they are purified (meaning thereby disintegrated) and churned up to re-arrange them for another life (the Resurrection) + -that Sun, which, as the Origin of the $\Lambda$ ctive Principle of our. Earth, is at once the Home and the Source of the Mundane Satan.

On the other band, Science informs us that Cold, Darkness, Quiescence, and an Alsence of Life (as we understand it) is the characteristic of Inertia. The clark Interstellar spaces of the Kosmos are known to be horribly cold Furthermore, as if to demonstrate the accuracy of Baissaic's general theory, cold is known to have a "Centripetal" effect. Under the influence of Cold, everything contracts. It is, without any joking, an illustration of the "centralising" tendency of "Authority." Under it Life hybernates. or dies out, TThought congeals, and Fire is extinguished. Satan is Immortal in his own Fire-Sea-it is only in the "Nif-Heim" of the "I An" that he cannot exist. But for all that, there is a kind of Immortal Existence in Nifl-Heim, and that Existence must be $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ainless and peaceful becanse it is Unconscious and Inactive. In the kingdom of JEHOVAII there is no Misery, no War, no Marrying and Giving in Marriage-No Cbange-No Individual consciousness. All is absorbed in the spirit of the Most Powerful. It is emphatically a Kingdom of 1'eace and Loyal Sulmission, as that of the " dich-Rebel", is one of War and Revolution§

We must now return to human History in order to consider the bearing of these Truths on the Theology and Ethics of our own and past ages. In the peculiar constitution of the Shemitic mind, the causes of which I cannot detail in this article though I hope to revert to then on another occasion, the general tendency of races of that stock was to an exaggerated Reverence for Authority, and an exaggerated admiration of Passivity. Hence their universal proneness to Monotheism and the uniformity with which in their traditions, the Great Kosmos-struggle was made to result in the victory of the Elohite represented by our Jehoval. In the course of historical "Selection" his allies were degraded to "Angels" and his Opponent and his friends to "The Devil" and " Imps." Furthermore, the same process of "Selection"

[^8]weeded out the other "Elohim" who in other Shemite mythologies occupied the place of "Yava" in that which has come down to us, and sent them to swell the army of the "Adversary" as subordinate Demons. In the meantime, the " centralising" tendency of the Shemites had caused them to forget that both "Yava" and "Satan" were "Elohites," both alike the progeny of the Great "El"the Father of the Gods, *-and, in fact, to confuse "Jehovah" up with and allow him to supersede the latter-with all of whose primitive attributes they decked him. And the "Christians," fur less clear-sighted than the Great Mystic and Liberator whose nome they have assumed, whose doctrines they have misunderstood and traverstierl, and whose memory they have blackened by their deeds-took over the Jewish Jehovah as he was, and, of course, strove vainly to reconcile the "Gospel of Light and Liberty" with the Deity of Darkness and Sulmission.

But the followers of the defeated "Elohim," first massacred by the victorions Jews and then persecuted by the victorious Christians and Muhhumudans, contimued in scattered, broken-up, and degraded sects-some of which have lost even the tradition of the true rationale of their belief-to worslip in secresy and mystery the Principle of Fire, Light, and Liberty. Why do the Sabean Bedouins (avowedly Monotheists when dwelling in the Muhhumudan cities) in the solitude of the desert night yet invoke the stary "Host of Heaven"? Why do the Yezidis, the "Devil Worshippers," worship the "Muluk $\dagger$-Taoos"--"The Lord Peacock"- the emblem of Pride and of Hundred-eyed Intelligence which was expelled from Heaven with Satan according to an old Oriental tradition? Why do the Gholaites and their kindred Mesopotamo-Inanian Muhumudan Sects believe in the "Noor Illahee"--" the Light of the Elohim"-transmitted in anastasis through a hundred Prophet-Lcaders? ${ }_{+}^{+}$It is because they have continned, in ignorant superstition, the traditional religion of the "Light-1)eities" whom Jih-veh overthrew :

And it was from these faint scintillations of the Past that the "Wizards"-the Templars-the Rosicruciansthe Free-Masons-the Illuminctio of Medieval and Modern Europe oltained their mystic knowledge. "Ex Oriente, LUX." Those that had the Will--the followers of the Active Energy-could alone strike out sparks from the dark solidity of the I AM. What was the Rosy Cross but a policy-Christianized symbol of the Red Rosy beams of the Central Luminary smiting North, South, East and West the Darkness of the Universe? From whom did the traditional foumders of Masomy get their scerets but from Hiram, the Phonikian Batal Worshipjer, who was obliged to practise with his forrign artisans, his "Light" worship in secret, while iu the kingdom of the Jehovist Israelites? And to what Grind Central Pinciple is it that our "Scientists" are groping back ?
It was not for nothing that the Catholic and Protestant slergy burnt the "Wizards" and "Adepts" of the Midhle Ages-their instinct told them truly that these were enemies of the God of Darkness and Authority, and Inertia they themselves served-worshippers of "Satan" to slay whom was duing a service to the Jewish Jehovah of a corrupted Pseudo-Christianity. §

And thus it is that owing to the primitive Shemite divorce of "God" from" "Nature", by the supersession of "Ba-al" by "Jehovah" and the antagonism of the latter to "Satan," and by the Christian adoption of the Jewish Deity as that of "Orthodoxy"; the modern wortd has been overspread by a net-work of theological and ethical

[^9]ideas, which influcnces con those who profess themselves Meists and Atheists-the tendency of which is to the utter proscription of all forms of Individuality-which has reduced Art to a decorous non-entity and made Liberty a crime-which has pronounced Mirth "frivolous" and Pleasure "sinful"-which has transformed the most simple-natural impulses into "damnable" transeressions aud hard-hearted severity into "proper discipline"-which has anathematised the "Life" of this world and made purposeless * asceticism the highest good, notil the earth is taking the dead level of a desert-prison $\dagger$-which has denomnced Truth as "indecent" and made systematised, Hypocrisy a praise-worthy object of endeavor-of which in short, the latest barbarity is the judicial murder of men whose only crime is that of resisting an invader and whose latest and crowning absurdity was the Pharisiacal raid at Umballa by the Indian (iovernment on a lottery in which, no doubt, many of the Officials composing that Government, hat, themselves, taken tickets !!!!

There could be no more fitting deeds to crown the edifice. Well was it said by the Revolutionists of 1701 that "wherever' there is a priast he will always be found in league with a tyrant -" at least it always will be so as long as they are priests of JEllOVAII, - of the Principle to whom Sclf-Assertion is a crime, and Thought and Light odious-whose raison d'ctre is to control (and by consequence medlle in) every thing " for the good" of every thing-in short, to reduce the Universe to a Dark, P'uinless and Uniform, but Unconscious and Motionless Mass.

Yes: There las been the Mistake of Centuries-there is the Mistake of this Day. We have forgotten that Jchovah and Satan are both Elohites-botla "Sons" or Emanations of the Primeval "El,"- the "Brahm" of the Hindoos,-from whom in the Alysses of the Zurooma Akarana-the "Boundless T'ime and Space" of thel'arsees,-proceeded both Hoormuzd and Dhriman. In the Universe buth principles are necessary-both useful-neither can be called "Evil" in the vitiated Christian sense-each is the necessary complement of Visible Kosmic Existence, but of the two, no cloubt, that of Fire and Light has, for obvions reasons, more pleasant and, so to spak,-good-relations with the ordinary every-day "Life of Mundane Beings." Satan is the "God of this world !"

And yet this is the Principle we have been denouncing as "bad" and "devilish" so many thousand years. No wonder that we have made ourselves, and the Earth too, base, wretched and miserable-no wonder Mirth dies, Truth vanishes, and Tyramuy reigns supreme. We shall never be more happy till we acknowledge the necessity of both the Static Inertia and the Active Energy-that Jebovah and Satan are only brothers, struggling in a pleasant love-wrestle of exercise, the outcone of which is the Visible Universe-not mortal enemies striving to destroy each other-till we have once more acknowledged the identity of "God" with " Nature" which originally existed, and almitted that, on earth, Struggle and Individualism are at least as Praiseworthy as Passivity and UnityLiffort and Resistance as little abhorrent-as little "bad" -as Quiescence and Submission.
And here I must end my aticle. There are many other branches of the subject into which I was repeatedly in danger of digressing. Some of these, if the foregoing pages prove interesting to the Reader, I lope to go into at some subsequent periorl. Such are-Why Prometheus, instead of being punished by fire, like Satan, was punished by cold? Why the Shemites had a leaning to Monotheism, and why in developing it, they selected the Dark or Passive Energy as the Victor-God? These and other questions I inteud to treat of in au article on "The Difference of the Root-Ideas

[^10]of the Aryan and Shemite Religions．＂Then there is the interesting inquiry into the comnexion of Satan or Pro－ metheus with the＂Old Dragon＂or＂Serpent，＂and of the Moming and the Evening Star with Ishtar，the Moon and Venus，Queen of Love．

In conclusion，I must admit my obligations to two writers who have already gone over much of the ground covered in this article and from whom I have received material assistance．I allude to Lord Byron＇s＂Cain＂and Jules Baissac＇s＂Devil．＂The former，considering the materials which were available when it was written to clucidate the question，is a superb rendering of much here advanced， and no one who reads it after perusing this article，can， I think，fail to render homage to rendrous poetic intuition under difficulties，but as might be expected from the want of data to decide on，and from the bent of the author＇s miud，he brings the questions raisel to no clear logical issue and leaves the reader，as he himself was－－－＂Sceptical＂－ neither Christian nor Rationalist．Jules Baissac，aided by our modern discoveries and initiation into Eastern Lore， goes further towards the solution of the Problem，but his conclusion is the utterance of a Half－Truth．With his syesight still dimmed by Christian prejudice，he does not recognize the Distorical Irensposition of Evil and Good and onds in a glorification of＂Centripetal＂Unity and the Philosophy of Sacrifice．I feel bold enongh to think the umprejudiced reader－Christions are hopeless cases－will allow I have supplemented and completed the one－sidect－ ness of his theory－I try to demonstrate a Plilosophy of Sacrifice too－but also one of Struggle as a corollary of sacrifice．

## ‘WE WULL NOT QUARREL BUT SIMILY ARGUE．＂

Our much respected contemporary Light has taken us quite umexpectedly to task．Turning a sentence of ours－ the one which heads the present protest－into a weapon， it gives us a friendly rap of warning with it on the head， admonishing us in the following wise ：－
＂We whll not Quarrel－－but simplit Apgup，＂vays Madame Blavatsky in the September number of the Theosophist．Yet on another page of the same number we find the following strange amouncement：－－＇The proprietors of the Theosophist are preparing to publish a large work，unique in its kind，save perhaps Wagner＇s ＇Dictionary of faulty arguments and ahose，by his musical critics．＇ They have been collecting for over six years materials for the pub－ lication of a Synopsis，arranged alphabetically，and which will eontain all the rude and abuaive expressions，all the slaterous and even libellons sentences，Billingsgate phraseology，pious fibs，malicious iusiunations，and glaning untruths coupled with the term＇Theosophy＇ in general，and directed against the two Founders of the Society especially，as fombl printed in missionary and other Christian organs， since January 1，1876，till January， 1882 ．In each deprecatory sentence the name of the paper and the date will he scrupulonsly and correctly stated．＇With all due deference to the proprietors of the Theosophist，we venture the suggestion that they are making a sad mistake－that the course they threaten to adopt is very much like ＂quarrelling＂and very little like＂simply arguing．＂It is，more－ over，a great waste of energy which might be directed to a better purpose．And it is volgar！In the pursuit of truth it is conscious rectitude，self－possession and diguity，that command attention aud respect

In our turn＂with all due deference and sincere esteem＂ for the opinions of the able conductors of＂Light，＂while admitting the justice of a portion of the remarks above quoted，we most emphatically protest against some of the remainder．It would perhaps，be＂a sad mistake＂to carry out the publication of the＂Synopsis＂as proposed， le jeu ne valant pas la chandelle，so far as time and energy are concemed，and which，indeed，might be applied to better purpose．But we most strongly object to the course，we proposed，being called＂vulgar，＂or，if we did carry it out－－that it would be＂very much like quarrel－ ling＂and very little like＂simply arguing．＂It would be neither，as it takes two to quarrel．The publication of a Symopsis containing the abusive tems and slanderous statements that have been used about the Theosophists without any comment from them，would be no more
＂like quarelling＂than the compilation of a dietionary or glossary．Nor ean the simple act of publishing an historic record of the opinions that have been circulated against us， in any sense be regarded as＂vulgar；＂howsuever＂vulgar＂ may be found the coutents of the recorl itself－－＂the rude and abusive expressions，＂the＂slanderous and libellous sentences，＂the＂malicious insinuations，pious fibs＂\＆e．，de． It miglit be characterized as＂wieked，＂＂uncharitable，＂ ＂revengeful＂－and we would have accepted any of those terms without protest－bit as well miglit the publication of tho Books of the Prophets－Ilosea especially－or the reverend Revisers of the Holy Bible be termed＂vulgar＂ for publishing textually the old Pentateuch full，as it is，of sentences conched in the most indecent language．It is surprising that such an able and well－conducted paper as ＂Lighe＂should be found tripping in its logic，even through its exaggerated ideas of charity and forgivencess．

## WHAT IS A＂FACT？＂

Once failly started on a friendly discussion－not＂quar－ relling，＂we hope－with Light，we may just as woll set matters right regarling another topic，about which，it semes to us to use a rather faulty argument．Remark－ ing in another paragraph that it desires to treat its ＂fiicods，the Theosophists perfectly failly，and to give them the fullest credit for honesty and sincerity of pur－ pose＂it adds－－＂Spiritualism，we say，is a fact．Theosopliy， we also say．mory be a fact for aught we know，but at pre－ sent we are withont sufficient proof．＂

Now to this we must take exception．Wo find our－ selves forced to reply as follows ：－－Fither both Spiritualism and＇Theosophy are＂facts＂or－meither．lior how is either of them＂a fact＂except through its respective votaries？As an existing and，wo may say，an effective organization，a society，－Theosophy is as much of a＂fact＂ as Spiritualism is，and cortainly no less so than any of the established recognized bodies，and sects，whether they be in the domatn of philosophy or religion．As regards phenoment produced－limited to a very small fraction of our Suciety－the manifestations stand，ar fall along with those of the Spiritualists．We may suppose then，that， when asserting Spuitualism to be a＂fact，＂the writer had in his mind the＂Spiritual＂manifestations or rather the agency，the disenbodied intelligences claimed to be at work in their production？If so，then once agrain hight used an ineorrect，or wo should say，an incomplete expression． For，if the theory of communcating＂spirits＂is an unde－ niable axion for Spiritualists，it is yet an open question－ or oftener－positive delusion in the eyes of the majority of non－Spiritualists，and scentics．Morcover；the manifesta－ tions which even to the Theosophists are a truth，are considered illusory and impossible to a much more larger portion of the people in the world．Again wo，the theoso－ phists，though accepting the phenomena as a fact，refuse to accept it as a＂fact＂that such manifestations are produced only by the spirits of persons deccased．As with Spritualism，so with the Occultism of Theosophists ； to some persons it is a fact，and to others it is not．Spiri－ tualism and Theosophy are both forms of belief，and nothing more：inasmuch as there are persons who believe in them，they are buth facts．In the same way Christians， Brahmans，and Mahomedans are an existing fact，while neither Christianity，nor Brahmanism，nor Mahomedanism are＂facts＂por se，or for those who are opposed to these creeds．The divine inspiration of Mahomed and his direct communion with Allah is an undeniable＂fact＂for abont 300 millions of the fullowers of the Prophet，but is rejected as the grossest error and imposture by as many Christians． The phenomena of the spiritualists being a genuine proven，incontrovertible reality－whether many or few believe in it－so far the＂facts＂of Spiritualism have a far better claim to acceptance than those of dogmatic Christia－ nity or of any other creed，based exclusively on blind faitl． Their personal views，however，the orthodox theories
regarding "spirits" being not a matter of fact lut of opinion and simply a belief, they can no more claim to be regarded as a "fact" than any other emotional belicf. If the I ${ }^{\text {ry }}$ ysical senses, intellect and reason of the Spiritualists testify to them that "SI inits" are at work in their pliencmena, the physical senses, intellect and reason of the Occultists testify to them, in their tum, that the subjective world cutside and around us containing a great varicty of mon-han. nan $^{2}$ intelligences, and beings, more associatch with humanity than Materialism, Positivism and even Spiritualism, will ever consent to admit-most of these manifcstaticus are produced by Forces and Powers quite outside an:d bejoud the calculations of the orthodox Spiritualist. In so far as the existence of higher, pure Spirits cutside of cur sphere of physical senses is concenned, the Thicosorhists and Spiritualists agree. But they cintirely disagree in their respective theories regarling the nature and cause of the so-called "cemmunicating intelligences." Our fricides, the Spiritualists, who are visited by them, ane pleascd to call the latter the spirits of deceascd persons; and, notwithstanding their contradictory statements, tlicy lelieve what these "spirits" tell then and regad it as a crelation and a "fact." Our mysties are visited ly wlat every one of them knows to be living men of flcs and blood, whose wisdom can scarcely be duicd (cven by those who disbelieve in their fowems), and who tell us quite a different tale of the weird visitors of the Spiritualists frem that given by the "spirits" thanelves at their, séances. The assertions of the "spirits" and" "Brotheess," however, are, and can be acceptet as "facts" by only their respective belicyers. No one would ever think of oftering these assentions to the world as scmething matho matically demonstrated. Spiritnalists and Theosophists may dispute interminably without convincing each other, and the facts of one will probably for cyer continue a delusicn in the eyes of the other. Alleged gods-Avatas and In-carnations-have descended from time to time cn caitle, and erery word they uttered remained a fact and a gospel thuth for those who believed in them. Yet these dogmatic utterances have made their respoctive votarics neither hapluier, better, nor wiecr. Quite the centrany ; for they have often proved conducive of strife and misery, of fratricidal wars, and of inteminable crimes due to fanaticism and ligotiy. Men naturally disagree on most:ubjects, and we camot liope to force others to aceppes facts the things that appear so to us. Fut what we cin do is, to show more mutual tolerance aud abstain ficm docematism and ligotry as there is too much of it alreally cutside of our two unpopular and equally talcoed systems. One undeniable fact exists on earth; a sad, a tacitly and univerally recognized yet as universally ignored "Eact," namely-that man is man's worst enemy. Born helpless, jghorant, and doomed to a life-long struggle through that ignomance, surrounded by intellectual darkncss which no amount of scientific or spiritual rescarch can entinely disfel, instead of helping each other in that life-struggls, one half of humanity is ever striving to create obstacles, over which the other half may trip, stumble and even break its neck, if possible. Were we wise, instead of loasting of our partial knowledge, we ought to unite and act on the principle common to the Books of Wisdom of all nations; on the sublime ${ }^{1}$ recept taught by all eages; by Manu, Confucius and Buddhaalike, and finally copied into the Chinstian Guspels : "as ye would that men would do to you, do ye also to them." Time alone will show who of us is right, and who wrong, in the matter of Spiritualism; or, perchance, the great problem might be docmed for eyer to remain unsolved for the majority, while the minoity will go on explaining it, each according to its lights and monderstanding. Still, instead of abusing and cundeavouring to amilhilate each other, as Protestants and lieman Catholics do on accomit of their faiths, we ought to coulfine ourselves to a correct presentation of our facts and of the theories we found on them, allowing every cne to accent or reject what lee pleases and quarrel with no one on that account. This is the position, we, of the Thecerghi-
cal Society, composed of so many different creeds and belicfs, have always desired to take. In our turn-firmly convinced of "the honesty and sincerity of purpose" of the Spiritualists, if the Theosormst has occasionally derided some of their too tricky modiums, it lias ever, on the other hand, defonded thase it kinew to be genuine; and the jumal las nover insulted or taliceed their whole tody, as the Spiritualists lave cur Sociecty. Scme of our kest and most devoted menlers are Spiritualists, and very preminent chas, who have ever teen the best friends and supporters of the movement. This has not prevented the Lundon Syivitualist (sec every uechly number since the begimning of last July) frem denouncing, mocking, laughing and allowing its contributors to revile us individually and collectively. We need not mention the Americall so-called "Spiritual" organs in this comnection. They, with the single exception of the Bumer of Light, have been throwing every impermissible missile at us for the last seven years. From jts beginning the Theosomis', if it has not always advocated, has, at least, wamly defended, Spiritualism, as a careful perusal of its back numbers will show. It has defended it from the attacks of Science, of Journalism, and against the denumciations of private individuals, while the Spirituatist has never lost an opportunity of caricaturing us. With Spiritualists as a body, we have never quarrelled, nor do we ever mean to quarrel. Let our esteemed contemporary Light give eredit for so much at least to those who profess themselves the encmies but of Bigots, Hyrocrires and Phalisees.

## HAZY NOTIONS.

## Enter Ghoost.

Hamulef......" Ministers of grace, defend us !
Be thon a s spirit of health, or gollin dammid
Bring will thee airs from leavel or blists from lecel,
Theu conest in such a questionable slape
That I will speak to thee.....
The Eunday Mirror honours us with a direct notice. The Calcutta orgun of piety, generally so contemptuous and reserved, actually begins to show signs of interest for its hamble contemperary and-speaks to it. Our star is ovidently in its ascendency. Let not pride overwhelin our better feelings, but may our prayers reach Saraswati, the sweet goddess of wisdom, to inspire us in the auswers we shall have to give to our stern cross-cxamining critic.
" Our notions about the 'Theosqu|hists are so laizy thiat we feel is diffidence in pronouncing uron the nerits of the systen whicls they have coune to preach."
We read in the Mirror of November 20. To feel "a diffilence in pronomicing upon the merits" of a system, with notions about it confessedly " hazy," shows wistom, and betokens prulence. Nevertlieless, thic Aliror " notes" two facts about us. They believc-it says-(meaning the Theosophical culprits)
"They believe in the Hindu yoyte, and they proclaim themselves to be Duldhists. It is related thiat they gave themselves out als sinch before the Madras peeple who had mistaken them for Hindus."

Oh foolish Madrassees: However, the Theosophists, who do "believe " in Yoge " inust surely be tibiquitous." To give one's self out as one thing or the other, in a place where one has never been, is a feat of which even the Theosophists might well be proul. Let it be understood that when we say-" Theosophists" -we but answer the secret thought of the estimable Mirror painting itself under that generic name the two humble founders of the Society, but for reasons best innown to itself, avoiding to specify them by name. Well if so, neither Colunel (Ol cott nor Madane Blavatsky ever graced yet by their presence Madras, the former laving gone no further than limenevely, and the latter laving trod the shores of the Southern Coast for the last time sore twenty-three years kack. There miglit lave been in Madras bundreds of Theosughists fur all we know, who
"proclaimed" themselves-but what they were : naturalborn Buldhists from Ceylon or Burmali. So much the worse for Dravidean perspicacity if they were "mistaken for Hindus." We are inclined though to regard the accusation as a wicked slur upon the Madrassees' mental capacities, because, perhaps, of our Southern Brothers showing themselves rather slow in the appreciation of the New 1 ispensation missionarics.
However it may be, further on the S. M. is more explicit and even becomes authoritative.
"Now what we wish to know about them is this" it declares"What is the creed which they profess? Buldhism is accepted in various ways by scholars. Its morality is admired by many, while its directly godless character is commended by agnostics. We contcmplate the founder of Buddhism as the revealer of a particular idea to his countrymen, and in that way inclule him in the rolls of the world's great prophtets Now if the Theosophists are Buddhists in what sense are they such? They cannot be simpls contented with the morality of Sakya Muni, since the very same morality they have in the religion of their own countries.* Nor are they probably inclined to view him in the way the New Dispensation does ! $\dagger$ Are they then agnostics in an old Buddhistic dress ! + The 'Theological fosition of luddhism is not yet clearly ascertained. § Mr. Lhy's Davids assigns, we think, in oue of his latest works, a purely atheistic conception to the system. Do tho theosophists belong to that class of thinkers !"
A direct plainly-put question demands as direct and plain an answer. Unfortunately, with all our good-will and sincere desire to satisfy our esteemed contemporary's curiosity (and very laudable it is) we are placed in a very awkward position. It is that of an inhabitant of the carth who would find himself suldenly apostrophized by-say a citizen of the moon meteorically fallen from that hminary. "Oh, chikl of a strange planet," might say the latter to the former, "a learned astronomer from our satellite tells us that there are living animals on your carth, which notwithstanding their great yariety are all ealled men and who deny an atmosphere to our planct. Do the like of you belong to that class of beings?" What could man answer to such a question? There would be no more denying of his being it " living animal" called men, than there is of our being "Jheosophists;" while his ideas might be as diametrically opposed to those of his fellow-beings who deny an atmosphere to fair Luna, as the views and creed of some theosophists are opposed to the views and creels of other 'theosophists. The members of our Society may be reckoned by thousands and their respective religions, sects and various philusophies, by humlreds. When, therefore, any one desires to lean to what religion or systems belongs this or that one of our Brotherhoud, the least he could do would be tospecify that particular individual by his or her mame.
'Io afforl, however, some slight consulation to our Calentta contemporary we will take it into our confidence, and unboson ourself, of a great secret. Colonel Oleott is a thorough-groing, genuine Buddhist-though not of the "prayer-wheel turning," kind; while his humble corresponding Secretary, Madime Blavatsky is-what she is: her religious-or if the J/irror so prefers it-jrreligions vicws forming part of her private property, with which the public las not the slightest concern. As to the Society in general, or rather its members, they are bound to respect the religion of everybody; never to attack any system per se, hor yet any religionist who heops his faith sacredly locked up within his own heart, abstaining from waving it into the publie's face like a red rag before a bull, or flinging it into the teeth of all those he moets with; at the same time, it is our bounden duty and pleasure to oppose harsh-voiced bigotry, religious intolerance, sectarian prejudice and arrogance whenever, and in whatever religion we find it ; from the oldest "Dis-jensation"-downward.

[^11]
## (Continned from the last number.)

## ANTIQUITY OF TIIE VEDAS.

## JY KRISHNA SHASTRI GODBOLE.

## Mr. Bent'ey's Speculetions.

21. We shall now endeavour to find out the precise period of time when the months received their present names. As is well known, the names of the months are derived from the asterisms in which the Moon became full ; and we have to see at which time all the months had their full moons in the asterisms which give them their names. Mr. Bentley has partially considered this subject in his "Historical View of the Hindu Astronomy." At pages 6-8 he says thus:-
"It now remains to be explained the principle on which the months were formed and named, and the time to which they refer. I have already observed, that the Lunar Mansions were fabled by the Hindu poets to have been married to the Moon, and that the first offspring of that poetic mion were four of the planets (Mercury, from Rohinì called Rohineya ; Venus, from Maghî̀, called Maghâblûu ; Mars, from Áshâḍâa, called Áshâdlaîlbhava; and Jupiter, from Pûrva Phalgunî, called Pûrva Phalguní bhava).* In a like manner, the Hindu poets feign, that the twelve months sprang from the same union, each month deriving its name, in the form of a patronymic, from the Lunar Mansions in which the Moon was supposed to be full at the timc."
"Let us, therefore, in the case before us, apply this principle. At the above epoch 1181 B . C., the Sun and the Moon were in conjunction at the winter solstice; and as the months began when the Sun entered the signs, the first month, therefore, began at the winter solstice. Now to find the name of that month, the Moon would be full at about $14 \frac{3}{4}$ days after the winter solstice, and would then be in the oprosite part of the heavens to the Sun. The Sun would have advanced in $14 \frac{2}{4}$ days about $14^{\circ} \frac{2}{2}$, and, therefore, would have entered the second Lunar Asterism Śatabhishâ ; a line drawn from the point in which the Sum is thus sitnated, through the centre, would fall into the Lumar Asterism Maghâ, in which the Moon was full, on the opposite side ; and consequently, on the principle stated, the solar month was from thence called Mangha, in the form of a patronymic. At the next full, the Moon would be in Uttara Plalguni, and the solar month from thence called Phâlguna : and on this principle were all the months of the year named."
"On the principle above stated, though the Moon has been introllucel by way of explanation, it is not at all necessary. All that is requisite to be understood is, that a line drawn from some part of the Lumar Mansion, through the centre, must fall into some part of that month to which it gives name, otherwise it does not answer the condition requisite. Hence, it is very easy to demonstrate the utmost possible antipuity of the time, when the months were, or could be, so named: for there are certain limits beyond which the line cannot be drawn; and these are the termination of the Lumar Mansion and the commencement of the solar montl, which determine the time ; because it points out the commencement of the solar montl in respect of the fixed stars at the time. Thus, at the time of the above observations, the summer solstitial point was found in the middle of the Lunar Asterism Áleshâ, and the solar month Srâvana then began ; for, in the ancient astronomy of the Hindus that month always began at the summer solstice. Now the month Sitavala derives its name from the Lunar Asterism Śravana (the 27 th, commencing with Dhanishthat , then in the opposite part of the heavens. Let, therefore, a line be drawn from the solstitial point, or commencement of the month, cutting the centre, and it will fall into the very eud of the Lunar Asterism Sravaua

[^12]from which it derives its name sfîvana; which line is, therefore, at its utmost limit, as it cannot go farther without falling into a mansion of a very different name. This position of the line, therefore, proves that the months received their names at the time of the above observations, and not before. For, if we wish to make it more ancient, let the solstitial point be supposed more advanced in respect of the fixed stars, say one, two, or three degrees, then a line drawn from the solstitial point or commencement of the month Sraivana, camot fall into any part of the Lumar Asterism Sraviana, from which it derives its name, but into Sravishth1â (the 1st). Therefore, the name which it possesses, could never be given to it till the solstitial point and commencement of the month actually coineided with the middle of the Lanar Asterisin Asleshit (the 14th), being the same with the observation which refers us to the year 1181 B . C.; and this is the utmost antiquity of the formation and naming of the Hindu months, from which a very useful inference may be drawn, which is that no Hindu writer, or book that mentions the names of the Hindu months can possibly be older than this period, let its pretensions to antiquity be ever so great."

This extract has also been noticed by Professor Max Miiller in lis Preface to Rigveda-samhitâ, Vol. IV. (page XXXVI-XXXVII). Let us now examine it critically. Mr. Bentley along with others who have treated upon this subject, atmits that each month derived, or ought to have derived its name from the lunar mansions in which the moon was full at the time. On this principle about the period noticed by Mr. Bentley, not only the first two months, but also the third month, can be proved to have in their middle, or on the 15th or Pîruimâ, the Moon full in the asterisms Maghà, Uttara Plalgunî, and Chitrâ respuctively. But the weakness of his argument is shown by the fact that all the twelve months in order have not in their middle or on the lath, the moon full in the asterisms from which they get their mames, if the period be fixed so late as 1181 B. C. For, in the next two months (or the 4 th and 5 thl) the Moon was full not in the asterisms Visûklhâ and Jyeshṭhâ, but in Anurâdhà and Mûla, and hence these two montlis could not have been named at the time of the observation in $1181 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$. Again, when Mr. Bentley begins to apply this principle to the month of the summer solstice which then coincided with the middle of Asteshâ, he overlooks the position of the Moon altogether ; for, from verses 9 and 10 of the Sesha Jyotisha which contain the observation in question, we see that the day on which the summer solstice occurred was the 7th, and not the löth, of Stivana, when the Moon was in Chitrì and not in Sravana; and hence the month could not have then derived its name from the asterism. 'The Jyotisha to which Mr. Bentley had access, contains distinct verses which enable every one to find out the places of the Sun and the Moon on the 1st and 15 th, that is, at the commencement and inidlle of each lunar month in the cyele of five years. In a cycle which contains 62 lunar months, the Sun makes five revolutions through the 27 asterisms, and the Moon sixty-seven (vide pari 18) ; the Sun's motion in lalf a lunation is hence $\frac{27 \times 5}{124}=1 \frac{11}{184}$ asterism $=1$ ast. 11 lavas, supposing an asterism to contain 124 lavas; and the Moon's motion in half a lumation is $\frac{27 \times 67}{124}=14$ ast. 73 lavas. According to verse 6 of the Sesha Jyotisha (see para 9), the Sun and the Moon were together in the beginning of the asterism siravishţ̣̂̀ on the first of the bright half of Mâgha (January-February), and they were in consequence on the fifteenth of the same month in 11 lavas of Satablishâ and 73 lavas of Maghî̀ respectively, and on the first of Plâlguna, viz., ${ }^{5}$ lumar days after, they both were together in 22 lavas of Pûrva Blâdrapadâ. In this way the following table showing the positions of the Sun and the Moon in the beginning and in the middle of each lunation during a cycle of five years can be prepared.
N. B.-- When the asterism on the l5th or full-moon day is a patronymic of the month, it is then marked with $R$.


| Months, | $V$ Idvatsnia. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The stn in | The moon in |
| Magha |  |  |
|  | 86 U. Âshà- <br> Thâ. | 86 U. ÂshàThat, |
|  | 97 Shat. | 35 Âsleslat. |
| Phâlg. | 108 D hà nislth | $108 \mathrm{D}$ |
|  | 119 Śntablii- | 571 P. Phal- |
|  | ${ }^{\text {shat. }}$ | c U P ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Chaitra $\begin{array}{cc}1 \\ & 15\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { U. Bha- } \\ & \text { drapadà. } \end{aligned}$ | 6 U. Phâ drapadît. |
|  | 17 Revati. | 79 Hasta. |
| 1 | 28 Aśvin | 23 Aśvin |
| Jyeshthal 1 | 39 Bharani. | 01 Svâti. |
|  | 50 Krri | 50 Krittikâ |
|  | 61 Rolii | 12 |
| Asharlia 1 |  |  |
|  |  | $n-1$ |
| 15 | 83 Ârlnt. | , $\hat{\text { ar }}$ |
| Śravaṇa 1 |  |  |
|  |  | ya |
|  | 105 Pus | 43 Sr |
| Bhatra. | 116 Âsle | 116 Ás |
| 15 | 3 P . | 65 Satabli- |
| Ȧśrina |  |  |
|  | 14 U. Phalgin? | 14 U. Phalgins. |
|  | 25 Hast | 87 U. Phâ- |
|  |  | drap |
| 1 | 36 Chitı | 36 Chitra |
|  | 47 Srâti. | 1098 Aśvins |
| Mârga. 1 | 59 Višikhat. | 58 Visâkhâ |
|  | 69 Ann | 7 Rohiụt. |
|  | 80 Jye |  |
| $1 \begin{array}{rr}\text { Pausla } 1 \\ & 15\end{array}$ | than. |  |
|  | 91 Munla | 29 Ardras |
| 11 Paush 1 | 102 P . As | 102 |
|  | 113 U̇ 入̀ |  |
|  | 113 U. Ashâ | 51 Pushya |

It will be seen from the above table that in no year of the cycle was the Moon successively in those asterisms on the full-moon day, which gave the montlis their present names, and hence the months could not have received their present names at the time of the observation in 1181 B. C. The statement by Mr. Bentley with regard to the middle of $\hat{A}$ sleshit as the limiting position of the solstitial line, drawn through the centre and the commencement of the solar month Srivana, carries no weight whatever in support of his supposed date of the forming and naming of the months: for, even at present the Sun is generally In the middle of Ásleshâ in the month of Śrâvana as is well known to those who are conversant with the native calendar. It is only the adjustment of the solar-sidereal year with the lunar year by the introduction of about seven lunations in the course of 19 solar years, that has kept up the boundary lines of the solar months always within those of the fixed lunar months, and this agreement lias nothing to do with the particular observation of 1181 B.C., as has been supposed by Mr. Bentley. On the other hand, we are in possession of certain observations referring to the existing nomenclature of lunar months, the date of the oldest of which is 20,000 B.C. (vide para 14). It is therefore, clear that the maming of the Hindu months did not take place so recently as 1181 B . C. as assumed by Mr. Bentley and some of tho Oriental scholars, but that they were named at a time anterior to 20,000 B.C.

## A PIOUS "FIASCO."

We would ask our friends of the Light how they would characterise the action of the Tinnevelly Christians as herein described? Last year, as our readers will remember, a delegation of nine Theosophists, composed of Hindu, Parsce, English, American and Russian members, left Bombay for Ceylon to greet their Buddhist Brethren. How they were wolcomed and received in the fair Island, will henceforth remain a matter of its history. This year the Sinhalese Theosophists, concluding to return the visit to their Indian brothers, accepted an invitation made to our President by the Timevelly Branch Society. A delegation of Buddhist Theosophists, bearing a message of brotherly good-will to their Hindu friends who had just organized their local branch, accompanied Colonel H. S. Olcott from Colombo to Tinnevelly (Madras Presidency) where they arrived October 23. This district of Southern India is a perfect bee-hive of Christians-with their bishops, big churches, armies of clergy and catechists, presses spewing tracts by thousands, \&c. One should have thought that such a formidable force-having, moreover, as they boast, the one eternal truth and God himself in their cause-ought to have paid but little attention to a handful of Sinhalese "heathens" coming on a flying visit to a small number of Hindu" idolators," having none of them the slightest intention of interfering with, or having anything to say to the "regeneratel" ones, around them? Conversion to Christianity, however, does not seem to run along with, or promote, Christian virtues otherwise but theoretically. Our pious friends resorted to the most unworthy as the memest of stratagems. The coming of our President and the theosophical delegation was, for some mysterious reasons, dreaded by theni ; and so, as soon as it was positively known that he would arrive, the Christians, inspired by their holy padvis, got out and circulated, from house to house, a pamphlet headed in lig letters "Theosophy." This, now celebrated pamphlet, contained a choice selection of slanderous, abusive articles against Colonel Olcott and Madame Blavatsky, begimning with the lying editorial with which the Saturday Review* had disgraced its columns in its issue of September 3, and closing with an idiotic attack upon us from a New York paper. Lest the Hindus might not take the libellous tract, even gratis, the messengers were instructed by the Protestant Jesuits to tell every body to whom they took a copy that "Mr. Soondran Iyer, the Secretary of the Timevelly Theosophical Society, had sent it with his compliments." The pious fib had a success worthy of a better cause-and the pamphlet was distributed by thousands. Colonel Olcott's first lecture at the Hindn College was on the day the panphlet appeared. So showing a copy of it to the large audience, he paid his compliments to the brave enemy, and stated that the Saturday Reviau had, in its issue of September 17, retracted its offensive libel upon us, but this fact had been suppressed by the missionary editor of the pamphlet for obvious reasons. The sensation produced on the andience by this statement was remarkable, and helped considerably, we believe, to turn the tables upon the truthful ministers of the Gospel of Christ.
During the first centuries of Dawning Christianity, the Apostles preaching the world of the "Man of Sorrows," preferred martyrdom, allowing themselves to be devoured alive by wild beasts to saving their lives at the cost of a lie involving even a nominal apostasy. In our age, the " Apostles" of Troth will utter any amount of lies to save their comfortable sinecures even before a nominal danger. That is, perhaps, why Cliristianity is Declining.

## helrd pilenomena.

BY DR. RAM DAS SEN,

## Member of the Oriental Aculemy of Floreace.

 I.The following narrative was related in the presence of a large assemblage of friends and acquaintances by the late Babu Abloy Charan Newgy, an assistant surgeon in the employ of the Government of Pengal.

He had not long been in charge of a hospital at a certain station in the Nortl-Western Provinces. Accustomed to sleep out of doors during the warm weather, he often slept on an open terrace adjoining the dispunsary building. Once, on rather a sultry night, he had retired to bed and was composing limself to sleep. There were a few chairs left standing close to his couch. Suddenly a sound as that of the rustling of a person's dress or something like it, startled him. Opening his eyes he saw before him, sitting calmly in one of his chairs, his pretecessor, the late assistant surgeon, who had died a montlo previous in the premises of that dispensary. Babu Abloy was a stoutlybuilt man, and of a frame of mind quite proof to superstitions fears or any thing like nervousness. As might be imagined, he was not in the least frightened. He simply gjaculated a low sound of surprise, when the apparition floating over a high wall gradually disappeared. The whole scene took place in a elear moonlight night.

## II.

Gobind Prasad Sukul was an inhabitant of Nattore, in the district of Rajslahy, Bengal. When we first saw him at Berhampore, in Murshedabal, he appeared to us a thin, wiry skcleton of a man, on the wrong side of 50 , with sharp, angular features, a mysterious look about him, aud who was constantly muttering something to himself. Admission into the house he resided in, was strictly denied by him to all visitors. He used to always dress, in searlet cotton stuffs and was a frequent visitor of ours. When sitting in our presence, he would, if requested, take up a piuch of earth, and putting it into his left palm cover it with the other, and breathe into his joined hands; a minute or two after that, opening his palms just enough to let us have a glimpse, he would show us a gold coin, or a flower, the latter each time of a different colour and variety. It is said he held converse with "Spirits." Many a person is known to lave won lawsuits, and many a one to recover his health,--though apparently hopelessly gone, through the mystic instrumentality of that strange personage.

Editor's Note.-We need not notice the sulject of articlo II. ns it is very clear that Gobind Prasad Sukul was a man, who had possessed himself by some means of considerable orcult powers. But we will say a few lrief words about the "ghost" of the assistant surgron. The apparition was that of a man, who had died a month previons-within the premises of the dispensary he appeared in, and where he had lived and breathed his last. The "Astral Lighth," or, if our readers prefer a more scientific term-the ether of Space-preserves the imnges of all beings and things on its sensitised waves; and under certainatmospheric aud clectric conditions, more often furnished and determined hy the vital magnetism of "mediums", pict ures and scenes subjective, henee invisible under ordinary noman conditions, will be thrown out into oljectivity. The figure of the apparition may have been but an accidental and menningless reflection on tlat " "sultry," electric moon-light niglit," of the image of one whose figure was, owing to a long residence nul dentio of that person on tho premises, strongly impressed npon the etherie waves; aud it may also have been due to the romming of the "animal soul," what the Hindus call Kama nod Mayava rupa the "Illusionary Body" of tho decensed person. At all events, it is but the Spiritualisty who will insist that' it was tho spirit or the conscious ' Ego of the dead Assistant Surgeon,' the Occultists maintaining that it was at best the "sliell" or the nstral form of the discmbodied man; and giving it ns usual the name of an "Earth-bound Elementary."
(Coneluldoil from tho last Number.) 41

## TILE GRAND INQUISITOR.

...... "Decide then, Thyself,"-sternly went on the Inquisitor-" whoo of you two was right : is it Thou who rejected or He , who offered? Remember the subtho meaning of qucstion the first, which means this:'Wouldst Thou go into the world empty-handed? Wouldst Thon venture there with 'thy vague and nuldfined promise of freedon, which men, with their inuate duluess and unruliness are unable to even so much as understand, which they practically avoid and fear-for never was there anything more unbearable to human race and society than personal freelom! Dost 'Thou see these stones in that desolate and glaring wilderness ? Command that thess stones le mate bread-and mankind will rum after 'thee, obedient and grateful like a herd of cattle. But even then it will be ever diffilent and trembling, lest Thon shouldst take away Thy hand, and they lose therely their bread! Thou refusedth to accept the offer, for fuar of depriving men of their free choice. For where is there any freedon of choice once it is being bribed with breal ? Muir slacll not live by lreerel alone-was Thine answer. Thon knewest not, as would appear, that it was preciscly in the name of that earthly daily breail, that the 'T'errestrial Spirit would one day rise against, struggle with, and finally conquer Thec, fullowed as he would be by the hungry multitudes sloonting! ' Who is like wito that Beast, whin maketl fire come down from: heaven on the earth: Knowest Thon not that but a few centuries hence, and the whole of munkind will have prochaimed in its wistom and through its wouth-piece Scicnce that there is no more crime, hence - no more sin on carth, but only hungry people? ' F'eed us first and then command us to be virtuous !' will be the words written upon the bamer lifted against Thee, a banuer which will destroy to its very foundations thy Clurch, aud in the place of Thy Temple will be raised once more the terrible Tluwer of Babel ; and though its building may be left nufinished, as in the case of the first one, yet the fact will remain recorderl, that thou couldst, but wouldst not prevent the attempt of building that new 'lower by aceepting the offer made, and thus saving mankind a millenium, of useless suffering on earth. Aud it is to ns that the peoplo will return again. They will search for us everywhere; and they will find us under gromud, in the catacombs-a: is we will once more be persocuted and martyrel-and they shall begin crying unto us-' Feed us, for they who promised us the fire from heaven have deceived us? It is then, that we will finish building their 'Tower for them. For it is but they who will feed them that will finish it, and feed then we alone will, in Thy nanc, and lying to them that it is in that name. Oh, never, never, will they learn to feed themselves without our help! No science will ever give them breal so long as they remain free, so long as they will refuse laying that frecdom at our feet aud say : 'enslive, but feed us!' That day must conne when men will understand that freelom and daily breal enough of both to satisfy all-are unthinkable and cannever go together, as men will never be able to fairly divide the two among themselves. And they will also learu that they can never be frec, for they are weak, vicious, miscrable nonentities born wicked and rebellions. Thon last promised to them the breal of life, the bread of hoaven ; bat I ask Thee again, can that bread ever equalin the sight of the weak and the vicions, the ever ungrateful hum rate, their daily bread on earth? And even supposing that thomsands and tens of thousamls follow Thee in the name of, and for the sake of 'Thy heavenly brecul, what will become of the millions and hundreds of millions of hum hemgs too weak to scorn the earthly for the sake of 'Thy heavenly bread? Or is it but those tens of thousands chosen anong the great and the minghty, that are so dear to 'Thee, whilo the remaining millions, immmerable as the grains of sand in the seas, the weak and the loving, have to be used as matericl for the former? No, no! In our sight
and for our olject the weak and the lowly are the more dear to us. Truo, they are vicious and relellious, but we will force them into obedience, and it is they who will admire us thic most. They will regard us as so many gods and feel gratcful to those who have consented to lead the masses and bear their burden of freelom, by ruling over them-so torrible will at last that freedom appear to men :...Then wo will tell them that it is in oledience to 'lhy will and in Thy mamo that we rule over them. We will deceive them once more and recommence lying to them,--for never, never more will we allow The to come anong us. In this deception we will find our suffering, for we will have to lie eternally, and never cease to lie !',
"Such is the secret meaning of 'temptation' the first, and that is what thon last rejected in the wilderness for the sake of that freedom which Tliou hast prized above all. Meanwhile, Thy tempter's offer contained, another great world-mrstery. Py accepting the 'breal,' Thou woildst have satisforl and answered a universal craving, a conseless longing alive in the lieart of cerery individual human being, lurking in the breast of mankind taken collectively, namely, that most perplexing problem-' whom or what shatl we worship?' 'I'here exists no greater nor more painful an anxicty for a man who has freed himself from all religions bias, than to fimed as soon as he con a mery object or ilea to woislip. But mau sceks to bow befuro that omls, which is recognized as having a right to worship ly the greater majority, if not ly all his fellow-men; whose rights are so unfuestiomable that men agree unamimously to how down to it. For, the chief concern of these miserablo creatures is not to find and worship the idol of their own cloien, but to discover that, which all others will believe in, and consent to bow down to in a mass, and all together. It is that instinctive need of having a worship in common that is the chief suffering of every man individually, the chief coneern of mankima from the liegiming of times. It is for that universality of religions worship that peopledestroyed each other by sword. Creating gols unto themselves, they forthwith began appealing to cach other: ' Abandon your' deities, come and how down to ours, or else death to ye and to your idols!' And so will they do till the end of this world ; they will do so even then, when all the gods will themselves lave disappeared, for then men will prostrate themselves before and worslip some iden. Thou didst know, Then couldst not be ignorant of that fundamental mysterious principle in human mature, and still Thou liast rejectol the only absolute bamer offored Thee, to which would remain true, and before which would have bowed, all the nations -the banner of the earthly leveal, rejectod in the mane of frectom and of ' bread in the kingdom of (qod ?' behold then, what Throu hast done furthermore for that 'freedom's' sake! I repeat to Thec, man has no greater anxiety in life than to find some one to whom lie can make over that gift of freedom with which the mufortunate creature is born. But it is he alone who will prove capable of silencing and quieting their conscience that will succeed in possessing himself of the freedom of men. Together with 'daily bread' an irresistilble prower was offered Thee: show a man 'breal,', aud he will fullow 'Ithee, for what can lie resist less than the attraction of bread ? but if, at the same time, some one else but Thee succeeds in possessing himeself of his conscience,-olh, then, even Thy bread will be forgotten, and man' will follow him who scduced his conscience. So far Thou wert right. For the mystery of human being does not solely rest in the desire to live, but in the problem-what should he live for at all! Without a clear perception of his reasons for living, man will never consent to live, and will rather destroy himself than tarry on earth, though he be surroundell with breads. That is so; but what happens: instead of getting liohl of man's frectom, 'Thou last enlarged it still more ! Hast Thou again forgotten that rest and cren death are preferable to man to a free choice betwren the knowledge of GOOD and EVIL? Nothing seems more seluctive in his ejes than freedom of con-
scicnce, and nothing proves more paiinful. And behold! insteal of laying a firm foundntion to rest once for ever on it man's conscience-'Thou lanst chosen to stir up in him all that is almormal, mysterious, and indefinite, all that is boyoud human strength, and hast acted, therefore, as if Thon never dillst have any love for them-and yet, Thon wert He who came to 'lay down his life for his friends!' Thou hast burdened man's soul with anxieties hitherto mnknown to lim. Thirsting for human love freely given, seeking to enable man sednced and charmod by thice to follow Thy path of hisown free-will; instend of the old and wiso Jaw which held him in suljection, 'Thou liast given him the right to henceforth choose and freely decide what is good and bad for him, gnided in that but by Thine image in his lieart... But hast Thou never dreant of the probability, nay-of the certainty of that same man rejecting finally one day, and controverting even Thine image and Thine Truth, once he would find himself laden with such a terible burden as freedom of choice? That a time would surely come when inen would exclaim that Truth aud Light cannot be in Thee, for no one conld have left them in a greater perplexity and mental suffering than Thon hast done, lading them with so many cares and insolvable problems. Thus, it is Thyself who hast laid the fommation to the destruction of 'I'line own kingdom and no one but thee is to be blamed for it.......
"Mcantime, every chance of success was offered Thec., There are three Powers, three unique Forces upon earth, capable of conquering for ever by clarming the conscicuce of these weak rebels-men,--for their own good; and theso forces are : Minache, Mystery and Authonity. Thou hast rejected all the three, and thus wert the first to set them an example. When the terrible and All-Wise Spinit placed Thee on a pinmacle of the temple and saith unto Thee-' If 'Thou be the son of God, cast thysclf down' for it is written,-He shall give his angels charge concerning thec: and in their hands they slantl bear thice up, lest at any time thou dash thy foot against a stone? for, thus, T'lhy faith in Thy father should be made evident, Thon dilst refuse to accept lis suggestion and didst not follow it. Oh, mulonbtedly, Thou last acted in this with all the magnificeut pride of a god, but then men,--that weak and rebel race-are they also gods, to mulerstand Thy refusal? Of course, Thou didst well know that by taking one single step forward, by making the sliglitest motion to throw Thyself down, Thon wouldst have tempteld 'the Lord, thy Goil,' lost suldenly all faith in Him, and dashed Thyself to atoms against that same earth which Thon camest to save, and thus wouldst have allowed the Wise Spirit which tempted Thee to triumph and rejoice. But then, how many such as Thice are to be fouml on this globe, I ask Thee?...Couldst Thou ever for a moment imagine that men would lave the same stiengtl, for resisting suich a temptation ? Is humau nature calculated to reject miracle, aud trust during the most terrible moments in life, when the most momentous, paiuful and perplexing problems struggle within man's soul- to the free decisions of his heart for true solution? Oh, Thuu knewest well that that action of Thine would remain recorded in books for ages to come, reacling to the confines of the globe, and Thy hope was, that following Thy example, man would remain true to his God; without needing any miracle to keep lis faith alive ! But Thon knewest not, it seems, that no soover would man reject miracle than he would reject God likewise, for he seeketll less Goll than 'a sigin' from Him. And, thus, as it is beyond the power of man to remain without miracles, then, rather than live withotit, he will create for himself new wonders, of his own making that once; and he will bow to aud worship the soothsayer's miracles, the old witch's sorcery, were he a rebel, an heretic and an atheist hundred times over. Thy refusal to come down from the Cross when people mocking and wagging their heads were saying to Thee--' Save thyself if thou be the son of God, and we will believe in Thee',-was due to the same cletermination,-not to enslave man throngh
miracle, but to obtain faith in Thee freely and apart from any miraculous influence. Thou thirstest for free and uninitluenced love, and refusest the passionate alloration of the slave before a Potency which would have subjected his will once for ever. Thou judgest of men too highly here, again, for, thougit releds they be, they are born slaves and nothing more. Behold, and jullge of them once more, now that fifteen centuries have elapsel since that moment....Look at them, whom 'Thou hadst tried to elevate unto Thee !......I swear, man is weaker and lower than Thou hast ever imagined lim to be: Can he ever do that, which Thoun art, said to have accomplished? By valuing him so highly, Thou hast acted as if there was no love for lim in Thine heart, for Thon lanst demanded of him more than he could ever give, Thon-who lovest him more than Thysolf! Halst Thou esteemed him less, less wouldst Thou have demanted of him, and that would be more like love, as his burlon would have been made thereby lighter. Man is weak and cowardly. What matters it, if he now riots and rebels thronghont the world against our will and power, and priles himself upon that rebellion? It is but the petty pride and vanity of a school-boy. It is the rioting of little children, getting up a mutiny in the class-room and driving out of it their school-maister. But it will not last long, and when the day of their trimmph is over, they will have to pay dearly for it. They will destroy the temples and rase them to the ground, Hooding the earth with blood. But the foolish children will have to learn some day, that rebels, thongh they be, aud riotous from nature, they are too weak to maintain the spirit of mutiny for any length of time. Siuffused with idiotic tears, they will confess that He who created them rebellious lad undoubtedly done so but to mock them. They will pronounce these worls in despair, anl such blasphemous utterances will but add to their misery, for human nature cannot condure blasphemy and takes her own revenge at the end......."
"And thus, after ell Thou hast suffered for mankind aul its freedom, the present fate of men may be summed up in three words: Unrest, Confusion, Misery: Thy great propleet Jolm recorls in his vision, as having seen during the first resurrection, of the chosen servants of Gol-- the number of them, which were sealel ' in their foreheads 'twelve thousanl' of every tribe. But were they, indeed, as many? Then they must have been gods, not men. They latel shared Thy Cross for long years, suffered scores of years' hunger aul thirst in dreary wilderness and deserts, feeding upon locusts and roots-and of these children of free love for Thee, and self-sacrifice in Thy name, Thow mayest well feel proul. But remember that these are but a few thousands-of golls, not men, -and how about all others? And why should the weakest be lield guilty for not being able to endure what the strongest lave? Why should a soul incapable of containing such terrible gitts be punishell for its weakness? Dilst Thou really cone but to, and fur, the 'Elect' alone? If so, then the mystery will remain for cver one to our finite minds. And if a mystery, then were we right to proclain it as one, and preach it, teaching them that neither their freely given love to Thee nor freedom of conscience were cssential, but only that incomprehensible mystery which they lave to blindly obey even against the dictates of their conscience. Thus did we do. We corrected and improved Thy teaching and based it upon' Mreacse, Mystemy, and Authonitry. And men rejoiced at finding themselves led once more like a herd of cattle, wand to find their learts at last delivered of the terrible burden laid upon them by Thee and which caused them so much suffering. Siay, were we right in doing as we dill? Did not we show our great love for humanity, by realizing in such an humble spirit its helphessncss, by so mercifully lightening for it its great burlen, and by permitting and renitting its weak nature, every sin provided it be committel with our authorization? What for hast Thou then come again to trouble us in our work? And why loukest 'Thou at mo so penetratingly with Thy meek cyes,
aul in such a silence? Rather, Thou slouldst feel wroth, for I need not Thy love, I rejectit, and love Thee not, myself. Why should I conceal the truth from Thee? I know but too well, with whom I am now talking! What I had to say was known to Thee lefore, I real it in 'Thy eye. How should I conceal from Thec Our Sereret? Perchance, Thou wouklst hear it from my own lips, then listen: We are not witif Thee, but witir him, and that is our Secret! For conturies have we abandoned Thice to follow Ilim, yos-just cight centurics. Eight humdred years, now, siuce we acceptel from Ilimi the gift rejected hy Thee with indignation ; that last gift, which he offered Thee from the high mometain, when showing all the kingdoms of the world, and the glory of them He siith muto Thee-'All these things will I give Thee, if Thou wilt fall down and worship me:" We took Rome from lim and the glave of Cassar and declared ourselves alone the kings of this earth, its sole kings, though our work is not yet fully accomplishicel. But who is to blame for it? Our work is but in its incipient stage, but it is nevertheless started. We may have long to wait until its culnination, and mankind have to suffer much, but we will reach the goal some diay, and become sole Casirs, and then will be the time to think of universal happiness for men....
"Thou couldst aceept the glave of Cassur Thyself, why didst Thon reject the offir? By acecpting from the powerful Spirit lis third offer Thou wouldst have realized every aspiniation man secketh for limself on carth; namely, man would have fouml a constant olject for worship; one to deliver his conscience to, and thie means to unite all together into, one common and harmonions ant-hill, as an instinctive necessity for universal mity constitutes a third and final suffering of mankind. Llumanity, in its whole, has ever ispliried to unite itself universally. Many were the great mations with great histories, but the greater they were, the more unlainpy they felt, as they fult tho necessity of a miversal mions among men-the stronger. Great conguerors-like Timoor and Tchengiskinanpassed like a cyclone upon the face of the earth in their effiorts to comquer the universe, but even they, albcit unconscionsly, expressel the sance aspiration towards universal and common mity. In accepting the kinglom of the world, and Casar's purple one will be founding a universal kingdom and securing to mankind eternal peace. And who can rule mankind better than those who have possessed themselves of man's conscience, and hell in their hand man's daily bread? Having accepted Casan's glave aud purple, we had, of course, but to deny Thee, to henceforth follow II im aloue. Oh! Centurics of intellectual riot and rebellious Free-Thought are yet beffere us, and their Scicnce will end ly authropophacy, for having begun to buikd their Babylonian 'T'ower without our help, they will have to end by anthropophagy...... But it is precisely at that time, that the Beast will cravl up to is in full submission, and it will lick the soles of our feet, and spriukle them with tears of blood. And we will sit nupon the scarlet-coloured Beast, aum lifting up high the golden cup 'full of abomination ame filthincss' will show written upon it the worl 'Mrs'rme? But it is only then, that mon will see the begiuning of a kingdom of peace aud hapiness. Thou art proul of Thine own Elect, Dut Thu u hast none other but these Elect, and we-we will give rest to all. But that is nut the end. Many are those among Thy elect and labourers of Thy Vineyard, who, tired of waiting for Thy cominsalrealy lave and will yet carry the great fervor of thicir hearts aud their spiritual strengeth unto auother fichl, and will end by lifting up against Thee Thy own Bamer of Freedom. But it is Thyself Thon hast to thank for. Under our rule and sway every one will be haply and will neither rebel nor destroy each other everywhere, as they did while under Thy $f$ free Banner. Oh, we will take good care to prove to them that unly then will they become albsolutely free, when they will have abjured thair freedom in our fatrour and submit to us as absolutely. Thinkest 'Lluou we will be right or will be lying
still ? They will convince themselves of this, for they will see what a depth of degrading slavery and strife that Liberty of Thine has led them into. Liberty, Frecton of 'Thought and Conscience, and Science will lead them into such impassable chasms, they will place them face to face before such wonders and insoluble mysteries that some of them-more rebellions and ferocions,-will destroy themselves; others-rebellious but weak--will destroy cach other; while the remaining weak, helpless and miscrable will crawl back to our feet, and cry : 'Yes; right were ye, oh Fathers, of Jesus; ye alone are in possession of llis mystery, and we return to you, praying that you should save us from ourselves: Receiving their bread from us, they will clearly see that we take the bread from them, the bread made ly their own hands, but to give it back to then in equal shares and that without any miracle ; and having ascertained that, if we have not changed stones into breads, yet bread they have, while every other bread, turned verily in their own hands into stones-they will be too glad to have it so. Until that day, they will never be hapry. And who is it, who helped the most to blind them, tell me? Who separated the flock and seattered it over ways unknown if it be not Thece? But we will gather the sheep once more and subject them to our will for ever. We will prove to them their own weakness and make them homble again, whilst with 'Thee they have learnt but pride, for Thou hast made more of them than they ever were worth. We will give them that quict, humble happiness, which alone benefits such weak, foolish creatures as they are, and having once proved to them their weakness, they will become timid and obedient, and gather around us as chickens aromed their hen. They will wonder at and feel a superstitious admiration for us, and feel proud to be led by such powerful and wise men that a haudful of them could subject a Hockthonsand millions headstrong. Gradually men will begin to fear us. They will nervously dread our slightest anger, their intellects will weaken, their eyes become as casily accessible to tears as those of children and women, but we will teach then an easy transition from grief and tears to langhter, childish joy, and joyous song. Yes; we will make them work like slaves, but during their recreation hours, they will have an innocent child-like life, full of play and merry laughter. We will even permit them SIN, for weak and helpless, they will feel the more love for us for permitting them to indulge in it. We will tell them that every kind of sin will be remitted to then, so long as it is done with our permission ; that we take all these sins upon ourselves, for we so love the world, that we are cren willing to sacrifice our souls for its satisfaction. And, appeaing before them in the light of their seipe-ronts and rodeemers, they will adore us the more for it. They will have no secrets from us. It will remain with us to permit them to live with their wives and concubincs, or to forbid it to them, to have any children or remain fatherless-either way depending on the degree of their obedience to us,-and they will submit most joyfully to us. The most agonizing secrets of their souls-all, all will they lay down at our feet, and we will authorize and remit them all in Thy name, and they will believe us and accept our mediation witli rapture as it will cleliver them from their greatest anxicty and their 1 resent tortures of having to deede freely for themselves. And all will be haply, all except the one or two hundred thousands of their rulers. For it is but we, we the kecpers of the great mystery who will be miserable. There will be thous:nds of millions "f hapry infants, and one hundred thousands of martyrs who will have taken upon themselves the curse of knowledge of Good and Evil. Peaceable will be their end, and peacefully will they die, in Thy mame, to fint behind the portals of the grave--bot death. ...But we will keep the secret inviolate, and deceive them for their own good with the mirage of life etermal in Thy kingilon. For, were there really anything like life beyond the grave, surely it would never fall to the lut of such as they ! People tell us and prophesy of Thy coming and
triumphing once more on earth; of thy appearing with the army of Thy elect, with Thy proud and mighty ones, but we will answer Thec, if so, that they have saved but themselves while we have saved all. We are also threatened with the great disgrace which awaits the Whore,- • Babylon the Gbat, the Mother of Harhots '-who sits upon the Beast, holding in her hands the Mistens, the word written upou her forehead; and we are told that the weak ones, the lombs will rebel against her and shall make her desolate and naked. But then will I arise, and point to Thec the thousands of millions of happy infints free from any sin. And we who have taken their sins upon us, for their own good, we will staud before Thee and say: 'Judge us if Thou canst and darest:' Know then that I fear Thee not. Know that I too have lived in the dreary wilderness, where I fed upon locusts and roots, that I too lave blessed the Freedom with which Thou hast blest men, and that I too have been once preparing to join the ranks of Thy elect, the proud and the mighty,...But I awoke from wy delusion and refused since then to serve Insanity. I returned to join the legion of those who were correcting thy mistakes. I left the Proud and returned to the really humble, and for their own happiness. What I now tell Thee will come to pass, and our kinglom will be built I tell Thee, not later than to-morrow. Thou wilt see that obedient flock which at one simple motion of my hand will rush to add burning coals to Thy stake, on which I will burn Thec for having dared to come and trouble us in our work. For, if there ever was one who deserved more than any of the others our Inquisitorial Fircs-it is Thee !... To-morrom I will burn Thee." Dizi.

Ivan paused. He had entered into the situation and had spoken with great auimation, but now he suddenly burst out langhing.
-"But...all that is absurd!" suddenly exclaimed Alyosha, who had hitherto listened perplexed and agitated but in profound silence.-" Your poem is a praise to Christ, not an accusation...as you, perhaps, wanted it to be, And who will believe you when speaking of 'freedom' and... is it so, that we, Christians, have to understand it ?...It is Rome, and not even all Rome, for it would be unjust,but the worst of the Roman Catholics, the Inquisitors, and the Jesuits that you have becn exposing !... Your Inguisitor is an impossible character. What are these sins they are taking upon themselves? Who are those kecpers of mystery who took upon themselves a curse for the grood of mankind? Who ever mot them? We all know the Jesuits, and no one has a good word to say in their favour, but when were they as you depiet them? Never...ncver :...The Jesuits are merely a Romish army making realy for their future temporal kingolom, with a mitred Emperor-a Roman High Priest at their head... that is their ideal, and object without any mystery or an elevatel suffering...'The most prosaical thirsting for power, for the sake of terrestrial and dirty pleasures of life, a desire for enslaving their fellow-men...something like our late system of serts with themselves at their head as landed proprictors...that is all that they can be aceused of. They may not believe in God...that is also possible, but your suffering Incuisitor is simply--a fancy!"
-" Hold, hold !" interrupted Ivan smiling. "Do not bo so excited. A fancy, you say, be it so: Of course, it is a fancy. But stop. Do you really imagine that all that Catholic movement during the last centuries is naught but a desire of power for the only achievement of dirty pleasures ?' Is this what your Father Païssiy taught you ?...
-" No, no, quite the reverse, for Father Païssiy once told me something very similar to what you yourself say.... though, of course, not that...Something quite different"... suldenly aulded Alexis, blushing.
-" A precious information, notwithstanding your ' not that.' I ask you, why should the inquisitors and the Jesuits of your imagination live but for the attainment of 'dirty' material pleasures? Why should there not be found among them one single genuine martyr, suffer-
ing under a great and holy idea and loving humanity with all his heart? Now, let us suppose that annoug all these Jesuits thirsting and hungering but after 'material dirty pleasures' there may be one, just one like my old lnquisitor, who had hinself fed upon rocts in the wilderness, suffered the tortures of damnation while trying to conquer flesl, all that in order to become free and perfect, but who had never ceased to love humanity, and who one day prophetically beheld the truth; who saw as plain as he could see that the bulk of humanity could never be happy under the old system, that it was not for them that the great Idealist lad come and died and dreamt of his Universal Harmony. Having realized that truth, he returned into the world and joinedintelligent and practical people. Is this so impossible ?"...
-"'Joined whom, what intelligent and practical peo-ple?"--exclaimed Alyosha quite excited.-" Why should they be more intelligent than other men, and white secrets and mysteries can they have!...They have neither... Atheism and infidelity is all the secret they have. Your Inquisitor does not believe in God, and that is all the Mystery there is to it !"
-" Hay be. And you have guessed rightly there. And it is so, just so, and that is his whole secret; but is this not the acutest of sufferings for such a man as he is, who killed all his young life in asceticism in the desert, and yet could not cure himself of his love toward his fellowmen? 'Toward the end of his life he becomes convinced that it is only by following the advices of the Great and Terrible Spirit that the fate of these millions of weak rebels, these 'half-finished samples of humanity created in mockery' can be made tolerable. And ouce convinced of it, he sees as clearly that to achieve that object, one must follow blindly the guidance of the Wise Spirit, the fearful Spirit of Death and Destruction, hence-to accept a system of Lies and Deception and to lead lumanity consciously this time toward Death and Destruction, and moreover, to be deceiving them all along the journey in order to prevent them from realizing whero they are being led, and so force the miserable blind men to feel lappy, at least while bere on earth. And note this: a wholesale deception in the name of Him, in the ideal of whom, the old man had so passionately, so fervently believed during nearly his whole life! Is this no suffering? And were such one solitary exception found, amidst, and at the head of that ariny 'that thirsts for power but for the sake of 'dirty pleasures of life,' think youl one such man would not suffice to bring on a tragedy? Moreover: one single man like my Iuquisitor as a principal leader, would prove sufficient to discover the real guiding idea of the Romish system with all its armies of Jesuits, the greatest and chief agents of that system. And I tell you that it is my firm conviction that the solitary type described in my poem, has at no time ever disappeared from among the chef leaders of that movement. Who knows, but that terrible old man, loving so stubboruly and in such an original way humanity, exists even in our days in the shape of a whole host of such solitary exceptions, whose existence is not due to mere chance, but to a well-defined association born of mutual consent, to $n$ secret league, urganized several centuries back, in order to guard the Mysireny from the indiscreet eyes of the miserable and weak people, and only in view of their own happiness. And so it is, and cannot be otherwise. I suspect that oven Masous have some such Mrsitery underlying the basis of their organization, and that it is just the reason why the Roman Catholic clergy liate them so, dreading to find rivals in them, competition, the dismemberment of the unity of the idea, for the realization of which one flock and one Shepherd are needed... However, in defending my idea, I look like an author, whose production is unable to stand criticism. Enough of that.". .....
-"You are, perliaps, a mason yourself 1 " exclaimed Alyosha. "You do not believe in God,"-he added with a note of profound sadness in his voice. But suddeuly
remarking, that his brother was looking at him with mockery- "How do you mean then to bring your pocm to a close ?" he unexpectedly enquired casting his eyes downward,-" or does it break there ?"

- My intention is to end it with the following scene:"Having disburdened lis heart, the Inquisitor waits for some time to hear his Prisoner speak in his turn. His silcuce weighs upon hiim. He saw that his captive had been attentively listening to him, all the time with his eyes fixed penetratiugly and softly on the face of his Jailor and evidently bent upon not replying to him. The old man longs to hear His voice, to hear Him reply; better words of bitterness and scorn rather than His silence. Suddenly He rises; slowly and silently approaching the Inquisitor, He bends toward him and softly kisses the bloodless, four-score-and-ten-old lips. That is all the answer. The Grand Inquisitor shudders...There is a convulsive twitch in a corner of his moutl. He goes to the door, opens it and addressing Him--'Go' he says-'go and return no more.. du not come at all...never, never!-and-lets- Him out into the dark night....'The Prisoner vanishes."
-" And the old man?"...
--"'The kiss burns his lieart, but the old man remains firm in lis own ideas and unbelief."
-"And you, together with him? ...You too !"...despairingly exclaimed Alyosha, while Ivan burst out into a still louder fit of laughter....


## IS CREATION POSSIBLE FOR MAV:

## t'lie Editor of the Theosophist.

## Madame,

Talking the other day to a friend, who, like me, without being a Theosophist, tikes a very great interest in the movements of your Society, I incidentally happened to remark that the "Brothers of the first section "were credited with such large powers, that even creation was nut at times impossible to them. In support of my assertion, 1 iustanced their own cup and saucer phenomenon, as narrated by Mr. Sinuett in his "Occult Worll," which phenomenon appeared to me to be something more than the mere reproduction, transference or uneuthing from its liding-place of an article lost or stolen, like the lirooch. My friend, however, warmly oljected to iny statementremarking that creation was not possible to man, whatever else lie may be able to accomplish.

Believing, as I then did, in Christiauity as the most perfect heaven-descended code of ethics on earth, there was a time in the history of my chequered life, (chequered, I mean, as regards the vast sea of doubt aud unbelief on which I liave been tossing for over twenty years) when I. would have myself as warmly, even indignantly, repelled the idea of creation as a possibility to man ; but the regular reading of your journal, and a careful perusal of Mr. Sinnett's book and of that marvel of learning and industry your own "Isis Unveiled," have effected quite a revolution (whether for good or bad hats yet to be seen) in my thoughts, and it is now some time since I lave begrun to believe in the possibility of plenomena beyond the range of my own narrow vision.

Will you kindly tell me which of us is right, my friend or I? Not having the honour of being personally known to you, I close this letter only with my initial.
H.

## OUR ANSWER.

The question to be dealt with is hurdly whecher our coftre Epondent or his friend is right, for we understand him to take up the prudent attitude of a secker afier truth whosirints; from affirming dogmatically that creation is possible for mom, eveu while unwilling to ancept the dogmatic negative nesertiont of his friemel that "it is inpossible." Before coming to the gist of the question raised, we linve, therefore, to notice the illusitra
tions which this letter affords of the ways in which such on question may be considered.

When our comespondent's friemul denies that creation is possilale for man, we can hardly assume that he does so from tuy convietion that he hats sounded all tho mysteries of Nature, and knowing all about the miverse, -being able to aceomb for all its phenoment-has ascertained that the procesa, whatever that may be, which he conceives of as creation toes not go on mywhere in obedience to the will or influence of man, and has further ascertaned that there is something in man which makes it impossible that such a process should be accomplished. And yot withoul having done all that, it is bold of him to say that creation is imposible. Assuming that he is not a stailent of necalt scienee,-and the tone of the letter before us convers the impression that he is not-ond friend's friend when he makes his dogmatie statement, sems to be proceoting on the methout but too commonly atopted by people of merely ordinary enture and even ly a few men of science-the method which takes a lare group of preomecived idens as a standard to which any new ifen must he applied. If the new ilea fits in with, mid scems to support the old ones, well and good; they smile upen it. If it chashes with some of these they frown at it, and ex-communicate it without further ceremony.

Now the attitude of mind exhibited by our correspondent, who find many old beliefs, shattered hy new ideas, the foree of which he is constraned hy moral hone:ty to recognize, and who, therefore, feels that in presence of the vast possibilitics of Nature he must adrance very cautionsly mid be ever on his Guard agrinet false lights hed out by time-honoured prejuliees mod hasty conclusions,-seems to us an attitude of mind which is very much better entitled to respect than that of his overconfident friend. And we are the more anxions to recogniss its superionity in the most cmphatic language, because when we appromeh the actual guestion to be discussed the bearing of what we have to say will be rather in farour of the view which the "friend" takes of "ercations," if indeed we are nll attaching the same siguifieance to that somewhat overdriven word.

It is nechessafter what we have just said to point out that if we are now going to make sone statements as to what is, mad What is not the fact, as regards sonie of the conditions of the miverse we are not on that account infringing the rules of thought just haid down. We are simply giving an exposition of our litale frament of occult philosophy as tanght by masters who are in a perition to make positive statements on the subjects and the eredibility of which will never bo in danger from my of those apprently inexplicable oceurences related in the books to which our correspondent refers, and likely enongh, as he justly conceires to disturb many of the orthodox belicfs which he las seen crambling around him.

It would he a volume we should have to write and not a brief explamatory note, if we attempted to begin, by ehacilating the conviction we entertain that the Masters of Ocenit Philosophy ahovo refered to are entitled to say what is und what is not. Bnough for the preselit to say what we believe would be said in muswer to the question beforo us, by those who know.

But we must have a clear understanding th to what is memet by creation. Probably the common idea on the sulject is that when the world was "ereated," the ercator accorded himself or was somehow aceorded a dispensation from the rule ex nihilo nilh fit and nethally made the word out of nothing-if that is the ilea of creation to be dealt with now, the reply of the phillo- $^{\text {n }}$ sophers woula be not merely that such creation is impossible to man hut wat it is impossible to gods, or God ; in short absolutely imposible. Butastep in the direction of a philusophical conception is acermphished when people say the world was "ereated" (we say fashioned)-out of Cuios. Perhaps, they have no very clear inlea of what they ncan by Chaos, but it is a better word to uso in this case than "nothing." For, suppose we endeavour to conceive chatos as the matter of the universe in an unamifested state it will be seen at onec that hough such matter is perfectly impureciable to ordinary human senses, amd to that extent equivalent to " nothing" creation from such materials is not the production of somenhing which did not exist before, but a change of state imposed upon a portion of universal matter which in its previous state was invisible, intangible and impoulerable, but
not on that account nou-existent." Theosophists-Occulti:ts do not, however, use the worl "ereation," at all, but rephace it by that of Evolution.

Here we apprach a comprehension of what may have been the canse of events as regards the production of the mysterious cup and -nucer described in Mr. Simett's look. It is in no way ineonceivable that if the production of manifestation in matter is the act aceomplished by what is ordinurily called ereation that the power of the human will in some of its transcendent developments may be emabled to impose on ummanifested matter or chaos, the change which brings it within the cornisme of the ordinary human senses.

## THE THEOSOPHISTS.

## by gerald masshy.

I see from qutations made by "M. A. (Oxon)" in Light for September 17 that the Theosophist assigus such phenomena as the alleged stone-throwing by invisible agency, to the action of a " blind, though living force" belonging to the "invisible bocly of those we call blind Elementals or forces of nature," the "active forces and correlations of fire, water, earth, and air," whose shape is "like the lutes of the chameleon which has no permanent colour of its own," and "it is only the trained eye of the proficient in Eastern occultism that crn fix the Heeting shadows, and give them a shape and a name." A "shape and a name" is exactly what they and we want.

Do these living forces manifest what we term Mind? Or is Mind latent, incipient, among them? Science at present knows nothing whatever about the correlation of mental and elemental forces. Do these suggest a living link? What forms do they take? Because force, so-called, does not seem to cast even those fleeting shadows which can be fixed in form, and these must manifest in form for the adept to get them fixed. The remarks quoted by "M.A. (Oxon.)" are full of suggestion, but at present the vision is all visionary.

Elements are but elements, and cannot consciously divect anything. If there be mind, howsocver less than human, engaged in these matters, we waut the profound seer to fix the shadows and describe the shape. The language and traditions of the Kicbula or Gnosis, no matter in what land, will not help us much, and are always suspiciously confused with certain mythical origins that we wot of. For instance, the four Spirits of the Four Elements in Egypt are from out of the Seven Great Spirits of the Great Bear. From the four corner stars of the Bear (the Coffin of Usiris which they guard) they can be traced to the four corners of the Mount (Meru or others) and the four quarters of the later Zorliac. These were four of a group (Great Bear and Dog-Star), who appear in the Ritual, both as the Seventh and the Eighth Elementaries or gods of the earliest time before the firmament of Rat was lifted.

They originated in verifiable physical phenomenaroud not in Spiritualism, yet they have passed into the Kabala, Jike the seven Princes or Angels of the Chariot, just as if they were Spirits in the modern sense. The whole body of Lore or wisdom, in which the Oriental occult is trained, origincted in the celestial phenomena and not in what is called Spiritualism, although that is mixed up with the early teachings. The Spinits of the Four Elements belong

[^13]to mythology, not to Spiritualism. To this great gulf, fixed at starting, may be maiuly traced the difference between Eastern occultism and Western Spiritualism. This I hope to make definite and help to bridge over ultimately. Seers have often described to me (I should say, a seer, with whom I dwelt for seventeen years) many fleeting forms of the chameleon kind, passing in currents and flowing rivers of force, but they did take forms in such wise that the Kabalist lingo of the Four Elements might be employed in describing them. Unfortunately at that time I was not an evolutionist. We want the seer that is trained, but for one thing lie should know all that Western science has established, as well as the traulitions of the East. "M.A. (Oxon.)" says he had seen phenomena which might fairly represent the gambols of a monkey, null "what for no ?"

I write on behalf of the Asamamut; pa. Perhaps the reader never heard of the Asamanuk pa. The word means "Head-Ghost" or "Spectre-Elder." The name is given to a chimpanzee or baboon that inhabits the islands of the Yolta river, where the Siscti (the souls or slates of the departed) have their Hades or Dead-world, calleal Gbohictlse. These apes are literally "devils to throw stones." It is a native saying, " Doko ke Asamanuk pa daia tetfa". "Noboly vies with the Asamanuk pa in stone-throwing."* Now as an evolutionist, I lioh that the Asamanulik pa has as good a right to hiss soul as I may claim for mine. And if his consciousness continues, so may lis earthly tendency, and this may be his only mode of sending a message to demonstrate lis continuity.
It would be of equal interest to the evolutionist to krow that the spirit of a monkey persisted (habits and all) as if it had been the Spirit of a man, and it would give me just as mucl pleasure to learn that our "poor relations" do continue, as if $I$ received a message from some far more highly-lleveloped being; even though they hat to smash all my frunt windows to let in that wach light.
If the Theosophist were also an evolutionist, perhaps. he would be able to fix the "fleeting furms" of his vision, and perceive some of the Spirits of man's preclecessors on the earth, as his Spirits of the earth, or in Kabalish lingo "earth Spirits."-Light.
Editor's Note.-Summed up in a few words, this article asks for further information about "elementilis"; suggests that they may bo what Spiritualists wonld conll "the spirits" of deceasect animuls; offers this as a new ilea for tho consileration of Eastery philosophers; and points out that if the allepts off ocernle sc:eice had be na privileged to real Darwin they might, with their pecenliar $p$ owers of chirvoyance, have been able to detect in the elementals, shapes which would identify these as reliquice of Man's imperfectly developed ancestors.
Tho compreliension of what oceult sceence really is, has spreand in Europe so very imperfectly as yet, that we must not he impatient even with this curionsly entanglen view of the subject. Enropean mystics, when further adranced in the tedious stuly of unintelligible books, will often be hardest to persuale that they mast go back some distance on the pathis hey have travelled, before they can strike into thoso which lead to the fully illuminated regions of Rastern kuowlelge. They are naturally loth to confess that mucl time has heen wasted; they try to make the fragments of esoteric Enstern philosophy they may pick up here athl there, fit into the vacant places in the scheme of things they have painfully constrincted for themselves, and when the fragments will not fit, they are apt to thinks tho eonners want paring down here and there, and the hollows, filling up. The situation which the Earopem mystic does not realise is this:--The Eastern oceult plitiosoply is the great block of solid truth from whicl the quaiut, exoteric mysticism of the outer world has leen casually thrown off from time to time, in veiled and st mberical slapes. These hiuts and suggestions of mystic phitosophy may be likenel to the grains of gold in tivers, which early explorers used to think betokened somewhero in the mountains from which the rivers sprang, vast beds of the precious metal. The occult phitusophy with which some people in Iulia are privilegeal to he in contact, may be likened to tho parent deposits. Students will he altagether on a wrong track as long as they check the stntements of Eastern philiosophy be reference to the teaching a and conceptions of any other systmys.

In saying this we are not imitating the varions religionists who chaim that ealvation can only he harl within the pale of theire own small charch. We are not saying that Eastern philosopily is right and everybody else is wrong, but that Easteru philosophy is the main stream of knowledge concerning things spiritual nod etermal, which has come down in an unbroken ftom throurt all the life of the worth. That is the demonstrable position which we, occultists of the Theosophical Society, have firmly tuken up, and all archaolorical and literary resench in matters comected with the rarliest religions and phinosophies of historical ages holps to fortify it. The casmal growths of mystio knowledge in this or that comenty and periond, may or may not he faithful reflections of the actual, enentral doentries; hat, whenorer they sem to hear some resemblance to these, it may lo safely omjecture that at least they are reflections, which owe what merit they possess to the orignal light from which they derive their own.

Now the tone of such articles as that we have reprintel above is quite out of harmony with this gencral estimate of the pocition. Mre. Masecy's mental attinule is that of a power in trenty with a contatem power:--" Give us this and this hit of information which you prhaps posess ; we offer you in relurn some valuable hints derivet from Western science. Weld them into your own inguives and you will, perhaps, bring out scrme frosh eonclusions." Such an attitule as this is ahandutely balierous to any one who has hat the means of realisiure, cuen in a small derree, what the rawe and lepth of Easterib ocentt phitoonhy really are. To say that offering knowlemer or disenveries of any sont th the Mesters of Occult lhilowophy is earrying eonts to Newcastle, is to say mothing. There may he some small details of motern ecience wheh oeent philosophy has mot anticipaten (omenties amo), lutifse, that can only be beeanse the genins of oeentt philosophy leads it to deal with the main lines of prineiple and tocare as a rule very listle for details-as litule as for tho material alvantage or eomfort they may be designel to subecres. Such lional conceplionsas the theny of evolution, fire example, have not only been long age kuown to Easterm occultista, but as developed in Europe, ne now recounisel hy them as the first faltering step of moxem suence in the ilirection of ceriain grand principles with which they have been familiar; we will not venture to sny since when... ..
"If the Theosophist were also an evolutionist," fays Mr. Massey," perhaps lie would be alle to fix the flecting forms of his vision and perceive some of the spisits of Man's medecessors on the earth." If the European scientists whose fancy has for the first time been cauglit, within these last few years, ly the crude outlines of an eyolationary theory, were lese hamkly ignorant of all that appertains to the mysteries of life, they would unt be mislel hy some hits of knowledee emecrang the evolution of the hody, into cutiely ahsurl conclasions concerning the other principles whiel enter into the constitution of Man.
But we are on the threshohd of a far mightier sulgeet than any realer in Europe who has not mate considerable proyress in real ocenit stady, is likely to estimate in all its appalling magnitade. Will any one who has perned with only some of the attention it really deserves the article we published but two months ago umber the tille "Fragments of Oceult Truth." make an effent to accomat, in his own mind, eren in the most shalowy and indistinct way, for the history of the six higher principles in any ham creature, durng the time when his hoty was heing gedually perfected, so to epeak, in the matrix of evolution. Where, and what were his higher spiritual principles when tho lonly had worked into no more dignifiel shape than that of a babon? Of course, the question is pat with a full recognition of the collateral errors implied in the treatment of a single human being as the apex of a series of forms, but even supposing that physical collution wero as simple a matier as that how to account for the final presence in the perfected human bonty of a spiritual soul ? - or to go astep hack in the process, how to account for the presence of the anmal sonl in the first creature withimbepmbent rolition that emerges from the half vegethile combition of the carlier forms? Ss it not obvions, if the blind materialist is not to be accepted as a sufficient quide to the mysteries of the universe,-if there really are these higher principles in Man of which we speak, that there mast be some vast process of spiritual evolution going on in the universo pari passa with the physical evelution?
For the present we merely throw out hints and endearour to provoke thonght and enguiry ; to attempt in this casmal mamer, a complete expesition of the conclusions of Eastern philownty
in this direction would be like starting on a journey to tho South Pole apropos to a passing enquiry whether one thought there was land there or not.

But we have, perhnps, said cuongli to meet the somewhat imperfect suggestion in Mr. Gernld Massey's article to the eflect thant elementals may perhaps be the spirity of animals or of " missing links" belonging to a former epoch of the world's history. The notion that in some immaterial shape,-one may use nn absurd expression to set forth an absurd conjecture,the spirits of any living creature can lead $n$ perpetual existence as the stereotyped duplicates of the transitory material forms they inhabited while passing throngh the carthly stage of their pilgrimage, is to reckou entirely without the very doctrine which Mr. Massey so kindly offers for the consideration of Enstern philosophers. No more than any given material form is destined to infinite perpetuation can the finer organisms which constitute the higher principles of living creatures be doomed to unchangenbility. What has become of the particles of matter which composed the physical borlies of "man's predecessors on the earth." They have long ago been ground over in the laboratory of Nature, nad have entered into the composition of other forms. Aud the iden or design of the earlier forms has risen into superior idea or design which has impressed itself on later forms. So also, though the analogy may give 1 n no more than a clondy conception of the course of cvents, it is manifest that the higher principles, once mited with the earlier forms, must have developed in their turn also. Along what infinite spirals of gradual ascent the spiritual evolution has been accomplished, we will not stop now to eonsider. Enough to point out the direction in which thought should proceed, and some fow considerations which may peerate to check Europenn thinkers from too readily regarding the realms of spirit as $n$ mere phantnsmagorinal eemetry, where the shades of the Earth's buried inhabitants doze for ever in an aimless trance.

## THE PUPIL OF SWAMI DAIANUND AT THE CONGRESS OF ORIENTALISTS.

A Calcutta paper gives the following summary of the doings of the Congress of Orientalists:-

The Congress of Orientalists, an account of whose first meeting we published the other day, is rendered chiefly interesting to us this year in consequence of the presence of a young Indian who is taking an active part in it. In this issue we shall present our readers with a few extracts from the proceedings of two more meetings. On the 13th ultimo Professor Weber, the President of the Aryan Section, in the course of his opening speech, "dwelt on the iudirect influence which the discovery of the Indo-European brotherhood had exercised on the Natives of India. It had raised them in their own estimation, and made them feel proud again of their ancient language, literature and religion. It had fostered a healthy national pride, without which no nation could achicve great things." Besides the scientific occupations of the Congress much was done, we are told, for their amusement and instruction. The Minister of Education, Von Gossler, received the principal members at a brilliant evening-party, at which, we are informed, the young Indian Pandit, Shyanaji Krishnavarman, appeared in the famous Parsi coal-scuttle and what the Americans would liave called "a duster." Several Sanskrit scholars present attempted to converse with him in Sanskrit, but, to judge from the Pandit's laughter, without much success. The two Buddhist priests from Japan, who accompanied Professor Max Müller, came in the most correct evening costume and were most kindly received by the Minister; On the 14th Professor Jocobi read an essay on Kalidasa's epic poems, showing a most intimate acquaintance with the peculiarities of Kalidasa's stylc and of the intricacies of his metres, which seemed to surprise the Indian Pandit who was present at the meeting. On the 15tll—

Professor M. Williams, as has been already stated, read an account "Of the Sandhya and Brahman Ceremonics and Prayers," which was rendered both interesting and amusing by the performances of Pandit Shyamaji Krishnavarman, who showed how these prayers had to be
recited, and exhibited, in fact, some of the most sacred rites of the Brahmans. The Pandit afterwards read a most valuable paper in English on "Sanskrit as a Living Language in India." Both papers were reccived with the loudest applause, and afforded to all present both instruction and entertainment.

The young Pandit was also present at a dinner. Being invited to speak, he rose, and-

Declared that be spoke in the name of 250 millions of human beings, thougl, after his public performance of the sacred rites of the Brahmans and the visitation of the Gayatri before Mecchas, it is doubtful whether even the small sect to which he belongs would continue to recognize him as their representative. Though he declared that he never toucherl wine, he ended with drinking "The Health of the Minister of Education, and of all the great Masters of the World."

The above paragrapl is, of course, republished from some English paper. We hope, however, for the glory of India as for the sake of our esteemed young Brother, Shyamaji Krishnavarman, that the report is exaggerated and incorrect. Ho may have only offered toasts, not actually "drunk." Anyhow, we seriously hope that the favourite pupil of one of "the great masters of the world" to whose health he is alleged to have drunk wine, could not have forgotten so soon the wise recommendations of his master Swami Dayanand Saraswati and fallen as casily as that into the snares of Western Civilization.
tile manager ilas to aporogise to the sitpscribers of the Trieosorimst for the delay of one week in issuing the current Number. But a sufficient excuse will be found in the fact that, although in our new Prospectus we promise twenty-four pages of reading matter every month and thirty-two nccasionally, the present Number consists of forty pages, twenty-four of which were marle up this week since the returu of the Editor, Madame Blavatsky, to Bombay on the 29th November.

## PARAGRAPII FLASHES FROM THE FOTIR QUARTERS.

Silver in Sea-Water is the most recent diseovery in scienec. Few persons have becn aware that sea-water contains a considerable quantity of silver in solution, but a careful amnlysis of the deposits in the metallie bottoms of ships has demonstrated that it is so. It has been shown that such plates containing on trace of silver originally, after eontact with sea-water for three or four years, were conted with a deposit of silver. The brass displaces the silver of the silver elloride, and the silver is deposited on the metallic plates of the vessel. It is culculated that in the course of the six years, during which the meinllic plates on the bottoms of ressels are supposed to last, the Duteh fleet abstracts from the sea-wnter $198 \frac{1}{2}$ pounds of pure silver. It has further been calculated that the sea must contain at least two million tons of silver, representing a value of 350 milliards of German marcs.

A New Steambr. - "We have to be very enrefhl how we aceept news of various inventions coming to us from Americn," remarks "German paper ;-"for, we must ever bear in mind that the world-famous humbug is also a Yankee invention." Inaving delivered itself of this claritable remark, the paper goes on to describe the vessel introluced to Europe na an alleged Dew invention by an American engineer.

The ressel is called the Oceanic, and represents $a$ "marine velocepede." The body of the new ship does not at all touch the surface of the water ; it hangs on three gigantic wheels, one of which is placed at the front part, and the two others behime. Each wheel is covered with shovels, and can rotate backwards and forwards, opposite motions being performed simultaneously by the various wheels for purposes of stopping or slackening the speed. There is un rudder, the now sen-vehicle moving on easily that there is no need of a distinct apparatus to guide its conrse. The length of the ship is 210 feet, and the diameter of
the wheels- 60 feet. The inventor affirms that his vessel will be found extromely convenient for passengers, as it cannot siuk, and is, moreover, so fast, that it will be able to make the voyage from New York to Liverpool in less than six days.

Faber's Talking Automaton is the womder of the diy. A few weeks more, and if we can beliepe reports gathered from the scientific journals of France and Germany, which puldictitions are not generally given to exaggeration, the world will witness n new "Man Demon," a murrel of mechanical art, created by the hand of the new Frankenstein fiom Vicuma. Instead, however, of being hated by his ereator as in the case of Mrs. Shelley's hero, the new "hiving" automaton will probably mako his inventor's fortunc.

As early as in 1701 the famous Berlin Mathematician amd Professor Lyler wrote in the Preface of his great work on Plysics the fullowing:-"No doubt, it would prove of the utmost, importance if we cond construct a machine imitating the haman voice with all its atliculations. This does not seem to me impossible. It might be accomptished, for instance, by mechanienl means, and tho sounds produced upon tonching conceated keys or by the means of air as in the organ. An automaton, made to uiter a few words, might easily bo improved to pronounce whole speeches."

Eyler's hint caused more than one mechanician to pass years in trying to discover the means of producing artificial registers of human voice. Kratzenstein, the German physicist, suceeeded in constructing a machine on the model of tho human throatam! month, which articulated and modalated at will every vowel as distinetly as they are pronounced by a living person. Ilerr von Kemplen, another Vieman scientist went further still, having spent many years in studying the formation of the mouth and thront of those animals which produce sounds in which some specific consonant predominates, as the letter $B$ in the sfieep and $M$ in the cow. He constructed a marvellons machine by which whole sentences were pronomed distinetly nud in a very harmonions îmalo voice, Latin and Italinn words being pronomeed by it better and more distinetly than nay other. All previous antomatons, however, nre now considered baby's toys when compared to the womlerful invention of Faber. In his apparatus the whole mechanism is concealed within an extremely life-liko human figuro, which, as it stalks into the room, renters tho spectator dumb with surprise, while conscions that a lifeless machine is before him. It can produce a distinct low whisper or words full of energy and expression. It can even siug. Instead of, as in Kemplen's machine, receiving air by means of bellows worked by something like the keys of a piano, the throat of Faber's machine is furnished with all the organs of the human thront, made of India-rubber on the exact nodel of the haman throat and larynx. In the invention of the Vienna mechanician, nu amatomist would find on examination in the nutomaton's chest a pair of fine bellows supplied to the minutest detail wilh all that is connected with, or necessary for, the production of the human voice in a human being's orgmism. The air from the bellows is direeted along two gutapereha bunches, corresponding to the windpipe, the enrtilaginous cavity of the throat \&e., in the living orginism. These bunches, when filled with tir,' form a mumber of longitudinal openings nad fissures taking the place of hungs. The volume and tone of the sound to be produced are determined by, ind increased in proportion to, the frequency of the periodical passuges of the air, from the bellows to the bunches. 'To obtain firom one and tho same "voice fissure" sounds of various magaitude and daration, Faber arranged his Indin-rubber bunches at right nagles, nul by means of a very ingenious mechani:m they contact at the narrowest point of the "voice-fissure." In consequence of this arrangement, a portion of the bunches made to vibate, contracts, and the tone can lie modulated or incrensed at will. The sound on approaching the lips of the automaton is so regulated that syllables and words nre distinctly and intelligibly uttered. In short, Faber seems to have completely solved the problem of the artificial production of the haman voice and speech.

The Oldest Man in the World, is introducel to its readers by The Lancet. We are obliged to confess that the age of this venerable patriarch reduces to most common place the nge of any of those natiquated specimens of humanity tho 'Tueosopmist has been able to nequaint its subscribers with, and on whose aceount we have been severely taken to task by some of our "friendly" contemporaries. The Methuselalt, excavated by the well-known London medical Heckly, is a citizen of

Bagota in San Salvador, and aceording to his own evidence ho was 180 years of age on his last birth-day. His oldest neighbours, however, maintain that he is mueh older. He is a halfcaste Spaniard called Miguel Solis, and the existence of this fossil is authenticated by Dr. Guermanles whoo was assured that when one of the oldest living citizens of Bagota was a mere baby, Migucl Solis was alrealy regarded as a centenarian. The doctor was also assured that oll Miguel's signature can be seen to this day on a deed drawn in 1712 in reference to a monastery then founded close to the town. Dr. Guernandes was aceustomed to visit this womderful old mortality, and on his first visit found him working in his garden. Ilis skin lonked like parchment, and his hair was smow-whice. IIe explaned his hagevity by the care he always tnok of his heallh. Ho eats only once a day and fasts two days in the month, on the 1st finl 1 thil, when lie drinks as much water as he ean possibly uhsorb. Ile has never eaten anything hot during lis life, but has always been fond of rich food.

The Alleged Discovery of the Aztec Mysteries has cunsed a stir in the New World.
A correspondent of the Boston Merald, writing from Fort Wingrte, New Mexico, gives some interesting details of tho work pursued in unveiling the mysteries attached to the Zani tribes of New Mexico nad Arizona by Mr. Frank II. Cushing, of Western New York, an official of the Smithsonian Institntion of Washington. IIe was sent out about two years ago to iuvestigate in the Pueblos ol New Mexico the customs and history of the matives. Mr. Cushing finally selected Zani as tho seat of his researches. The Zuni Indians-a namo probably derived by the Spaniards from Shi-mi-ma, thecir mame in their native tongue--are the lineal descendants of the Aztecs and live to-day, in all essential particulars, just as their ancesrors have lived for centuries and centuries. Only a very few of them know a word or two of broken Spanish, and they have preserved their mative tongue in all its purity. Like abont all the other Pueblos, they have been callons to all atiempts to christiamizo them, whether by Catholics or Protestmots, and they practise to-day their strange old religious tites.
"In the pursuit of his rescarches, Mr. Cushing jowed the Zunis, was favorably teceived by them, leanod their language, adopted their dress and modes of life, and has possed his time mony them. Gradually gaining influcnce with some, he has nb. thined almission into their most secret councils, athl has now been made one of their chiefs, the second man of influcuce in their city, stamling next to their Governor in muthrity. Mr. Cushing has, in the stuly of the Zuni religion, found for certaingy that the worship tud traditious of Montezumat-so long accepted in all accounts of tho Aztecs-have no foundation in fact, and that Montezuma wals never heard of. But he has discorcred a mine of mythological lore, heliefs and superstitions, gods and spirits, that throw tho full light of day on the mys. teries of the Aztee religion. Among other wonderful thingsis the existence of twelve sacred orders, with their priests, and their secret rites as carefully guarded as the sectets of Frecmasonry, an institution to which these orders have a stamo resemblanec. Into several of these onders he has been ininiated, and las penctratel to their immost secrets, obtaining a laowlealge of eeremonials, both beambiful, profouma and grueceque in character. But the most marvellous thing which he has discovered in comnection with their religion is the grand fact that their fuith is the same thing as modern Spisitualism. The Zunis have their circles, their mediums, their communications from the spitit world, their materialization-precisely like those of the spirits of civilized life. Their séances are often so absorbling that they are kept up all night.
"Mr., Cashing will probably stay with the Zanis about a jear longer.:"-Philadelphia Recorl.

The journal from which we extract the above is a serions and trust worthy paper. But either Mr. Cushing lans not yet told tho whole of his tale or the eorrespondent of the Boston Herald wh: not accurate in tho information given. It is the fute of all tha secret religions of people to le misumderstod, and more or lees loosely handled. A few prominent fatures are seized upon, comparisons resorted to, and "bad report" lanuched into the world to settle permanently on the public mind, the dirst impressions proving generally tudelible. As well say of the Thictans that their religion is the same thing as modern spivitism on account of Buddha's re-incarnation in the Dalmi Lamate. The samo tale is told of the Chinese. Owing to "ancetor wordip" they are regarded by tho Spiritualists as co-meligionists andhailed as brothers in fith:

A Posthumous Censure.--The absence of any clergyman, priest, or rabliat Lord Benconsfieli's death-bed secms to have seriously disturted the Irish papers. One of them, the Nation, says: "lricat or parson, book or prayer, cross or cresecnt, symbol or sign of faith, there was nothing to tell whether the dying man thought of Moses, of Mohmmed, or of Christ. Unless the published maratives omit some very important particulars, Lond Boaconffeh died as dies a horse." How sad!

Curitige and Siwembing, is a lengthy article audecssed to the Editor of the Truth Sceker. The writer, who seems to have studied the question con amorc--holds that the habit has originated among tho carly Christians and "is essentinlly a Christian one." "We know "-he writes " hat the ancient Greek was wont to embellish his discomse with now and then n"By Zets," or "By Apollon ;" and the Roman with a " l3y Jupiter ;" mad that the Alhenian, in the way of cursing, wished the object of his maledietion "to the ravens," which place secms to have been a swamp somewhere in the neighboumond of the city frequented by these birds. Tudging from speeimens of the talks of these ancient peoples that havo come down to us, their cursing and swearing was of a quito differevt nature of that of nur modern Christims. It lacked force and carnestness. In its angriest moods, the Greek mind nerer conceired of semiing its adversary to a place where we should bo tortured eternally.

We have good authority for believing this habit to be essentially a Christian onc. We real about Peter, he on whom the chureh was founded, how on a certain ocension he "immediately began to curse and swear." The conditions under which he didit, and the coupling of the two words, show that Peter's cursing and swearing was of the same species as now survives numg his followers. Jesus also took a hand in the cursing business, as that fig-tree which got so eftectually damuch fur not hearing figy in the wrong season bears witness. We may be permited, then, to regard Iesus and Peter as the pactieal originators of the practice; and we need not wouler that the Christian world still curses and swears, seeing that it but fiithfully treads in their foutsteps."
"The Crimes of Preacimers in the United States"* for the last five year:-from May 1876 to May 1881, "tramslated ont of the original newspapers and with previons translations diligently compared and revised," is the latest production of Mr. M. Li. Billings, an attorney, of Waverly Town, and the author of "Siuftel Saints:" Ile gives the names of the criminal elergymen, their residence, the names of their parishes and the denominations to which they respectively belong as well as the ceme they have been guilty of. "In the aggregate he reports 917 cr mes of clergymen in the short space of five years" in the U. S. alone.

Wo will not give the detailed necomit of the disgusting crimes enumerated. We will simply cony from the Truth Seeker the following: -

Of theso 917 crimes on the part of the Christian elergymen. 450 were against women in a sexaal way, and 81 against women in other ways, or 544 against women especially.

Of this list of 477 eriminal preaehers the deromination of 208 has been preserved, leaving 269 not designated. Of the 208 the Methodists have 72, Baptists 42, Preshyterians 22, Catholics 19, Congregatiounlists 13, Church of Fagland 10, Camphellites 6, Lutherans 6, Adventists 5, United Brethren 3, Mebrews 2, Dunkurds 1, Universalists I.

* We lave been repeatedly and unjustly accused of bearing ill-will to the Weatern Clergs, and while copying all the evil reports about them we can fud, not to have taken notice of the gool they do. We can copy but what we find in the news and-no more. We bear no ill-will to any creed especially, and are ready to publish reports of the remrrkable doings of any class of men whatever. ILence, we do not see why we should be more particularly careful not to hurt the feclings of the class of mon under motice, than those of any other class of men. The subject has a distinct bearing upon tho cause we allocate and represent, and it is our special object to find ont which of the four great woril-religions is the more likley to promute morality among men,--El.

The percentage of the erimes of those whose donomination is preserved, as compared with the whole number, is as follows: Mehodists 30 per cant., Baptists 20, Preshyteriams 10. Cittholies 10, Congregrationalists 6 , Lutherans 6, Episcopalians 5, Camphellites 3, Adventists 3, United Brethren 1. 4, Ifebrew 1, Dmakarts $\frac{1}{3}$, Universalists $\frac{1}{2}$.

The definitions or names of erimes are chiedy those given by tho several church courts where the reverend seomindels wero tried, sometimes prolably given to partially hide the real offence. The compiler was enabled to aseertain that "unchasto comluct" meant a gross and hoatly asault by the "divino messenger" upon a laty's chastity, and that "uministerial conduct" ment cither "multery, rape, or seduction of somo susceptible sister."

A New Thaumaturatst. - The Moulvi or Mahomelan priest, who ereated such a sensation in Calentta, professed to work instantaneous and miracnlous cures of disentes of every description. The Indo-European Correspandence, deseribing him, says that "he took up his position by the banks of the Hoorsly mad was som surrounded by thousands of people ; for; as usial, his fame spreal like wild fire through the city; his method of proceediar was to breathe upon water brought him by the applicants from the sacren but very fonl stream in the carthenware vessels commonly used by the people. That the Moulvi has not redemed all his promises is pretty cerlain. A sais who drank of the water has had an attack of cholem, and an oh woman, a Christian, discarded the medicine she had been taking with proft, for the Moulvi's water, and straightway died."
Thnt's a sad begiming. But other papers speak of the many womerful cures mado by the man, who, for all wo know, might have, umber the pretext of breathing upon the water each time, mesmerized it. This would reduce the "mirates" to simple mesmeris phenomena.

The Statcsman, however, in connection with the Mahomedan wonder-worker, gives is some additional and fare more interesting information :-"The excitenent eatued anomg the masses in this city by the anexpected appearance of a stranger in it professing to be possesed of supernatural powers... has kept the mob of the city in commotion dur ing the last fortnight, hand not yet eren partally subsided, when it was increased by the appearance on the stage of another individual, known in Calcutla for some time past as a man endowed with genins and capacities of an extraordinary and superhman chanacter. Tho olject of the visit of the latter to the Commissioner of Polleo which, perhaps, is not gencrally know, will be pretty clearly perceived from the following espy of the petition presented to the Commissioner :-
"Calculta, Ilth October, 1881.

- To the Commissioner of Police, Calcutta.
"The humble pelition of Swami Brahmamada Sambwat Sankarachari Jagatguru, showeth,--
"That your petitioner being by profession Jagatraru is gencrally looked up to as tha sole protector of the Itindureligion, and as such is bound in duty to see that that religion is preserved intact.
"2. That, obedient to the call of this duty, he ap. proaches you with this petition, setting forth ectain events which have of tate been and are even now occurring every day which he considers to be indirect encroachment upon the Ilimbu religion.
"3. That your Worship's petitioner has come to lean that a certain Mahomedan Munlvi Moulara (son of Kammat Ali) of Junpore, is now on a visit to the city, iutent on making converts of the Hindus, anl that this his intention he is carying out by eertain means which to your petitioner appe to he extremely fonl.
"4. That the maletered masses of this vast city are deluded into the belief that great sanctity is attached to his personality, and that a vesel of water containing a quantity of jira (a kinil of spice) when bown over by him, becomes an infallible remedy for all sorts of di cascs, however dangerous.
" 5 . That your petitioner is informed......that this process of blowing over is accompanied ly certain incmatations peculiar to the Moslems, and your petitioner makes no hesitation to affirm that a person driuking this water unconsciously becomes a Mahomedan.
"(i. That your petitionger further states that the educated members of the LIindu community may well take care of themselves and their religion, but he camot say the same thing of the untutored mass who are likely to be led away by a designing person.........
" 7. 'That your petitioner submits that if the Meulvi is really possessed of any supernatural power which ho feigns, he may be asked to cross the river with wooden sandals, or he may be required to fly in tho air, or to drink a guantity of molten lead, or in the event of his not modertaking to perform any of these feats, lie may be asked to cure a blinil man and a leper, that the public, the unclueated portion of the Ilimu society specially, may he able to see through the veil and to judge of the truth or falsity of his pretensions.
"For these reasons your petitioner humbly prays that an order to this eflect be issued under your seal, and your petitioner, as in duty bound shall ever pray."
" We are tuld," remarks the Brahmo Public Opinion" hat Swani Brahmanda Earaswati Sambarachari is himself prepared to undertake the performance of the minacles he would have his untagonist exlibit before the public."

Were the two thamaturgists allowed to give a public exhibition of their "supernatural" powors, both with the avowedly landable object of proving the truth and efficacy of their respective failhs, India would become the seene of a mare spectacle indeed. What with the adrent of Mr. Eglington, the first gennino medium in a comntry where hitherto Yogis and astrologers have reigace supreme, aud the two "mita-cle"-working saints, what would become of the scientific Materialism of the young Itimdu and Mahomedin graduates? The fatal year 1881 woukd end by witnessing a secne which would carry the public back to the first century of the Chinistian era, to those days when Simon Magns wrestled for supremacy of magic powers with the Apostlo Peter. Which of tho two-the Mabomedau Moulvi or tho Lindu Jagatgura who, int the act of flying in the nir would callise his rival to fall and "break his leg," as the Christian legend shows Peter to havo done? The contest between them, might becoms one of absorbing interest to the believers in Allahi and Trimurti and, no doubt, would give iise to most ingenious theories among our missionary friends.

A Sagacious Answer was given by that school-boy, who when nsked "who Martin Luther was" unhesitatingly replied : "Martha Luther was the other virgin. who went with the Virgin Mary to the seputchre with a joy of myrih in her" hands to pour into the tomb, lant the one virgin outtian the other,-I don't kow which." (Catholic Tab.'ct.)

Tire Prospectrs of the Chuncia in the Ishand, seem very bright, (the Church meaning the Roman Catholic, und the Island standing for Ceylon as we understand) while the future of the Church in Europe as represented black and gloomy, if we have to believe $t$ t: Tablet an ultra-Catholic organ. It makes Bishop Boujenn, of Jaffin, in his public pastoral, draw the attention of his faithful flock to the lieat-remuling condition of the religious feeliug in the West, and to "tho dismal work of destruction which sadlens all honest hearts in many countrics of Europe." "You may not fully realize the magnitude and extent of the evils" the Bishop is made to say," which have drawn forth from the heart of the Supreme Pastor this ery of anguish. Yon have not bofore you the heart-rending sight of holy Bishops draggicd from their sees and sent into exile, of innumerable religious houses violently broken into, and their pious immates brutally expelled and thrown houseless, without resoureces upon the wide world, as if the spectacle of their spotless lives was too much for a wicked generation to bear. You have not seen the holy city of Rome invaded by lawless ndenturers (i. e. the Royal lamily) its holy places desectated, its convents
turned into barrack-rooms, its treasures of piety, of ant and of seience dilapitated, and the Father of us all a close prisoner in his own palace, in the midst of that city which, hy so many imperishable titles, is his..... Youmay, perhaps, find it hard in convinee yourselves that the Chureh is really in such peril as to call for exceptional exertions on the part of her children to help her. But the fact is nevertheless so ; and the Holy Father preclaims it in words of no mecertain sound. The fact, the lamentable fact is, that Europe is entangled in a vast network of seeret societics culisted " against the Lord and against II is Christ" (Psalm ii. 3) ; pledged not to rest nutil, by in iquitous laws and by lawless deeds, and by the use of foree and ley seduction--above all, by entrapping the youth in their Goilless State or Government schools, where under the flimsy pretene of respecting the conseience of all they teach downright infulelity--to weep off the face of the earth the holy religion of Chris."

This cloquent address was wound up with the ustual epilegue. Reminded of the finct that the bright prospects for the future, the prosperity of the Catholies of Ceylon and Jaffan expecially, depended upon the prosperity of the "IIoly Associations of the lropagation of the Faith and of the Ifoly Chiddhood," and both these Associations depending in their turn upon" those Catholie nations anong whom has hithertu been reernited that large army of givers of half-pence by which such ill immense anomit of gool has been effected, not here only, but in all foreign missions,"-a collection of coppers was made among the finthiful of Juffun.
"Ske," Sab an Eechestastic, hohding ont a bow of money before Thomas $A_{\text {guinas }}$ " Hlac Chureh has no louger to say, 'Silver and gold have I none." "True," replied the stern astetic, "and no longer is she able to say to the lame man, 'Rise and walk.' "-(l'hrenological Journal).

Infidelitr in Geimany is describel by Prof. Cliristiel, a well-known livangelical theologian-in his disquisitions upon the "Breach between molern Culture and Chrisianity" in the following terms:
"A look into our own town charehes shows at once the estrangement of the great majority of our colucated clases from the Christian faith. If in the towns, whether you visit the lee-ture-rooms of professors, the council chambers of the municipality, the barmeks of the soldier or the shop of the artizan, everywhere you hear the same tale. The ohd faith is now obsolete and only ignommuses and hypocrites pretend to alliere to it any longer. But alas! all the factors of our modern intellectual life are hargely influenced by a prevaling spinit of un-belief-sare first our miversities mad schools; wherets among our theologians the old spirit of rationalism is in a great measure overcome. It is ruite otherwise among the teachers in our upper sehools, and so also the semi-cultured temehers in our popular sehools. Such being the condition of our grammar sehools, who can wonler that fewstudents at the universities, execpt those studying theology, should go to charch? A large elase of Goverument officials are for the most part indifferent or hostile to Christianity. A furdier grance at out modern literature will exhibit the mlmost abysimal profundity of the ehasm which divides our present culture from our Christimity. Our daily press, in far the largest mumber of instances, take up a perfectly indifferent, if not positively hostile, position. Are not all of these eighs of the times which exhibit the present breach between culture and Christianity as most deplorably deep and wile? It may then, I fear, be afficmed with truth that the great matsis of our educate 1, and yet more of our halfeellucated chases in this our fithertand is alienated from all positive Cluistianity. Our diplomatists, almost withont exception, the great majority of ollicers in the army, our Govermment officials, hawyers, ductors, teachers of all kimbs except professed theologians, atiste, manufacturers, merchants and artisans, stand on the basis of a merely rationalistie and nominal Christianity; while the luwer and midalte classes excepting the agriculturists and peasantry assinue a mory or less hostile pusition towards it. The chasm is wider than most of us would allow."

This may be due to "hman wickedness" as also - to the fitness of things for all we know. Wo believe it, howe ver, to be the result of a cause summed up in a few words in the "Notes and Extracts" of the Religio-Philosophical Journal. "In riew of the amazing discrepancies" it says "if the Bible is really the Word of God, we will ask our evangelical friends whether God contradicts God, or whether the second person of the tuinity is at raritace with the first? "..... and again "the Christian religion is a pribeciple, and requires first the lowering of the human standard. It is asked that men consider themselves as beings diseased; that they are a mass of putrifying matter; that they are lepers; outcats from Goil, living at enmity with ham, and only through his indulgence are they permitted to walk over the plains of earth, or cren to give expression to thonght." Ouly thif, men-mothing more......

Two Remarkable Inilds are deseribed by Captain IIeayyside, in his report on survey operations in Khandeish and the Bombny Native States, in the Mangya Tungya rauge, which ecparates Khandeish from Nassick. These hills, which are about three-quarters of a mile apart, ise 2,500 feet above the valley. Their summits are huge basaltic columns, each 200 feet high, and Cnptain Heavyside says " $i t$ is difficult to imagine anything in mature more typical of the monolith rising from a pyramidal base, with which artists have made us familiar; but here the scale is colossal, and so much the more mangificently grand." Round the base of the western summit a terrace runs partly the work of nature, and partly artificial. On the north sido the terrace is some 10 or 12 feet wide, and here there are five temples "built in the shadow of the rock," and also three cave temples which were probably begun by Buddhists, but have been finished and taken over by the Mahrattas. The face of the rock above the terrace is studded with figures of gods and goddesses.-Tablet.

Dr. Tanner and Co. are thrown in the shem'ow by a religious mendicaut of the Juin caste who is reported to have just completed a ninety-one days' fast at Hahlanput. An eyewiness describes in a Surat paper the appearauce of the man 'on the last day of the penance." The "saint," says the writer', "underwent a fast of 86 days last year, and has been more or less accustomed to this fom of infliction. When seen on the ninety-first day of the recent fast, his abdomen had so much subsided as to form the shape of a pit ; the veins were much swollen and he seemed to speak only with great effort. He was seated on a blanket in a comer, and had nen him the sour water of curclled milk, which he sometimes drank. He soemed, however, to be capable of physical exertion and up to last day procured the curdled milk-water for himself. He was all along cugrossed in prayer, and held no communication with other nen, except on religious topies. The man has spent his life in strict asceticism, and has denied himself all food nad luxury, save what night be got from the milk-wnter; bread, and yellow rice. Ilis bedding consists of an ordiuary blonket, and nothing more. Many Jains undergo penances, but it is said that this man's efforts in this direction are mapproached by even his most devoted co-religionists, aud he has drawn to hinself a Jargo following of Shrawaks. He nccepts, however, no prescuts and no fees."-(Amrita Bazar l'atrika.)

Madame H. P. Blavatsky, Corresponding Secretary of the Parent Society, returned to Bombay, on the 29th of November. All private correspondence should, therefore, be now addressed to her at the Head-Quarters at Bombay,
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# stiplement <br> TO 

## our work in the n.-w. provinces AND OUDH.

Uur work in the N.-W. Provinces this scason hats been of an important uature. Madame Blavatsky initiated during the last month many gentlemen, European and native, at Dehra Dun, Saharumpore and Meerut on her way from Lahore, where, by the way, there is every probability of our having two Branches of the Theosophical Society. Mr. S. J. Padshah on his way from Bombay to Lucknow was present at Allahabad on the 6th ultimo, to assist at the formation of the Prayág Branch. From Allahabad, Mr. Padshah proceeded to Lucknow, where he was received by H. R. H. Prince Mirza Soliman Kadir Bahadur with great cordiality. 'lhe Prince, the most enlightened menber of the famity of the ex-King of Oudh, was initiated as a Fellow on the 11th. His Highness applicd for and reeeived a Charter, empowering him to form a Branch at Lucknow, andwe have no doubt that we shall be able to soon amounce that a powerful and active Lucknow 'Lheosophical Society has been inaugurated. Arrangements have been made for hearing Mr. Padshah lecture twice in the listorical Kaiser Bagh. Mr. Padshah has been cordially welcomed by the representatives of the Press in Lucknow.

From Lucknow, Mr. Padshalı proceeded to pay a Hying visit to Bareilly, the capital of Kohilcund, where he met Matame Blavatsky by appointment. There they initiated several applicants into the Society. A Branch to be called "The Rohilcund Theosophical Society" was formed at Bareilly :also. The erection of a Theosophical Hall for the Branch is seriously contemplated, and we are sure tise Bareilly Branch will be the outcome of the immediate future.

## THE ADHI BHOUTIC BHRATRU THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

A charter for the formation of a Branch of the 7'heosoplical Society, under the above name, at Berhampore (Bengal), was applied for aud granted to Babu Nobin Krishna Banerjce, Deputy Collector and Magistrate of Berhampore, who had come down to the Head-Quarters specially for that purpose and for necessary instruction. He left Bombay on the 14 th November to meet Madame Blavatsky at Allahabad on his way to Berhampore. We hope to give in our next the bye-laws of the Branch, as also the names of the officers elected.

## NATIVE LADIES' THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETIES.

The rules for the formation of these societies, carefully framed by one acquainted for long years with India and its various castes and observances, will be printed in the Jatruary Number. The first Zenana Branch that is about to be opened, will be at Berhampore, Bengal, where there are already a fow native ladies who have joined the I'heosophical movement.

## BONBAY THEOSOPHICAL SUCIETY.

At the regular monthly mecting of the Society held at the Parent Society's Head-Quarters at Breach Candy, on Sunday, the (ith November, at $4 \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{M}$. Mr. Dámodar K. Mávalankar read a lecture on : "The Secret of Life." It lasted for a little over half an hour.

After a short discussion between the President 1)r. Dudley and the lecturer on some of the points in the lecture and a vote of thanks to the young gentleman from the audience, the mecting was adjourned.
$7 \mathrm{th}_{1}$ November 1881 .

## RULES OF THE <br> PUNJAB UNIVERSAL BROTHERHOOD ANI) 'THEOSOPEICAL SOCIETY (LAHORE). <br> (Presilent William Bull, Esq.)

I. 'I'o carry out its objects the Society has a body of uffivers, and a committce of management, who do all the work connected with the Siociety.
II. The body of officers consists of one President, two Joint-Secretaries and one 'Ireasurer, and the Committee of Management of from seven to twelve Members, with officers acting as ex-officio members.
III. The officers and the members of the Managing Committee are elected for one year at the Society's anmal gencral meetings from among the Society's members, and by them they may be re-elected any number of times.
IV. The President of the Society takes the chair at the meetings of the Managing Committee as well as at the ordinary meetings of the Society, and delivers an address at the beginning of the Society's year giving a reriew of the past year's actions of the Suciety, and offering suggestions for its future guidauce.
V. The Joint-Secretaries keep records of the proceedings and actions of the Suciety, read reports of the last meeting, and of the past year at the Ammal (dencral Meeting; reply to all official letters, correspond with individuals and other Societies in sympathy with the objects of their own, and convene ortinary as well as extraordinary meetings of the Managing Committec as well as ordinary meetings of the Society. The Joint Secretaries work together.
VI. The 'Treasurer is in charge of all monies belonging to the Society, kecps accounts of receipts and disbursements, collects subscriptions and donations, makes payments monder the sunction of the Seeretarics, and renders a quarterly account of income and expenditure to the Managing Committee.
VII. The Managing (iommittee mects when occasion arises, considers all matters connected with the Suciety, takes necessary steps for the accomplishment of the objects. of the Society, instructs the Secretaries to convene extraordinary general meetings, and appoints office-bearers and members of the M. C. when any vacancies occur.
VIII. Members only can vote at meetings of the Society, the nembers of the Managing Committee at meetings of that boly, the general members of the Society at the general meetings of the Society.
IX. All questions at all mectings of the Society are decidert by a majority of votes. When the number of votes is equally balanced, the Prosident has a casting vote, which decides the question.
X. Each member will have to pay a minimmm subscription of annas four a muntl.
XI. The rules of the Society can be altered, modified or changed at the annual general meeting of the Society; also at extraordinary general meetings convened for the purpose by the Secretaries with the sanction of the Managing Committee, and at no other time.
XII. If a borly of the Members of the Socicty larger than the Managing Committee with its ex-officio members send up a written requisition to the Secretaries, requesting: them to call an extraordinary general meeting, they are bound to convene it; if they do not call such a meeting within a reasonable period, the applicants can themselves convene the same.
XIII. All notices conceming meetings of the Society are issued at reasonable periods before their date of meeting with the objects of the incetings stated therein,

X[V. Members can be expelled only at the General Meetings of the Society.

PROSONNO COOMAR DEY,
Secretary.

## THE THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY AND ITS DETRACTORS.

Jn closing our accounts for the current year, we are happy to give some substantial proofs to our many societios and numerous Brethren, who have been lately deploring the insults to which the Founders of the Society have been so long subjected in Indit, that truth must triumph. There is a silver lining to every black cloud, and, as they will see, we have not remained without friends or clefenders.

## THE "SATURDAY REVIEW" AND THE "THEOSOPHIST."

In the July number of the Tifeosopist appeared a paper upon astrolngy, kindly communicated to this journal by Sardar B. V. Shastree, of Poona. This gentleman, than whon few are more generally respected by Anglo-Indians as well as lig the natives, committed the mistake of forwarling the number containing his article to the London Saturday Revicu. It might have been anticipated that the Satierday Review would see nothing but a subject for satire in an essay, scriously dealing with so unorthodox a topic as astrology; it was, therefore, useless to count the shallow and conceited criticism which was all that could be expected from a journal of that class. But the Sardar could not have foresecn, and is, therefore, wholly free from the moral responsibility of having provoked the results that actually ensued. As it fell out, the Suturday Review fastencel on the Turosol'hist which contained the article, specially intended for its inspection as a whole, and as a text for a comprehensive diatribe, aimed at occult inquiries of every sort, at the Theosophical Society as comected with these, and at the founders of the Theosophical Socicty in particular, and by name as guilty of the hcinous crine of recommending investigation into certain branches of knowledge which the Saturdity Reriew does not deign to explore and, therefore, conccives to be unwortly of exploration. The intellectual attitucle of that journal, in discussing the value of occult inquiries, is thus too foolish to excite any serious indignation; one can ouly suppose that an orthodoxy thus resting its claims to respect on its own indirect confessions of ignorance, must be unlikely to retain its hold on the intelligence of the world at large for very much longer. But the article in question, mifortunately, is something besides an appeal to the public to refrain from taking an interest in matters concerning which the Suturday Review chooses to shut its eyes; it is a very savage attack on the personal character of the formulers of the 'theosophical Society whom it pleases to designate as "unserupulous adventurers." It would be useless to attempt to characterise the journal which can apply such terms to people of whom it knows no more than that they believe in the existence of various principles in Nature that the Suturduy Revier knows nothing about. Fortunately it is unnecessary for the Tireosophis's to argue the questions concerning the founders of our Society which have thus been raised, as this has boen done on their behalf very kindly and very ably by Mr. A. O. Hume. That gentleman has addressed to the Saturday Reciew a letter which has meanwhile been very extensively published in India. Sont en premice lieu to the Civil and Military Gasette of Lahore, it is as follows:-
Sir,-lu a recent issue jou republished an article from the Stairday Revicu which, anongst other equally emoneous statemonls, designated Colonel Olcott and Madame Blavatsky "unseruIulous alventurers."
I enclose a coly of a letter addressed by me to the Elitor of the Saturddy Revien,? in regard to this article.

As you have seen tit to publish these false accusations, you will, I an sure, see the propriety of similarly publishing my enclosed refutation of them.

I am, Sir.
Yours obediently, A. O. Ниме.

## To the Editor of the "Saturder" Revieu."

Sir,--In a recent issue (that of September 3, 1881) you noticed a copy of a jounal, the Theosornist, which had been sent to you from India by some mative gentleman ; and in conmenting on this publication and on the subjects with which it professes to deal, you took occasion to call Madame Plavatsky and Colonel Olcott a couple of "unscrupulous adventurers," and you further expressad a doubt as to "whether Colonel Olcott's title was earned in the War of Secession or at the bar of a drinking saloon."

As regards Colonel Olcott's title the printed papers which I send by this same mail will prove to you that that gentleman is an officer of the American Army who rendered good service during the war (as will be seen from the letters of the Judge Aclvocate-General, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Assistant Secretaries of War and of the Treasury), and who was sufficicutly well known and esteemed in his own conntry to induce the President of the Uuited States to furnish him with an autograph letter of introduction and recommendation to all Ministers and Consuls of the United States on the occasion of his leaving America for the East, at the close of 1878.

Surely this is scarcely the kind of men to whom the opithet "unscrupulous adventurer" can be justly applied.

I may add, from my uwn knowlelge, that a purer-miuded, more noble, or more self-devoted gentleman than Colonel Olcott does not exist. He may be right or wrong in his belief, but to the cause of that belief he has devoted his furtune, energies, and the remainder of his life ; and while I can quite understand many treating him as a fanatic, I confess that I arm surprised at a papar, of the high class to which the Saturday Roview belongs, denouncing such a man as an " unscruculous adventurer,"

As regards Madame Blavatsky (in Russia still
"Son Excellence
Madame la Generale
Helene P. Blavatsky "
thougle she lropped all titles on becoming a naturalized American citizen). She is the widow of General N. V. Blavatsky, Governor during the Crimean War, and for many years, of Erivan iu Armenia. She is the ellest diughter of the late Colonel Hahn, of the Russian Horse Artillery, and grand danghter of Princess Dolgorouki of the elder branch which dien with her. The present Princess Dolgorouki belongs to the younger branch. The Countess Ida V. Haln-Hahn was Madame Blavatsly's'father's first cousin. Her father's mother married, after her husband's death, Prince Vassiltchikoff. General Fideyeff, rell known eveu to English readers, is her mother's youngest brother. She is well known to Prince Loris Melikoff, and all who were on the staff, or in society, when Prince Michael S. Woronzoff was Viceroy of the Caucusus. Prince Emile V. Sayn Wittgenstein consin of the late Enopress of Russia, was an intimate friend of hers, and corresponded with her to the day of his death, as has done his brother Ferdinand, who lately commanded some Regiment (Cossacks of the Guard I think), in Turkestan. Her auut Madame de Witte, who like the rest of her family corresponds regularly with her, and ind eed her whole family, are well known to Prince Dondonkoff Korsakoff, at present GovernorGeneral of Odessa. *
I could add the names of seores of other Russian nobles who are well acquainted with her; for she is as well known and connected in Russia as Lady Hester Staubope was in England; but I think I have said enongh to convince any impartial person that she is scarcely the kind of woman likely to be an " unacrupulous adventuress.'

Ladies are not generally prone to taking fancies to outside larlies; there is very commonly a little suppressed sex-jealousy of those onjecially who are cleverer than themselves; but Madame Blavatsky has lived for months at a time in my louse, and is certainly one of the cleverest women I ever met, and yet all the ladies in my house have learnt to love dearly this energetic, crotchety, impulsive, selfdevoted old woman. Any one may sether down as a mystic or a visionary, but no one who knows her cau doubt her all-consuming faith in the mission to which she has ancrificed her life.

But, after all, can you rightly call people adventurers who not only make no money out of the cause they espouse, but, on the contrary, spend on it every farthing that they can spare from their private means? If not, then assuredly Colonel Olcott and Madame Blatvatsky are not adventurers, for to iny certain knowledge they have spent on the Theosophical Society over $\mathfrak{E D}, 000$ (two thousand pounds) more than its total receipts. The accounts have been regularly andited, printed and publishe!, so that any one may satisfy themselves on this bead.

But it will be asked what is this graud cause ? It is the formation and rleveloproent of the Theosophical Society, the objects of which, as stated in the published rules, are as follows :-

* From whom, moreover, a fortnigat ago, Mme. Elavatsky bis unexpect. edly reccived a most friendly and sympathetic private letter, the oririma of which has been seen by many friends whose testimony on the subject could easily be obtained, if necossary.-Ed,

First.-To form the nucleus of an Un'versal Brotherhood of Humanity.
Second. - To study Aryan literature, religion, and science.
Third. - To vindicate the importance of this emquiry.
Fourth. -To explore the hidden mysteries of nature aud the latent powers of unan:
Now, these objects may be considered Utopian or visionary, but they seem to meinoocent enougl, and hardly the kind of objects that would satisfy unscrupulous adventurers.

There are many other misconceptions involved in the article muder reference, to which objection might reasonably be taken ; but these are perhaps of less importance. All I desire now to make clear is that so far from being " nuscrupulous adventurers," Colonel Olcott and Malame Elavatsky are very worthy, unworldy, unselfish, pure-minded people, who are devoting their time, their $^{\text {and }}$ $\mathrm{pr}^{\text {opperty }}$, and their lives to a callse which even, if Utopian, is probjectionable, and may incidentally be productive (indeed, it already has been so) of mach good.

> I remain, yours obedicutly, Late Secy. to the Coov. Ho India.

Before this letter had time to get to London, the Suturday Review seems to have been addressed on the subject of its scandalous attack, by some champions of Madame Blavatsky and Colonel Olcott in England. For, in the issue of September the 17 th, it publishes the following explama-tion:-
"We have receivel a letter from a friend of Colonel Olcott objecting to oome strictures which we lately made upon that gentleman Rud Madame Blavataky as fonulors of the so-called Theosophical Society of India. Our remarks were based upon the published accounts of their doings, which struch ws us bearing a suapicious resentblance to those of the 'spirit mediums' in Europe and A mericie. We are quite willing to accept our correspondent's statement that Colonal Olcott oceupied an honourable position in his own comery and to believe that both he and Madame Bla ratsky are credulous ent husiasts and not unserupulous adventurers. When, however, people promutgate pernicious theories und adopt practices which, under another newine, Mave been authoritatively pronounced illegal and mischievols, they must not be surpriser if, in the absence of private information as to their biography, they lay themselves open to adverse critieism.
The passage above italicised shows the Saturday Reviev blundering in its facts again. But apropos to this unworthy onslaught it is unnecessary to go into the whole question. We will not discuss the point as to whether the theories which the Saturday Review erroneously conceives to be promulgated by us, would or would not be pernicious -if we did promulgate them, or as to whether the "practices" which are present to the cloudy understanding of our critics would be illegal, supposing us to recommend them. Eighteen centuries ago, Pliny and other magistrates have "authoritatively pronounced" the practices and theories promulgated by the early Christians "illegal and mischievous," and the followers of Christ were, no doubt, more than once accused of listening to, and believing in, the doctrines of an "unscrupulous adventurer." The Saturday Review has mixed up Theosophy and Spiritualism, two subjects in reference to the A B C of which in both cases it is absolutely in the dark, and has talked at them like a village scold in a passion. The blunders it makes on the questions it pretends to deal with, do not rise to the level of errors that can be refuted. Its remarks are all up in the air and mere idiotic nonsense.

Anyhow, the age of the Inquisition has gone by. Now, every man has a right, especially under the British constitution, to his or her belief,-whatever it may be--without fear of molestation by either Church or Stato. Journalism, however, seems to stand on neutral ground. The god of most editors being "Mrs. Grundy's" opinion, and his prophet-subscribers, whose hobbies and prejudices have to be humoured-many journalists will rather forget they are gentlemen than fail to satisfy their readers. The Saturday Review, great and honourable a reputation as it has, deservedly for many reasons, was guilty of such forgetfulness in its impression of Sept. 3, and descended to the level-one cannot say anything more cruel,-of the Civil and Military Gazette of Lahore, which paper, though it copied the disgraceful abuse of the Saturday Review's first article, shamelessly forebore to reprint its subsequent retractation.

We have, however, to tender our best thanks to the Saturday Review. Its attack upon us has called out another defence. This once, it is the Lahore Tribume which raises its friendly voice:-
The Saturday Review, on a recent occasion, took the opportunity when reviewing a number of the Theosophist to attack Madame Blavatsky and Culonel Oleote in the most unseemly manner, branling them amongst other things as "unserupulons adventurers."
Now, budd az this was, some excuse may be made for the Suturdu ${ }^{y}$ Revieu, since with the chronic ignorance of all Indian subjects which claracterizes tho European Press, the Editor may possibly have written in good faith and believed what he sain.
Bat the (fivil anel Mi'itury fincette defibarately republished this tissue of falsehood and libel, and, for the credit of Indian journalism, we should be glad to believe that the Elitor was in a hopeless state of ignorance as to the real facts of the cisse, which alono could relieve him from the charge of bad faith that the republication here without comment of such outrageons statements must prima facie involve.
However, this is a question that we do not eare to discuss further. There are, wo fear, dirty corners in inost earthly things, even in Indian jouraalism, and the less cortain unsightly heajs are stirred, the less their emamations offend refined tastes.
Mi. Hume hall written to the Saturday $R$ :view, pointing out that whatever view might be takeu of the practicaliility of Colonel Olcott's and Mme Bliavatsky's schems, they conle not at any mate pessibly be elassed as "nuscrupulous alventurers," and he hial adder the expression of his conviction, founded on long and intimate personal intercourse, that whether wise or foolish, of sober julgment or fanaties, the founders of the Theosophical Society were at any rate, thoroughly honest, sincere and well-intentioned, liviug pare and blameless lives and devoting themselves entirely aud mselfishly to their cause.
Iu this verdict, every body who has watehed the Theosophical movement will, we believe, heartily concur. There may be Brothers or there maty not--they may be a reality or the ervitions, in all good faith of Madance Blavatsky's enthusiasm; this is not a point that can be argued in a journal like ours. Fat we camont but feel that the whole tendency of this movement is to raise us in our own esteent, to awake "the pride of former days," and to drag us out of our long lethargy to the pereeption that in our own ancient literature, scienco and religion, there yet hink "gems of purest ray serene," gems as prieeless as any that ghiter in the flashing coronet of Westera culture.
Nor can we fail to realize that to this work the foumders of the Theosophical Society, braving the sueers aud calumy of all the self-sufficient disciples of Western seience, relinquishing all that to most mortals makes life sweet, gool repute, position, turtune, ease and rest, are unselfishly and, we fear, for the most part, unthankelly devoting themselves. Differ, therefore, as we may ou other points, there are none of us who can be other than intiguant at vile attacks on the personal character of Madame Blavatsky and Colonel Olcott, such as those ombodied in the Suturday Revien's article above referred to ; and noue but must feel a certain pride and pleasure in a temperate refutation of the worst of those slanders, such as Mr. Hume has furnished in his Jetter to the Suturcluy, and which our erring contemporary has at last hat the grace to publish."

It appears, however, that defence notwithstanding, tho Civil and Jfilitary Gazetle has once more eased its vindictive feelings by an indirect attack upon us. This once, it comes under the safe guise of a letter written by a "European officer;' and recently answered by Mr. Ross Scott, F.T.S., in the same paper. "Spurgere roces in vulyum ambiguas" seems to be that un-Civil Gazette's motto, and it is with beat of drum that it spreals such news. Meanwhile the Lahore Tribune vents its indignation in the words that follow-"In its correspondence columns it published a letter the other day from, it says, a European officer, charging the above Society with disloyal motives. The wise correspondent even goes so far as to say that Theosophists were probably at the bottom of the riots at Multan (!!!) The C. \&. M. Gazette is a standing disgrace to the world of Indian Journalism."

Amen! Could some of our Punjabee Brothers inform us by the way-(1) whether there is a lunatic asylum at Lahore and if the answer is in the affirmative, (2) whether that mad-house is furnished with cells and straight-jackets strong enouglito Lold "European officers" afflicted with such hallucinations, and editors capable of giving them room on their columns?

Again, the Amrita Buzaar Patrika of 27th October contains a most frieudly editorial in our defence, which we reprint further on. When the Saturdiy Review published its libellous and ungentlemanly attack on
the Theosophists, it must have little thonglit what service it was reudering us in turning attention to Theosoply. We ought really to feel grateful to the English Leerier. Instead of the hundreds of persons who were before aware of the existence of our socicty, thousands will now be filled witla curiosity to know what, in reality, is this much abusen borly and what are the crime; which could have brought such a torrent of vituperation upon it...Interest will be aroused, and the society will grow in proportion to the violence of the abuse. In reference to the elitorial we may remark that if not a little surprised to find one of the best London journals disgracing its columns with such a slanderous attack as that published in the Saturday, we were not at all astonished to see it reproduced in full in the Cial and Military Gazette. The action of the latter can only be regariled as matural and consistent with its usual policy. The Saturday Review con, the Civil und Militury (as now conducted) cannot disgrace itself. Even after being forced to publish Mr. IIume's letter, protesting against the uncalled-for and libellous assertions, a fow days later, the Lahore daily appenred again with an editorial which, under the mask of pretentious, heary and as clunsy witticisms, was full of insinuations directed against Colonel Olcott and Madane Blavatsky-2very ons of them being either a malicious innuendo, or an impudent untruth. In such attacks it plays a dangerous game, as aimed at us its sarcasms rebound and fall on itself. It pounces on Mr. Hume's kiul and friendly remarks in defence of Colonel Olcott, and trying to be satirical asks, why to the epitleets "pure-minded," "noble" and "self-clevoted", Mr. Hume does not add "high-tonel" when speaking of the American Colonel. Now, to hear the Lahore Guzette speak of "high-toned" suggests very strongly a Nicobar islander asking a European sailor why lie does not eat with a silver knife aud fork insteal of using his fingors. Tiue Punjab Gutselto talking of what it has hitherto been as ignorant as a newborn babe of the elcments of Euclid! It is truly too ridiculons. We lave never known or heard evell of a poorest Hindu editor of a third-class native paper who has been guilty in a whole year of such a number of gross vulgarities, and of such ball taste as the Civil and Mílitary Gavette displays in any one of its numbers selectad at random. It is this spirit of rampant sycophancy, of loathsome flattery and flunkeyism before every Anglo-Indian power, and at the same time its vulgar and brutal abuse of every thing and of all it thinks uuable to defend themselves, that has given it the reputation it now enjoys throughout the Pumjab and the N.-W. Proviaces. Its sneers against the Theosopinsi are, to say the least, absurd. That our journal which has been little more than tro years in existence and is devoted mostly to philosophical and mystical subjects, has contained at times, in Mr. A. O. Hume's worls, "paragraphs utterly indefensible both in taste and tone" owing, for the most part, to our absouce, is a fact which we sincerely regret. It was due to the desire of the managers to enliven its columns, full of very abstruseheuce, for some, rather too dry metaphysics-by reprinting scraps from American and even provincial English newspapers. This, however, only leaves the journal guilty of reprinting occasionally other people's vulgarities, not of filling its columns with original abuse and clunsy witticisms worthy, for the most part, of a mountebank at a conutry fair as is the caze almost daily with the Civil and Military. We have never hesitated to admit the defects of our paper, and to gratefully thank every well-meaning, respectable critic who with courtesy points them out to us; but surely it is not the editor or ellitors-Heiven save the mark !-of the Civil and Military Gazette who can be considered capable of what educated people are accustomed to call fair and gentlemanly criticism. There are men to whom the instincts of a born gentleman are as foreign as the smell of a violet is to a negro'sskin and as well expect kindnessand decsut langurge from a lackney carriage driver who believes himself cheated out of his full fare and knows there is a mile between him and the nearest policeman. Hence neither Colonel Olentt
nor Madame Blavatsky can be in any way the worse, either as private individuals or as editors of the Treosophist for being called "quacks," "impostors" and what not by the Civil and Military Gazette of Lahore. Colonel Olcott, regarded in America and elsewhere as a gentloman by all those who know him, cannot feel hurt at any thing such a rabid, ill-conditione: paper can say of him ; nor as one of the late editors of the New York Tribune, for years the wellknown organ of Horaca Greeley, can he feel dismayed by any opinion the editor of the said paper malay express regarding hinn and his "tone." The conductor of the Civil Gazette, umaware that any thing he might say of the Colonel and of Malame Blavatsky, would only amuse them with a gallantry and courage for which he is so preeminently distinguished-goes on attacking a woman and a foreigner, whose peculiar and uupopular views seem to ensure him, as he thinks, perfect inpunity. The too sanguine editor may, perhaps, at some time discover his mistake. Mannwhile, having, wa are afraid, disfigured our columus too much alrealy,-ive do hop: for the last time-with the mention of that very uncivil person, we close our remanks to give plase to the e litorial mentionell at the begiuning of this article.

## (From the Anrita Bazaw Patrika.) <br> the russian and american friends.

It is all very well to talk of Mrugna est veritas et prevalelit, and so it doubtless does in the end, but most truthis seem to take a very long tim 3 in extablishing themselves, aud there is probably no truth which will have to wait longer bafore it does prevail, at auy rate with our European Aryan brethren, than this, that all wis ban and learaing, science and truth, are not absolutely thair exclusive birthright. As matteris stand the placid salf-colaplacancy with which almost all Western minds contemplate their owa attainments and the supposed folly, ignoranes, and suparstition of their Eastern representatives, would ba really delightful, were it not indirectly productive of so much evil. So ingrained is this self-exaltation, that it is only necessary for any Earopana, rending the fetters of harelitary prejudice, to discover and proclain that there is som 3thing good, something of value, something desitable of attaimment in our Estern literature, ssienca or religion for his fellows at once to branal him as forl, mulmun or rogue.

Lat it not be supposed that we attribute to them in such caves any waut of gool fiith-it is in all sincerity that like the Jews of old they ask " can any good come out of Nazureth?" Their self-esteem is 8) overwituning, thair satisfaction with their own limited materialistic knowledge so intense, that lonestly and truly they cannot conceive any sane, sensible and upright man discovering anything good and still less anything better than what they already know-in either our literature, our science, or our religion.

It is well known that for some years past Col. Olcott and Madame Blavatsky, the founders of the Theosophical Society, have been zealously labouring to revivify our indigenous learning and to convince an age, oblivious of past glories, that good as might be in its way of modern Western physical science, there was a ligher good, lying forgotten in our ancient Eastern paychical science.
These good friends of ours and of India actually persisted that there were things in our old Eastern philosophies not dreamt of by Western science, that there were truths, spiritual aud scientific still extant, though almost forgotten amongst us, ligher than any to which the materialistic West has over attained, that it behoved us, the lineal descendants of the sages of old, the sulecessors to their priceless lore, to neglect no longer their precious legacy, but rather by earnest study auil patient investigations, to qualify ourselves to teach our Western masters, lessons higher, deeper, sterner than anything they live ever taught us.

Can it be wondered at that at such audacity the European community stool aghast, or that they heaped upon the propounders of such a theory every injurious epithet of which their meagre vocabulary was master?

Spies, knaves, fools, impostors, cheats and what not, were amongst the titles so generously bestowed on them; an European police officer dogged their footsteps from Bombay to Meerut and back again; there was a general consensus that Government really ought to deport them; there was no language too severo in which to denounce them; but, as in the case of that "terrible curse" which has male the Arclibishop of Rheims so famous,
"What gave rise, to no little suryprise
Was that nolody seemed one penay the worse." The fact was that as time went on, the pure, unselfish and self-denying lives led by the founders of the Society, gradually caused a change in even that blundest of all evolutes, Anglo-Indian Public Opinion. It began to be realizel, that though these people might be fanatics, and enthusiasts, no moral delinquencies could be laid to their charge, and, for some time past, except in a vary few illconditioned secoud-rate prints, we have seen no unseemly abuse of eitlier Col. Olcott or Malame Blavatsky.
People langhed at then and ridiculed their pretensions, but they hal come romul to feel that whatever the founders' qualifications for their self-imposed and vast task, they were at any rate lonestly labouring and at their own cost, day and night, to achieve it.
Suddenly some scoundrel, we use the term advisedly, for the man who insults and maligns an imocent woman, from what he thinks is a safe asylimm, is a scoundrel ; some scommirel, we repeat, obtains admission for an article in the Saturduy Review abnsing and vilifying both Colonel Olcott and Madame Blavatsky, asserting that both were mserupulons alventurers, fit subjects to be dealt with by the Police, \&c. \&c. As soon as this article was noticed in India, a letter was addressel to the editor of the Saturlay Revicuo (which, despite the accilental appearance in its columns of this discreditable article, is a respectable paper), pointing out the erroneons and unwarrantable character of the assertions made. This will doubtless appear in due course in Englind, as the editor of the Suturiday Reriew is presumably a gentleman, and the article is distinctly libellons, and with this refutation at home, the matter might have ended.

But as there is always some wretched dog that runs across the race course jint when it "did'nt ouglt," so there is always some journal found to republish any article con.spicuous for bad taste or malignity, or, as in the present case, both, as no one else mished forward to secure the plume fallen from the wings of the loathly vulture scandal, the Civil and Military Gazette boldly came to the front and reproduced the objectionable article in full.

It does not surprise us to perceive that on this the ellitor was called on to reproduce also the refutation of the original article that lad been sent to the Salurday Reviev, nor, indeed, the article being clearly actionable, that he complied with the demand. In our next we hope to reprint this refutation (we will not disgrace our pages with the article that gave rise to it) which is well worth pernsal, and perfectly couclusive.

Conclusive that is to say so far as it goes, for Mr. Hume, the writer of the reply in the Review only professes to show that Col. Olcott and Mme. Blavatsky are not "unscrupulous alventurers" but, on the contrary, love-worthy, and honest people, and this, no native of India, who has watched the gradual development of their scheme, will question.

But there are many other points in the article in the Saturday Review that we should like to see tonched on, and many other gross misconceptions that we could have wished refuted.

Thus, the Scturlay remarks that Madame Blavatsky's clief clain to attention, is the invention of a certain mystic brotherhood, which with the customary western assumption of omniscience, it sets down, being entirely ignorant on the subject, as a pure fiction.

Can anything be more absurd when there are hundreds and thousands, if not hundreds of thousands of us in India, who know that this mystic brotherhood exists, aye, and, have existed for thousands of years, who know the paths
by which men like ourselves rose to join that sacred fellowship, and who have more or less knowledge of the spiritual truths to which they lave attained?
But the West can conceive nothing to be possible of whlich it is ignorant. It is unable to realize that Western intellect working along one line, the physical, has indeed in this marle marvellous progress, but is yet grossly ignorant of the even more marvellous results at which Eastern minds, working for thousands of years along another liue, have arrived. The blattant West has ever paraded every scrap of its so-called knowledge which deals only with phenomena, to which it has attained, but the silenit East that deals only with nommena, that has grasped the only true knowledge, what we are, whence we come, whither we go, has ever veiled her attaimments in saced secrecy.
The men of the West are brave, energetic, practical and deeply skilled in physical science, but they are materialistic, ignorant of psychical science and the higher spiritual trutis, and so stecped in self-conceit that they deem everything unknown or inexplicable to them, false or impossible.
It las leen gooll for us that they have brought us peace, fairly good Government and their Western culture ; but it is baal for us that they have in their blind contempt for all they do not know, trimpled out or nearly so our national pride in that religion, science, and literature which we have inherited from a thousind gencrations and that by their materialistic Western system of edncation they have almost blotted out from our cognizance the higher and eternal spiritual truths that lie veiled in our ancient literature:

Be it so. All things in their appointed cycle. The sun is still there, though the carth turns away from him.

But are better times never to return? The night has been long and weary; will the dawn never come?

It may be but the dream of some fow tired watelers, but to us it seems as if cenen now there were a glimmer in the East.......

## MADAME BLAVATSKY ANI) COLONEI. OLCOTY'.

## TO THE EDTMOR " OEYLON TMES."

Sir,--In taking over the paragraph from the Bombay Gazette about Malame Blavatsky and myself, you have taken over at the same time that paper's remark" sucely the status of both these notable Theosophists is capable of verification, and it is for the interests of both that it shond be placed beyoud all donbt or cavil by the production of 'papers' which would set the matter at rest for ever." Aud as your attitule towards ourselves has always been, so far as I know, one of self-respectful inpartiality, I will do for you, what I have scorned and ever will scom to do, for the bigoted editors who have been abusing us so shamelessly and without canse. I send you herewith for inspection a packet of original official documents, emanating from highly placed officers of the American War, Navy, Treasury, and State Departments to and about myself, which show that my personal, and official chamater at home, is without spot or blemish. They show that since my antival in India, and down to a very recent date-only a few weeks, in fact--I have been loing favours for the State Department, the Quarter-Master-General, U.SA., and the Smithsonian Institution, ly the collection of information about commerce, Army Tentage, and Ethmology. I invite you to subject these documents to the closest scrutiny of Inspector-General of Police, the Queen's Advocate, the Bishop of Colombo, or any other public officer, or private analyst, who is supposer to be capable of selecting an "adventurer" at sight. Throngh the American Consul, the American Minister at london, or any other channel, you are at liberty to inquire into my 'status' and my services to my comntry during, before, and sineo the war. These papers show that I have been associated on public committees and on terms of perfect equality, with the most eminent of American Statosmen (indlut.
ing the late Prosident Garfield), merehants and bankers. If you will take the trouble to lave enquiry made, you will also find that as a lawyer I have had such great clients as the Corporation of New York City, the N. Y. Stock Exchange, the Mutual and Equitable Life and Continental Life Insurance companics, and the Gold Exchange Bank, and Panama Railway Co.; and that in the year 1870 I was retained by the New York representatives of the United steel-mannfacturers of Sheffeld to proceed to England and arljust a very large customs revenue clain laid against them by the U. S. Government. But I will not enter into a catalogue of the easily-fomm proofs that go to show that the vile and libellous epithet of "unserupulous adventurcr;" flung at me by the Saturday Revieu", is as little applicable to me as to any man living. The last thing desired by the enemies of the Theosophical Society is-I most sally affirm--that the status of its founders "should be placed beyond all doubt or cavil." The sole weapon they com use against us is stander and ridicule, and they neither want our characters clearch, nor will publish the facts. Take, as an example, this very libel of the Suturday Revirn. The editor printed it on the 3rol of September, without a scintilla of evidence before him that was prejulicial to our good name. On the 17 th of the same month he published a retractation confessing that the remarks" were based upon the pullished accounts of their doings, which struck us as bearing a suspicious resemblance to those of the 'spivit mediums' in Europe and America." Upon such warranty as this one of the first journals of England did not hesitate to brand an imnocent lady and gentleman with the most opprobrious of cpithets! I have just made a flying visit to the Madras Presidency to organize a new branch of our Society. On the day of my arrival at Timevelly, the Missionary presses at Palamcotah issuer a pmophlet entitled "Thcosophy," without imprint or any other sign of its origin, which was simply a republication of the Soterdey's libel, and an equally discreditable one by the N. I. T'inos, based upon a letter I was falsely alleged to have written to a gentleman at New York. The Missionaries had not the honesty to print the Saturday's refractation after the libel, for that, would have defeated their object. And rightly suspecting that their indecent pamplict would be flung in the face of their colporterrs, the latter were ordered to say at every LIindu residenco where a copy was loft, that it was "sent with the compliments of Mr. Soondram Iyer"-the Secretary of our now branch. I noed not comment upon such conduct, for I am adelressing a British audience....

Pardon, I pray you, the length of this letter. I have for months, in silence and disgust, allowed the poor ereatures who delight in slander, to send bromeast their wretelied seed, for I have always been taught by the lessous of history that such seed, being steeped in the acrid juices of fateshood and malice, will rot in the ground, enrich the soil for its reception as you may. I firmly believe that a pure and honest life is its own best shield; and that as time wears on and the results of our labours in Ludia and Ceylon show themselves, the etemal law of compensation will vindicate the reputations of Madane Blavatsky and your obedient servant,
H. S. OLCOTT.
('olombo, 31st October, 1881.
At the same time that our President-who, for a period of nearly three years had abstained from answering lis calumniators, wisely treating the anonymous, cowardly slanders with the contempt they meritwas penining the above; and while numerous letters of congratulations from IIindu correspondents and messages full of enthusiasm and gratitude from our Tinnevelly Theosophists were pouring into our office, there appeared a new proof of thic insatiable malice of our opponents. That matice and the bitterness of their hatred of the Theosophists have finally reached that degree of blind fury that vitiates the most ordinary perecptions. To lie
openly and in the most impudent, shameless manner has become their last expeliency. When our readers will have noticed the Official Report of Tinnevelly Branch which follows the present, and a few articles from other correspondents, they will be able to judge for themselves. In a letter from an unknown Tinnevelly correspondent of the Madras Standard the following truthfiel statement is given:-" The natives of this place" writes the informer, "are very sorry for all the hubbub and commotion caused by the arrival of Colonel Olcott, the Theosophist, among them. The Branch Society-the members of which invited him here-were very disappointed in their expectations. They now call him 'Imposion and Pretender'- to use their own words"......!!

By this time our " Branch Society" will have read the above statement. We all sincerely hope our Tinnevelly Brothers will not refuse thenselves the satisfaction of pointing out publicly to the "Tinnevelly correspondent of the Madras Standard" that the greatest "impostor" is that man who, taking advantage of the voice of the press, imposes upon the public bare-faced ", Lies under the guise of news; " that the term 'pretender," is to be applied only to individuals of his stamp, who pretending to the name of a "correspondent" have a right but to that of a "penny-a-liue" slanderer, whose lies would disgrace any respectable paper. A very reliable organ-as a source of information-is the Mudras Standarit-we see!

Jamodar K. Mayalankar,<br>Joint Recording Secretary, Parent Theosophical Society.

## TINNEVELLY THEOSOPHICAL SOCIETY.

## The Sechetary's Reporit.

Having invited Colonel H. S. Olcott, President Founder of the parent Theosophical Society to visit and lecture at Timevelly, and be having kindly consented to our request, the Colonel, accompanied by a delegation of several Buctdhist members of the Colombo Theosophical Branch Society, left Ceylon on the 21 st ultimo and landed at 'Iuticorin on the following day. The whole Hindu comnumity of that seaport was assembled to receive the Theosophists. Staying there the whole of that day, the President lectured in the A. V. School on "The Civilization that India needs." Both Natives and Europeans crowded the hall to suffocation. The Theosophists left Tuticorin on the next day by the morning train for Tinnevelly, where they found the platform and the railway grounds as well as the roads near $i t$, thronged by people eager to see and welcome our President. In the crowd, 5,000 men strong, appeared the most educated and intelligent of the native community to greet him. It was a rare and grand spectacle, indeed, "The American Pundit" delivered three most interesting and instructive lectures, two in the Hindu College and one in the large temple of our town. Numerous audiences, comprising the most learned and influential Hindus, gathered each time to hear him, cheering and applanding the Colonel throughout. Unfortunately for the Tinnevellians, his stay with them was limited to only four days. Owing to his numerous engagements at Ceylon and much to the regret of all the Hindus, he sailed back for that Island, promising us to return in January or February-with Madame Blavatsky that time.

During the Colonel's stay at Tinuevelly, the Apostles of Christianity-true to their traditional customs-made themselves unusually busy in crying down Theosophy, and preaching to us. "poor heathens" the logical and highly scientific doctrines of their Bible. At the same time, appeared a publication by the Padris under the shape of a small pamplet containing two scurrilous libels about the Chiefs of the Theosophical Society-Madame Blavatsky and Colonel Olcott,-one from the Saturday Ficricw, and the other from the New York Times. I must not omit a very remarkable incident in connection with this publication, namely, that the Christian catechists liberally distributed the pamphlets among the people. in $m y$
name, and with my lest compliments to the people ! Shame! Shame to them who resort to such dishonest and cowardly measures ! Beyond this dastardly act of anonymons calumny no missionary of our place ventured to boldly come out and challenge the Colonel to a free discussion upon the authenticity of their facts, the characters of their prophets, the nature and fulfilment of their prophecies, or the divinity of their Christ. Nay worse. Not one of them bad the moral courage to appear at his lectures though they were all invited to them! Is it their past. experiences at Ceylon that, teaching them a sad lesson, has made them so wiser? Our Society has now decided to publish-with a Tamil translation appended to it, and in a pamplilet form-the letter addressed to the Editor of the Saturday Revipe by A. O. Hume, Esq., C. B., late Secretary to the Government of India, in vindication of the spotless characters of Madame Blavataky and the Colonel, and his testimony showing the dignity and nsefulness of the Society founded by them. We will also translate into Tamil the three lectures delivered here by Colonel Olcott. Fraternal greetings to all the Bombay Brethrel. S. Sundram Iyer, Secretary,

Tinnevelly Theosophical Society. Tinnevelly, October 1881.

## COLONEL OLCOTT AND THE TINNEVELLY TIIEOSOPIMSTS.

## to the elditor of the madras mall.

## I.

Sir,--The letters in yourcolumns regarding CulouelOl cott's visit to Timevelly have attracted myattention. The following no doubt will be edifying to some of your readers:-

1. Colonel Olcoit did not declare himself to be a Hindoo.
2. Colonel Olcott never sail Buddhism was superior to Hindooism.
3. Colonel Olcott said not a worl such as could betray even an inclination on his part to persuade the audience to embrace Buddhism.
4. A great deal, if not the whole, of what he said while addressing the public, was that Hindooism was a very ancient religion, and that his advice was "Dive and search deep-and you will find all that is wanted to convince you of the truth of that religion," or something to this effect.
5. Lastly, and not the least of all, the cocomat planted by the Buddhists in the compound of the 'limevelly temple (not in the heart of the temple as alleged by some of your correspondents) is still in eristence, and in the same spot it was first planted, it has as good a thriving appearance as could be wished by the best of Colonel Oleott's friends. This is a stubborn

## II.

Sir,-The account of Colonel Olcott's visit to 'limevelly, given by your Tinmevelly "Native Christian Correspondent," is one tissue of misconceptions and misrepresentations. For, the Christian gentleman reports that the Hindus of this place feel disappointed and imposed upou by the Colonel! But, Sir, the trath is just the opposite. The Tinnevellians, who heard before so much of Colonel Olcott, and loved him so much, have now teaned to respect and love him the more upon their personal observations of his deep erudition, extraordinarily instructive and impressive orations, soldierly and vencrable appearance, and pleasing deportment. The educated Hindus are only in deep grief that he did not stay here longer. Your correspondent next proceeds to remark that some Native of Tinnevelly who translated into Tamil Colonel Olcott's lecture on "India; Past, Present, and Future," has, in his introduction to that translation, paid the fommers of the Theosophical Society "unblushing adulation with Hourish of trumpets :" Sir, I send you by this mail a printed copy of Colonel Olcott's testimonials. Please to peruse it through and see if the vernacular translator with all his " umblushing aululation," and with all his "Hourish of trumpets," has yet done the American gentleman full justice. No; he has done but a part of his work; he has not wholly removed the veil from pver the name of the Theosophist leader. These docupents will testify to you that he is oven something more
than a "Barrister," " a Colonel," "Special Commissioner of the War Department", "Chief Commissioner of Agriculture,", and " Secretary to the National Insurance Convention." Ilhesc certificates were printed in the form of it "supplement to the 'Theosophis?" in January last ; and circulated along with the theosophical orgen throughout the whole world. And Madame Blavatsky is too well known now thronghout the entire globe to require any notico being made by me. As regards the comparative merits of the religion, science, and philosophy renounced by Colonel and Madame, and those embraced by them, it suffices to say that those, who study Oriental and Western philosophies, \&c., for truth's own sake, unbiassed by any race or creed, or party predilections, become ardent adniters and advocates of the former. The presentation of hoomblam is simply a mark of esteem and salutation ; and the receipt of it with respect is also simply a sign of returning the salutation. It is simple ignorance and absurdity, therefore, to suppose that this one of the Aryan ways of exchanging greetings, is an indication prodictive of the coming rain. If any one deems it to be such, it is his own mistake; and he should lose no time to correct himself.

Your correspondent next asks" what right the Theosophists in this town had to use their influence as Government officials to prevail on the temple authorities to receive Colonel Olcott as they did, \&c. ?" He knows not, poor friend, that Govermment officials are after all only men ; and as men they have souls; and their sonls, trine to their intrinsic nature, lo, like those of the other people who are not Government officials, aspire to progress, and thirst for salvation. It is 10 wonder then that those Govcrnment Ofticials joinerl a society as its members, which professes, among other things,"to explore the hadden mysterics of nature, and to develop, the latent powers of man." 'Ihe chiefs of the Theosophical suciety being. Europeans by nationality, and having renounced their religion \&c., and Orientalized themselves, the Asiatics conceive great sympathy, love, and esteem for them. The anthorities of the pagoda of T'innevelly are Ifindus, and, therefore, have joined of their own accord, but were not compelled to do so, others who are also Hindus like themselves, in giving the Colonel that enthusiastic reception he so richly deserves at the hands of all the sons of larlia. Besides, it is a custom continued from a long time since with the authorities of the Siva pagoda of Timevelly, and I suppose the same is the case also with those of all uther Hindu temples, to receive every European visitur, officially or otherwise noted, with Thattumali Prasathan, aud the elephants, parapliernalia \&c., belonging to the temple. Why, then, is it necessary that they should be prevailed upon to do so by the official theosophists?

The correspondent next says that the cocoannt-tree planterl in the pagoda yard "has been plucked up:" [ really wonder at my Christian brother, for the tree dues still, at this moment as I write this sentence, stand and thrive luxariantly where it was planted; and is sheltered with two fences, one (the imner) of banbou sticks, and the other (the outer) of prickly bushes. There grows, Sir, in the temple yard, that proul young tree. Further on, your informant observes that "the cocomut-tree was planted by him, the 'Iheosophist leader." It was not Colonel Olcott who planted it; but it was the Buddhists who did it, simply as a mark of respect to the Hindu community, and as a memorial of their alvent to 'Timnevelly. Colonel Oleott and the Sinhalese gentlemen tohd in phain language the immense crowd of more than five thonsand poople that thronged to hear the Colonel that evening, tho object of planting it. Colonel Olcott visited, and will also visit, numerous towns and cities in America, Europe, and Asia; but surely hedil not, and also will not, take along with him young cocoanut-trees to plant them in those places.
Your correspondent continues that the 'limevelly Siva temple was purified after Colonel Olcott had lectured in it. This is true. But the ceremony of purification in this case must not be unlerstood as having been performed vat of disrespect of dislike to the Colonel, whom nearly all the Hindus of this phate love as the very apple of their own eyes, but ouly as a mammool, or customary worls of the
priests attached to the tompic. It is one of the duties of the temple-priests to make pumiy, igmann (purification cercmony) to the pagolia whenever Europeans visit it; and this they do quite automatically and indiscriminately in all cases, whether or no they are directed to cio so by their authoritios, and whether it is necessary or not necessary to do so in any particular case. Contingent charges are allowed on such occasions and the priests get money from the temple-cashier, and make expense of it at once.
Your correspourlent concludes by saying that Colunel Oloot diil not go to Madura from Timievelly as he once intended, because he feared the American Missionarics at the former place would involve him in the 'tug of war.'! Does he then mean that Colonel Oleott founded, for the first time, the Theosophical Soceiety in Timnerelly in the month of October 1881, and had never been before abroad into the worth in the propagation of theosoply? Does he not know that the larent Society was organized in the year 1875 in New York, the metropolis of that very same comutry, from which the American Missionaries of Malura have come, in the midst of so many millions of Americans? Joes he not also know that he bravely faced the enemies of Theosophy in various parts of the globe, and gloriously succeeded in organizing brauch theosophical societies in many conutries both in Christendom and heathendom? How many Americans, your correspoondent thinks, are there in all in Madura? Ten thousand tit the most. But these ten thousand Amerimans are nothing to onc, who fonght with success with ten millions of Ameacans, all chemics of theosoply, in America itself. Let your correspondent first read the history of the progress of theosophy, and then speak about the Theosophical Socicty and its fonnders. And 1 tell him now that Colonel Olcott went to Coylon, mot becanse he feared the Americans at Mallura, but because he had so many engagements alrealy made by him in Ceylon that he could not stay in Timevelly for more than tour days without prejudice to his promise to the Ceylonese. Yours, ice.,

Sberetary, Themophical Society,
'Tinnevelly.
COLONEL OLCOTT'S BUDIHIST CATECHISM.
This little book is calling out many a valuable suggestion in the papers in connection with Buddhist philosoplyy. A correspondent writes in the Ceylon Times that ho has received an English cops of "A Buldhist Catcehism" by Colond Olcott icgarding which he wishes to make somo remarks. "It appears" "ho says, "that it has loeen translated into Sinhalese, and that it has receivel the 'imprimatur, or ather sanction of no less a personage than the Vencrable II. Sumugrala, Iligh P'riest of Adan's Peak, nud Principal of the Tilyodaya Coflege. The expense of publication has becn generonsly berne liy a Sinhalese lady of rank, Mrs. Fredrika Cecilia Dias Ilangakoon of Matara, who, ns the initials at the end of her name denote, is a fellow of the Theosophical society. In this respeet the Buddhist Theosophists of Ceylon may be eongratulated on the rapid suceess of their propaganda, and it is said that the enconragement the leaders of the sociely have hitherto received in this country lans decided them in making Ceylon their Head-Quarters.* Be that as it mar, there is no rlonbt that great efforts nro being male ly the energetie President in adrancing the canse of Ceylon Buddhism, A Simhalese journal called the "Snasavisandaresa" has been started anm is flouti-hing, and a "Sinhaleve National Buddhistic Fund" hargely subseribed to by Buddhists of all classes aud demominations throughnut the country, is being formed for the purpose of (rstal)lishing Buddhist seliools and of promoting the chase of louddism in every practicable way.

This "hrochure" is o:0 of the first efforts of the Presilent in this direction. It is, I presmme, principally intended for the use of Budthist sehools noul begimers in the study of Buddhist philosophy, although some portions of it, such as the questions included between Nos, is and 7 , aflord interesting suljects of thought for the philosopher and the Scientist. Whether the main doctrines of Buddhism are in aceord with the tesults of the Moden Science or not, there is one salient feature in that system which must maturally attract the attention of the student, viz., that its range of thought is so wide and comprehensive as to be capable of including within it and har-

- At Ceylun is unc of our llead-Quarters. as it is I ronosed that wo should havo one, for every scason of the ycar, at Bombay, Calcutte, Ceylon, and the N. W. Eroyinces, -Ed
monizing with it ocher schools of thought, divergent in some respects, but all converging towards the grand keystone of Buddhism-the miversal lave of nature. Viewed iu this light, the Materialist and the Spiritualist, the Positivist and the Rationalist can all take their stand within the precinets of Budilism ; and while each is at liberty to pursue his own course of thought he has the pleasing satisfaction of knowing that the tolerant hand of good-will, fellow-ship and assistance, is extended to every one of them. I am myself inclined to belicve with the Author of this little work, that "the signs abound that ofall the world's great ereeds, that one is destimed to be the much talked-of Religion of the Future which shall be found in least nutagonism witla nature and with law," nud am tempted to ask "Who dare predict that Buddhism will not be the one chosen?"

The Author modestly dischams originality in the compositisu or matter of this small volume; although, in my opinion, the catechetical form in which the information is conveyed and the attempt at reconeiling the main principles of Budthism with the discoveries of Modern Science are new nand striking features in a work on Buddhism. I do not wish to be hyperatitical in looking over a work the olject of whichis in tho highest degree praise-worthy; but as the Author himself has couted friendly eriticism with a view to benefit thereby when preparing a secoul edition, I will make a few brief remarks in the hope that they may prove usefui to the Author ina subsequent edition.

The answer to the 4 th question.--." Was Buddha a man?" docs not in my opinion convey a definite idea "in form a man; but internally not like other men." Now, what does 'internally' signify here? Does it refer to the mental and moral calibre of the great ange? If so, why not say so? The reference by footnote to the alflinition of "Bodisat" Question 72 does not elucidate the point. I would rather prefer an nuswer like tho following: ""IIe was a man, but of the highest type and perfeetion nuong men."
Q. 7. It is dealtful whether Buddha's real name was Sidhartha Gautama. There ne many grounds for supposing that his ' princely ' name was siddhartha and that subsequent to his renunciation of the worldhe assumed the nscetic name of Gautama.

Q 8. 'Maya,' and not 'Maia' was his mother's name.
Q. 35. et sequor. An important omission is male about this part of the marrative. Buddha's first ascetic tenchers were two Brahmans, Atara Kntama and Uddaka Ramaputa, who were followers of the Yoga philosophy and initiated Buddha in the mystic trances which the course of meditation preseribed in that system, was intendel to produce. This ineident in his uscetic life is especially mentioned by Buddha himself in the discourses wherein he marrates his ascetic career.

I camot here refrain from quoting Questions 90 and 31 as the exmmple of the racy and forcible manner in which the writer expresses his ideas :-
Q. 90. If you were to try to represent the wholo spinit of Buddha's doctrine by one word, which word would you choose?
A. Justice.
Q. 91. Why?
A. Decause it teaches that every man gets under the operate tions of universal lav, exactly that reward or punishment which he has deserved; $n 0$ more and no less. No good deed or had deed, however trifling and however secretly committed, escapes the evenly "balanced, scales of karma,"
Q 98. I entertain a great regard for the wonderful learning and researcla of tho High Priest Sumangala in all that pertains to Oriental literature, and especinlly for his deep insight into the contents of the 'Tripitiks', but I must, withall due deference, beg to differ from him in his translation of the titlo of Budllas's first celebrated discourse- 'Dhammacaki-pparattaua Sutram.' The learned Itigh Priest romders it-"The Definition of the Rulo of Doctrine." Mr. Olcott must have felt some misgiving in adopting this rendering, as he is carefnl to inform his readera by a footinote that it is the translation of his friend the Reveread Prelate aforesaid. Now, neither the words of the litle, nor the eubject-matter of the Sutra will warrant the interpretation pat upou it. Alabaster translutes it as "The wheel of the Lav." But his is not strichly correct either ; for aldhough ' cak ka' may mean a wheet or discus, it is frequently the idea of Dominion or Authority which that symbol represents, so that "The Reign of Law " is the proper translation of the title, and one which fully harmonizes with the grand and solemn sulject of which it treats, - the immutable, the inexorable Laws of Nature. I have no doubt that this small book will find thousands and thousands of cager readers, both in Europe and America.

Matarn, August 1881.
A native.

* Such is the clam of the libetan Budihists. Sa vartha-Siddoa was the Lord Budh hats name abbreviated to Siddhartha by his father, whose niah (artha) was fultilled (Siddha),-E(l.


## You are free:

to Share - to copy, distribute and transmit the work
to Remix - to adapt the work

## Under the following conditions:

Attribution - You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

Noncommercial - You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

Share Alike - If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under the same or similar license to this one.

## With the understanding that:

Waiver - Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Public Domain - Where the work or any of its elements is in the public domain under applicable law, that status is in no way affected by the license.

Other Rights - In no way are any of the following rights affected by the license:

- Your fair dealing or fair use rights, or other applicable copyright exceptions and limitations;
- The author's moral rights;
- Rights other persons may have either in the work itself or in how the work is used, such as publicity or privacy rights.

Notice - For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.


[^0]:    * We never donacl mediumship, we lave only fointed vut
    

[^1]:    * We never heam of a Spisitualist attributing phenomena to su'ernatura! canse, or even believing in the possibility of anything
    

[^2]:    * loof. Barret we know to be a firm believer in the phenomena; lut why shonld he regard them as supernateral? Ed

[^3]:    - It proves mothing of the kind; but simply, that the "animal soul" or the Kuma-rupu, the living imuer man of the medimm has more to do with the "nateriadisations" than the spirits of "dead" men.,-Lo,

[^4]:    - The reader is nenin waned not to confuso tho term used by the Spiritunlista. When speaking of thoir modorn beliof, with the Ncw Dispensa. sion of the Calcutta Apostles.-E,

[^5]:    - I am compolled to use the oxpression for want of a bettor, thomph I hat e it, helieving as [ do, that the distinction of "Material" and "Spiritual" has no foundation in fact. Fithor Eweryching is "matter" or "Everythiny" Spinit in whatever way we work out the Great l'roblem. "More solid" or I' more physical" would be better, but unfortunately these words have boen more physical would be better, but anfortunately these words have boen $t$ tho tiret convey other ideas.
    $\dagger$ Tho firat time he burat his fingers

[^6]:    * Lot the Roader remember that though for want of a bottor Vocabulary I use theso words, I do not intond hy them any essential differsnco it the use theso words, do not intend hy them any essential differsnco int the
    Btates alluded to. Tho difference in tho functions of tho Kosmic "Thing States almed to. Tho differnce in tho functions of tho kosmic and extra gasuons mattor, not that bitrigen "Matter" and "Spirit" as underateud liy the Christians,

[^7]:    - Of course, bero I do not refer to Chemical composition.
    + Fenosis II. 14.
    Genesis III, 4.
    \$This must bo maderstood to mean "Jehovali" the Universal Static nertia personificed.
    T"Le Diable" r 13

[^8]:    " That is to say, of life iu the usual seuso "the lifo of this world"-not of Inmorlality in the Jehovite sense of continumus Changoless Rest.

    + In the sense of Dissolution and Re-organization.
    + It must be remembered that tho hest liblical modern critics regard the word dofining the duration of "damuation" as not siguifying unlinited but only "for a long period."
    I It is in fact what Theosophy calls "Nirvun". But then 'Theoropty toaches that soparation from tho Primal Sourco hieaino oncencurell, Re-union can only bo achieved by WhLA-1:Eront-which is distinctly Satanie in the sense of thin essay, So tho Dible testifies that "the Kingrlou of Iloaren is
    taken by violence."

[^9]:    - Ithe " Middlo" Bible is particularly hard on the " $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{a}$ " " worshippers
    + "Maluk (Ruler)"-which in its various spellings and pronunciations of Moloch, Melek, Molech, Malayak \&c, (angel-Helrew)-was indiscriminately applied ly different Shemito races at various epochs to (iods, Kings, and Angels.
    $\ddagger$ It is this which the Khojas belicve to resido in Aga Khan's family.
    I trust to be alle to show in another article that what is called "Christimity" is not, and novor was-the religicn of Christ. Rightly understool, overy word of tho Gospels, ovon mutilated and intorpolited as they aro proves it, Jeshs worshipliod Baal-not Jchovah,

[^10]:    - I say purposeliss, becruso 'Theosophic or Aryan ascoticism bears a lifferent Kationale.
    + Europeans - why, do you wear back hats and dull-coloured clothes, whilo the Drabm and "El" worshippers like gay and protty colors? It is becausa you are Johovah worshiphers. You naty laugh at his, hat I here you to ronember that in De: Brainly's recent researches into Color Blinduess ho finds it most provalent anoug Jews and Quakors-typically Johovito Socts. This is at least sugreativo. tho Wahaboes also (tho most Jehovito of Nusbulpan sects) affect som? hues in general.

[^11]:    * Not [uito "the very samo" (morality).
    + Oh, hearens-no
    + No; but sumo of us may be "agnosties lu a now 'lheocolihical dress"
    Shas! as littlo ascertained rud as "hazy" as the Stmeluy Mirror's notions about 'l'beosuphy, - Ed, Theos,

[^12]:    - 'They are supposed to be necultations, becanse they aro not made In the time of a singic revolution of the Moon, but take in the space of nhout sixtecn nouths, fromi $19 t h$ August 1425 to 19 th april 149413 . C. and this idea of the obserrations boing confined to occultations, is suyported hy Snturn not being included, because that planet was then out of the Noun's course.' (Yile paigo 3 of the same.)

[^13]:    * it is one of the many reasons why Buddhist philosophy refuses to mhinit the existence and interference in the procinction of the universe of a direct creator or god. For once ahinit, for argument's sake, that the world was created by such a being, who, to have done so, minst have been ommipotent, there remains the old difficulty to be dealt with--who then oreated that pre-existiug matter, that eternal, invisible, intangible and imponderable something or chavs 1 If we are told that being "eternal" and innperishable it hat no need of being "createl," then our answer will be that in such a case there are "wo "Ftemals" and two "Ommipotents;" or if our opponents argue that it is the ommpotent No. I or God who created it, then we return from where we first started-to the creation of something out of nothing, which is such an absolute absurdity before science and logic chat it cloes not even reduire the final manswerable query resorted to by some precocions children "and who created Gud!" -ED.

